MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Directors, Massachusetts School Building Authority
FROM: James A. MacDonald, First Deputy Treasurer and Chief Executive Officer
John K. McCarthy, Executive Director and Deputy Chief Executive Office
DATE: October 19, 2022
RE: Recommendation for 2022 Accelerated Repair Program Invitations

Recommendation

That the Executive Director be authorized to invite each of the schools for the recommended project scope listed in Attachment A, attached hereto, into the Accelerated Repair Program (the "ARP") to collaborate with the Massachusetts School Building Authority (the "MSBA") to conduct a Feasibility Study, as described in 963 CMR 2.00 et seq. The purpose of each Feasibility Study shall be to better understand the problems identified by the district in the Statement of Interest ("SOI") submitted to the MSBA and explore options to repair said problems.

That the Executive Director be authorized to do all acts, to execute and deliver any and all documents and agreements, and to expend all funds necessary or desirable, in connection with conducting said Feasibility Study for each of the schools listed in Attachment A.

Discussion

The 2022 Statement of Interest ("SOI") submittal period opened on January 28, 2022. The deadline to file for consideration in the Accelerated Repair Program ("ARP") was March 25, 2022. Following the receipt of 67 SOIs filed in 2022 for the Accelerated Repair Program (the "ARP"), the Massachusetts School Building Authority (the "MSBA") performed a review of the information provided by the districts based upon the non-qualifying conditions noted below and for conformance with the ARP program. The initial review resulted in 55 of the 67 schools qualifying for consideration for participation in the ARP program.

Non-Qualifying Accelerated Repair Program Conditions*

Roof Age <25	System is less than 25 years of age
Window Age <30	System is less than 30 years of age
Boiler Age <25	System is less than 25 years of age
Proposed Project Cost	Proposed total project cost is projected as less than $250,000
Overcrowding	School is judged by the district to be over-crowded
School Consolidation	District is considering the facility for potential consolidation
Building Usage	Primary use of the building is for non-educational purposes
Pre-kindergarten Students Only	School provides for pre-kindergarten students only
Outside Scope	SOI itself identifies construction beyond roofs, windows, or boilers
Excess Boiler Scope	SOI itself identifies boiler construction, excluding heating fuel storage and/or delivery, beyond the physical limits of the existing boiler room(s)
School SOI History	Facility condition not identified in the SOI remains unresolved from previous MSBA SOI review

Non-Qualifying Accelerated Repair Program Conditions* (Continued)

Future Project	District plans a future construction project for MSBA participation going beyond roofs, windows/doors, and boilers for the school
District Funding	District is unable to fund a schematic design within two months of invitation and a total project budget within 12 months of invitation
District-Wide Review	District is reviewing facility deficiencies to further identify its needs and priorities

*The conditions were described in the 2022 Statement of Interest ("SOI") Overview for Accelerated Repair Program shared with all Superintendents.

Historically, the MSBA has invited approximately 25 to 50 SOIs for the ARP annually. The number of invitations that the Board authorizes each year varies. Among the factors the MSBA may consider should the MSBA be required to limit the number of invitations due to its annual statutory funding cap are the degree of the disrepair of the systems to be replaced and the frequency with which issues associated with that disrepair arise.

In 2017, due to the volume of SOIs submitted, the MSBA for the first time increased the qualifying ages of systems after SOIs were received. After the 2017 SOI opening advertised 20 years as the qualifying age for all three systems, only systems of 30 years or more were invited. In 2018, in anticipation of continued high volume of SOIs, the MSBA advertised a budget of approximately $50 million for grants. The MSBA reviews these advertised qualifying ages for systems as well as the grant budget annually and may adjust one or both as may be needed. Similar to the dates for the SOI filing period, the SOI opening information is published on the MSBA's website each year along with notice provided to all districts via the superintendent.

In 2022, the budget for the ARP was established as approximately $75 million. In the 2022 Statement of Interest ("SOI") Overview for Accelerated Repair Program, the qualifying system age requirement to submit an SOI for roofs and boilers remained at 25 years and the qualifying age requirement for windows/doors remained at 30 years. It was noted that dependent upon the quantity of ARP SOIs received, the MSBA may again need to limit the number of invitations to meet its budget and, therefore, there may be further changes to the age requirements.

Given the budget impact from the number of SOIs filed for the ARP program in 2022, as noted during the September 14, 2022 Facilities Assessment Subcommittee meeting, MSBA staff recommended further limiting the number of ARP invitations this year. For 2022, MSBA staff recommended that only roof systems of 30 years or more, window/door systems of 35 years or more, and boiler systems of 25 years or more be considered for invitation.

For the 2022 ARP program, MSBA staff's recommendation to invite roof systems of 30 years or more, window/door systems of 35 years or more, and boiler systems of 25 years or more resulted in the consideration of 34 of the 55 otherwise qualifying schools. The MSBA conducted site visits for roof, window/door, and boiler projects at 34 of the 55 schools from August 2, 2022 to August 22, 2021. MSBA staff provided an update for the 2022 ARP program at the September 14, 2022 Facilities Assessment Subcommittee meeting.

Upon collecting and reviewing additional information during and after the site visits, staff recommend invitation to 33 schools for the recommended project scope listed in Attachment A. The age of the systems is based upon the information received from the district. If during the Feasibility Study it is determined that the age of the roofs, windows/doors, and/or boilers does not meet the qualifying criteria for invitation, the MSBA will ask the district to remove its SOI and will not authorize a Project Funding Agreement for an approved project.

Attached to this memorandum are three Attachments:

- Attachment A identifies the schools recommended for invitation at the October 26, 2022 Board of Directors Meeting as well as the recommended project scope.
- Attachment B identifies the schools not recommended for invitation as well as the reason(s).
- Attachment C is the Statement of Interest ("SOI") Overview for Accelerated Repair Program as referenced in the Statement of Interest Process Overview

Attachment A
Recommendation for Invitation to the 2022 Accelerated Repair Program

District Name	School Name	Age of Existing Building	Grade	2021/2022 Enrollment	Existing Square Footage	Scope Requested by District	Invited Scope Recommendation	Partial Scope Description	Declined Scope Recommendation	Reasons for Declined Invitation
Boston	Curley K-8 School	1965	PK-8	900	122,183	Boiler	Boiler			
Boston	Dennis C. Haley	1971	K-8	379	46,263	Boiler	Boiler			
Boston	Dr. William Henderson Upper	1932	2-12	726	97,130	Roof	Roof			
Boston	Jeremiah E. Burke High	1934	9-12	330	202,304	Boiler	Boiler			
Boston	The English High	1973	9-12	525	225,560	Roof; Windows/Doors	Windows/Doors		Roof	Roof Age <30
Cambridge	Amigos School	1853	PK-8	408	56,594	Roof	Roof			
Fall River	Resiliency Preparatory School	1927	9-12	109	170,996	Windows/Doors	Windows/Doors			
Fitchburg	Arthur M Longsjo Middle School	1937	6-8	648	194,495	Boiler	Boiler			
Haverhill	Moody	1914	PK-K	147	27,000	Boiler	Boiler			
Haverhill	Silver Hill School	1993	K-5	517	73,875	Boiler; Roof	Boiler		Roof	Roof Age <30
Holyoke	H.B. Lawrence School	1930	K-3	186	59,166	Windows/Doors	Windows/Doors			
Holyoke	Lt Elmer J McMahon Elementary	1961	PK-8	344	35,201	Windows/Doors	Windows/Doors			
Holyoke	Maurice A Donahue Elementary	1973	PK-5	391	70,550	Windows/Doors	Partial Windows/Doors	Window Section from original 1973 building	Window Section from 1989 Addition	Window Age <35
Holyoke	Wm J Dean Voc Tech High	1989	9-12	353	212,000	Boiler	Boiler			
Lowell	B.F. Butler Middle	1994	5-8	536	96,572	Boiler; Roof	Boiler		Roof	Roof Age <30
Lowell	Bartlett Community Partnership	1941	PK-8	462	95,500	Boiler; Windows/Doors	Boiler		Windows/Doors	Window Age <35
Lowell	Henry J Robinson Middle	1969	5-8	635	99,000	Boiler	Boiler			
Lowell	John J Shaughnessy	1991	K-4	461	63,918	Roof	Roof			
Lowell	Joseph McAvinnue	1993	PK-4	455	66,000	Boiler; Roof	Boiler		Roof	Roof Age <30

Attachment A
Recommendation for Invitation to the 2022 Accelerated Repair Program

District Name	School Name	Age of Existing Building	Grade	2021/2022 Enrollment	Existing Square Footage	Scope Requested by District	Invited Scope Recommendation	Partial Scope Description	Declined Scope Recommendation	Reasons for Declined Invitation
Lynn	A Drewicz Elementary	1909	PK-5	464	48,349	Windows/Doors	Windows/Doors			
Mansfield	Harold L Qualters Middle	1953	6-8	800	151,182	Boiler	Boiler			
Marblehead	Marblehead Veterans Middle School	1904	7-8	390	131,250	Partial Roof	Partial Roof	Roof Section A		
New Bedford	Elizabeth Carter Brooks	1956	K-5	291	34,270	Roof	Roof			
New Bedford	Ellen R Hathaway	1962	PK-5	273	35,300	Windows/Doors	Windows/Doors			
Oxford	Alfred M Chaffee	1955	K-2	286	57,000	Partial Roof	Partial Roof	Roof Section B		
Oxford	Clara Barton	1954	PK, 3-4	250	54,600	Partial Roof	Partial Roof	Roof Section B	Roof Section C	Roof Age <30
Quincy	Francis W Parker	1917	K-5	306	51,092	Roof; Boiler	Boiler		Roof	Roof Age <30
Quincy	Montclair	1912	K-5	433	30,200	Roof	Roof			
Quincy	Wollaston School	1911	K-5	330	34,576	Roof	Roof			
Scituate	Scituate High School	1959	9-12	862	208,008	Roof	Partial Roof	All Except 2005 Section	2005 Gymnasium Roof and Adjacent Performing Arts Center	Roof Age <30
Shutesbury	Shutesbury Elementary	1972	PK-6	115	22,000	Roof; Boiler	Boiler		Roof	Roof Age <30
Springfield	Milton Bradley School	1995	K-5	510	113,026	Windows/Doors; Boiler	Boiler		Windows/Doors	Window Age <35
Springfield	Rebecca M Johnson	1993	PK-5	617	150,407	Roof, Windows/Doors; Boiler	Boiler		Roof; Windows/Doors	Roof Age <30; Window Age <35

Attachment B
Not Recommended for Invitation to the 2022 Accelerated Repair Program

District Name	School Name	Age of Existing Building	Grade	2020/2021 Enrollment	Existing Square Footage	Scope Requested by District	Invited Scope Recommendation	Declined Scope Recommendation	Reasons for Declined Invitation
Amesbury	Amesbury Middle	1954	5-8	615	144,923	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Amherst-Pelham	Amherst Regional Middle	1969	7-8	412	197,000	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Boston	William H Ohrenberger	1972	3-8	485	111,592	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Essex North Shore Agricultural and Technical	Essex Technical High School	1978	9-12	1,654	357,250	Windows/Doors, Boiler		Windows/Doors, Boiler	Future Project
Fall River	William J Wiley	1910	N/A	N/A	25,000	Roof, Windows/ Doors, Boiler		Roof, Windows/Doors, Boiler	Building Usage; Outside Scope
Framingham	Charlotte A Dunning	1965	K-5	426	61,500	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Framingham	Potter Road	1966	K-5	540	63,600	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Gill-Montague	Gill Elementary	1955	K-6	118	18,320	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Groton-Dunstable	Swallow/Union School	1895	K-4	308	61,143	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Hamilton-Wenham	Hamilton-Wenham Regional High	1962	9-12	492	125,600	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Kingston	Kingston Elementary	1950	K-2	457	112,000	Roof, Windows/Doors		Roof, Windows/Doors	Roof Age <30; Window Age <35
Lowell	Abraham Lincoln	1993	PK-4	484	60,100	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Lowell	Cardinal O'Connell	1880	PK	84	16,000	Roof, Windows/Doors		Roof, Windows/Doors	Pre-Kindergarten Students Only
Lowell	Charlotte M Murkland Elementary	1993	PK-4	475	60,100	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Lowell	Greenhalge	1994	PK-4	465	66,000	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Lowell	James S Daley Middle	1956	5-8	649	118,000	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Lowell	Rogers Early Learning Center	1963	K-8	865	112,000	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Marblehead	Marblehead High	2001	9-12	931	215,000	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Marshfield	Martinson Elementary	1964	PK-5	511	92,000	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30

Attachment B
Not Recommended for Invitation to the 2022 Accelerated Repair Program

District Name	School Name	Age of Existing Building	Grade	2020/2021 Enrollment	Existing Square Footage	Scope Requested by District	Invited Scope Recommendation	Declined Scope Recommendation	Reasons for Declined Invitation
Monomoy	Chatham Elementary School	1957	PK-4	145	61,245	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Monomoy	Monomoy Regional Middle School	1963	5-8	456	120,645	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Northborough	Fannie E Proctor	1956	K-5	255	48,000	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Peabody	South Memorial	1950	PK-5	398	67,082	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Quincy	Broad Meadows Middle	1957	6-8	338	94,500	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Quincy	Point Webster Middle	1917	PK, 5-8	402	89,300	Windows/Doors		Windows/Doors	Window Age <35
Southborough	Mary E Finn School	1964	PK-1	335	70,954	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Southborough	P Brent Trottier	1996	6-8	383	128,121	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Springfield	Boland School	2002	PK-5	591	130,441	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Springfield	Frederick Harris	1963	PK-5	620	89,839	Windows/Doors		Windows/Doors	Window Age <35
Springfield	Glenwood	1930	K-5	276	38,637	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Springfield	High School Of Commerce	1915	9-12	1,207	266,952	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Springfield	Indian Orchard Elementary	1925	PK-5	518	88,498	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30
Springfield	Mary O Pottenger	1953	PK-5	404	42,694	Windows/Doors		Windows/Doors	Window Age <35
Springfield	Sumner Avenue	1912	PK-5	495	82,339	Roof		Roof	Roof Age <30

Accelerated Repair Program Statement of Interest Process Overview

Introduction

The Massachusetts School Building Authority's (the "MSBA") grant program is a non-entitlement, competitive program, and its grants are distributed by the MSBA Board of Directors, based on need and urgency, as expressed by the district and validated by the MSBA. The MSBA completes a review of available information regarding all districts that submit a Statement of Interest ("SOI") for the Accelerated Repair Program ("ARP") each year. The MSBA encourages all interested districts to submit an SOI to the MSBA, but the ARP is not appropriate for all potential projects. The ARP is for the partial or full replacement of roofs, windows/doors, and/or boilers.

The following information provides an overview of the SOI process from initial opening of the SOI period each January until the Board of Directors votes to authorize invitations at a subsequent late spring/summer Board meeting.

The SOI Filing Period

The MSBA opens its SOI system annually for districts to file one or more SOIs for either the Core Program or the ARP. The SOI is the tool districts use to identify the deficiencies and/or programmatic issues that exist in their facilities. Districts should submit one SOI per school for each school that they believe requires a project. An SOI should only be filed for a facility where a district has the ability to fund a schematic design within two months of invitation and a total project budget within 12 months of invitation. Over the last several years, the SOI system has opened in early January. SOIs are typically due for the ARP in early February and for the Core Program in early April. In the past, staff have completed recommendations for invitations at the Board of Directors meeting in June. The MSBA reviews these dates annually and may adjust opening and closing dates as may be needed from time to time. Each year, the SOI opening information is published on the MSBA's website in addition to each superintendent receiving email communications in advance, on the day of and throughout the filing period until the closure of the Accelerated Repair Program and the Core Program.

Based on the qualifications for the ARP, a district should not file an SOI if:

- the age of the system is less than the advertised age criteria;
  - the roof is less than twenty-five years old (installed in or after 1998);
  - the boiler is less than twenty-five years old (installed in or after 1998);
  - the windows/doors are less than thirty years (installed in or after 1993);
- the proposed project cost is projected as less than $250,000;
- the school is judged by the district to be over-crowded;
- the district is considering the facility for potential consolidation;
- the primary use of the building is for non-educational purposes;
- the school provides for pre-kindergarten students only;
- the SOI itself seeks construction beyond roofs, windows/doors, or boilers;
- the SOI itself seeks boiler construction, excluding heating fuel storage and/or delivery, beyond the physical limits of the existing boiler room(s);
- a facility condition not identified in the SOI remains unresolved from a previous MSBA SOI review;
- the district plans a future construction project for MSBA participation going beyond roofs, windows/doors, and boilers for the school;
- the district is unable to fund a schematic design within two months of invitation and a total project budget within 12 months of invitation;
- or the district is reviewing facility deficiencies to further identify its needs and priorities.

Historically, the MSBA has invited approximately 25 to 50 SOIs for the ARP annually. The number of invitations that the Board authorizes each year varies. Among the factors the MSBA may consider should the MSBA be required to limit the number of invitations due to its annual statutory funding cap are the degree of the disrepair of the systems to be replaced and the frequency with which issues associated with that disrepair arise.

In 2017, due to the volume of SOIs submitted, the MSBA for the first time increased the qualifying ages of systems after SOIs were received. After the 2017 SOI opening advertised 20 years as the qualifying age for all three systems, only systems of 30 years or more were invited. In 2018, in anticipation of continued high volume of SOIs, the MSBA advertised a budget of approximately $50 million for grants. The MSBA reviews these advertised qualifying ages for systems as well as the grant budget annually and may adjust one or both as may be needed. Similar to the dates for the SOI filing period, the SOI opening information is published on the MSBA's website each year along with notice provided to all districts via the superintendent.

Tables below illustrate a summary of qualifying and invited SOIs beginning in 2017. A table illustrating the number of SOIs which have resulted in Project Funding Agreements for the ARP can be found here.

		Advertised Systems Ages			
Repair Program	**SOIs Received**	**Roof Age**	**Windows/Doors Age**	**Boiler Age**	**SOIs Qualified**
2017 Program	73	20	20	20	69
2018 Program	60	20	30	20	51
2019 Program	83	20	30	20	79
2020 Program	90	25	30	25	74
2021 Program	60	25	30	25	49

		Invited Systems Ages			
Repair Program	SOIs Received	Roof Age	Windows/Doors Age	Boiler Age	SOIs Qualified
2017 Program	73	30	30	30	36
2018 Program	60	25	30	25	34
2019 Program	83	27	N/A	20	42
2020 Program	90	30	35	35	25
2021 Program	60	29	30	29	28

The Funding Cap

The Commonwealth irrevocably dedicated a 1% statewide sales tax, known as the School Modernization Trust fund ('SMART Fund'), to the MSBA's capital program. The sales tax collection informs the amount of the annual funding cap to which the MSBA can obligate funds for projects. The enabling legislation limits increases or decreases annually thereafter by the lesser of four and one half percent (4.5%) of the limit for the prior fiscal year or the percentage increase or decrease of the dedicated sales tax revenue amount over the prior fiscal year.

The SOI Due Diligence Process

The SOI process involves the district filing an electronic version of an SOI with the MSBA. MSBA staff is readily available to address concerns, questions, and issues during the filing period. Once the filing period has closed, MSBA staff commences the due diligence process for all SOIs.

This four-phase process includes:

- Review SOI submissions for completeness;
- Review SOI submissions and accompanying documents for content;
- Conduct staff study visits, if required; and,
- Recommend SOIs for invitation into the ARP.

During this process, the MSBA may seek to obtain additional or clarifying information from districts. As the MSBA reviews the entire cohort of SOIs received, it will determine the appropriate level of due diligence that will be required for each SOI and will notify districts of next steps accordingly.

Please note NEW SOI VOTE ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS FOR FILING AN SOI IN 2022:

All required votes must be taken prior to the SOI submission filing deadline. The documentation for the completed votes is required in order to file the SOI, as noted in detail below.

1. Review SOI submission for completeness:

Once the SOI system has closed, MSBA staff review each submission to check that all of the required materials have been received. The MSBA works with districts throughout the filing period to ensure that the SOI is complete.

For all SOI submissions, the district must provide:

- An electronic version of the SOI with the required electronic signatures; there are two separate certifications in each SOI where district officials need to sign;
- An electronic version of the Closed Schools Certification with the required electronic signatures;
- Hard copies of the required local vote documentation that is detailed in the SOI; and
- Any supporting materials required to be submitted with the SOI.

Districts are expected to submit materials and have them post-marked on or before the due date of the ARP submission deadline. The district and the MSBA should discuss in advance of the filing date any extenuating circumstances or requests for exceptions to receipt of the hard copy material.

Once the SOI system has closed, MSBA staff review each submission to check that all of the required materials have been received. The MSBA works with districts throughout the filing period to ensure that the SOI is complete.

For all SOI submissions, the district needs to provide:

- An electronic version of the SOI with the required electronic signatures; there are two separate certifications in each SOI where district officials need to sign;
- An electronic version of the Closed Schools Certification with the required electronic signatures;
- Any supporting materials required to be submitted with the SOI and/or any supplemental materials districts want to submit with the SOI; and,
- **NEW IN 2022: SOI VOTE REQUIREMENTS:**
  - All required vote documentation must be uploaded in the SOI system in order to submit an SOI and in the prescribed format set forth within the MSBA's SOI Application System.
  - **Cities and Towns** must submit the following vote documentation:
    - Vote of the municipal governing body (i.e. City Council/Board of Aldermen/Board of Selectmen/equivalent governing body) authorizing the Superintendent of Schools to submit the SOI.
    - Vote of the School Committee authorizing the Superintendent of Schools to submit the SOI (meeting minutes are NOT required).
  - **Regional School Districts** must submit the following vote documentation:
    - Vote of the Regional School Committee authorizing the Superintendent of Schools to submit the SOI (meeting minutes are NOT required).

2. Review each SOI submission and accompanying documents for content:

Once an SOI is determined to be complete, MSBA staff review the information and any additional documents submitted by the district. MSBA staff then compile the data necessary to assess which SOIs filed in that calendar year may qualify for the ARP. Evaluating the qualifying factors relies on many different data sources. MSBA staff use the SOI, the MSBA project management system, the MSBA's 2016 School Survey, as well as information from the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ("DESE") website. Many factors can impact the assessment of an SOI, such as overcrowding, building condition, general environment and program deficiencies. As such, it is important to assemble as much data as possible so that staff may gain a thorough understanding of the issues identified in the SOI. An analysis of the qualifying factors produces a group of SOIs for further consideration. Depending on the volume of qualifying SOIs, the MSBA may have to introduce additional or more limiting factors to maintain the annual ARP budget. Further review of SOIs may or may not require a staff study visit.

3. Conduct Staff Study Visits, if required:

If MSBA staff determine that a staff study visit is needed to complete the due diligence process, MSBA staff will visit the facility identified in the SOI. The MSBA may conduct facility visits either virtually, in-person or a combination of virtual and in-person. The MSBA may request the use of alternative technology to complete the visits such as virtual meetings, drones, district-supplied recordings or other means to conduct the visit. Dependent upon the method used, the staff study visit may be conducted in two parts, holding the meeting and the facility tour, at different times and days.

The staff study visit starts with a meeting to review the SOI and the MSBA process, and then to hear district concerns. The discussion is followed by a tour of the main areas of the school, as well as typical general classrooms and specialty spaces. If the district's SOI includes the replacement of the roof, then access to the roof should be provided to MSBA staff.

Dependent upon the number of staff study visits that are required, this phase can take approximately 4 to 6 weeks, with visits typically scheduled from March through April, this timeframe may vary.

4. Recommend SOIs for Invitation into the Accelerated Repair Program:

Once the content review and staff study visits have been completed, MSBA staff once again review the factors, noted above, that can impact the assessment of the SOIs. As stated above, due to the statutory cap, the MSBA may be constrained to limit the number of ARP project invitations in a given year. Among the factors that MSBA may consider should it be required to limit the number of ARP project invitations, are the degree of the disrepair of the systems to be replaced and the frequency with which issues associated with the disrepair arise.

MSBA staff next provide their findings to the Chief Executive Officer, Deputy Chief Executive Officer/Executive Director, and the MSBA's Facilities Assessment Subcommittee. Then, MSBA staff present the recommended SOIs to the Board of Directors for a vote to receive an invitation into the ARP.

Exhibit B

Invitation to Accelerated Repair Program

District	School	Age of Existing Building	Grade Configuration	2021-2022 Enrollment	Existing Square Footage	Invited Scope Recommendation
Boston	Curley K-8 School	1965	PK-8	900	122,183	Boiler
	Dennis C. Haley	1971	K-8	379	46,263	Boiler
	Dr. William Henderson Upper	1932	2-12	726	97,130	Roof
	Jeremiah E. Burke High	1934	9-12	330	202,304	Boiler
	The English High	1973	9-12	525	225,560	Windows/Doors
Cambridge	Amigos School	1853	PK-8	408	56,594	Roof
Fall River	Resiliency Preparatory School	1927	9-12	109	170,996	Windows/Doors
Fitchburg	Arthur M. Longsjo Middle School	1937	6-8	648	194,495	Boiler
Haverhill	Moody Preschool	1914	PK-K	147	27,000	Boiler
	Silver Hill School	1993	K-5	517	73,875	Boiler
Holyoke	H.B. Lawrence School	1965	K-5	186	59,166	Windows/Doors
	Lt Elmer J McMahon Elementary	1937	6-8	344	35,201	Windows/Doors
	Maurice A Donahue Elementary	1949	K-3	391	70,550	Partial Windows/Doors
	Wm J Dean Voc Tech High	1973	6-8	353	212,000	Boiler
Lowell	B.F. Butler Middle	1994	5-8	536	96,572	Boiler
	Bartlett Community Partnership	1941	PK-8	462	95,500	Boiler
	Henry J Robinson Middle	1969	5-8	635	99,000	Boiler
	John J Shaughnessy	1991	K-4	461	63,918	Roof
	Joseph McAvinnue	1993	PK-4	455	66,000	Boiler
Lynn	A Drewicz Elementary	1909	PK-5	464	48,349	Windows/Doors
Mansfield	Harold L Qualters Middle	1953	6-8	800	151,182	Boiler
Marblehead	Marblehead Veterans Middle School	1904	7-8	390	131,250	Partial Roof
New Bedford	Elizabeth Carter Brooks	1956	K-5	291	34,270	Roof
	Ellen R Hathaway	1962	PK-5	273	35,300	Windows/Doors
Oxford	Alfred M Chaffee	1955	K-2	286	57,000	Partial Roof
	Clara Barton	1954	PK,3-4	250	54,600	Partial Roof
Quincy	Francis W Parker	1917	K-5	306	51,092	Boiler
	Montclair	1912	K-5	433	30,200	Roof
	Wollaston School	1911	K-5	330	34,576	Roof
Scituate	Scituate High School	1959	9-12	862	208,008	Partial Roof
Shutesbury	Shutesbury Elementary	1972	PK-6	115	22,000	Boiler
Springfield	Milton Bradley School	1995	K-5	510	113,026	Boiler
	Rebecca M Johnson	1993	PK-5	617	150,407	Boiler