Massachusetts School Building Authority

Funding Affordable, Sustainable, and Efficient Schools in Partnership with Local Communities

MSBA



2025 SCHOOL SURVEY REPORT

ABOUT THE COVER

BUILDING A LOGO CONTEST – 2025

In 2024, the Massachusetts School Building Authority ("MSBA") reached its 20-year milestone. MSBA staff reflected on the Authority's accomplishments to date and launched a Logo Contest for an updated image to reflect the MSBA's mission. The MSBA's Building a Logo Contest was geared towards connecting high school students with the work accomplished by the MSBA and inviting our most important clients, the students, to assist the MSBA in reimagining a new authority logo.

After 10 weeks of being open, the Building a Logo Contest closed in November 2024 with 157 submissions from 10th, 11th, and 12th grade students representing 56 Massachusetts cities and towns.

Entries moved through a three-phase scoring and selection process, which included the contest team, MSBA senior team, MSBA Board of Directors, MSBA all-staff, and Treasurer Goldberg.

The 1st place winner was Jax Greenwald, an 11th grade student at Joseph P. Keefe Regional Technical High School.



Essay by Jax Greenwald

“My main goal with the design was to represent both the education and construction aspects of the MSBA. I did this by including objects in the design that are easily recognizable as being linked to these two topics. The base of the design is a simple schoolhouse, with part of it being made up of three windows and a door, but it is mostly made up of a pencil, which is used in education, a level, which is used in construction, and a triangular ruler, which can be used in both. I additionally designed my logo to be simple so it can be shown on both a large and small scale, allowing it to be used for many different purposes. This simplicity also allows for quick and easy recognition from a wide range of distances.”





ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Massachusetts School Building Authority ("MSBA") would like to convey its sincere thanks to the cities, towns, and regional school districts and the superintendents, principals, facility directors, and staff of all the school districts in the Commonwealth who welcomed the assessors and provided them with valuable information regarding their school facilities.

The MSBA would also like to acknowledge the joint efforts of organizations and individuals for their continued collaboration, expertise, and commitment in successful completion of this survey. The MSBA thanks the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education ("DESE") for its ongoing partnership and its contributions and insights for the assessment. The information collected will provide a baseline understanding of the building condition, general environment, and space utilization of school facilities across the Commonwealth.

The MSBA extends its gratitude to Treasurer and Receiver General of the Commonwealth Deborah B. Goldberg, Chair of the MSBA's Board of Directors, and all the MSBA's Board of Directors for their encouragement and leadership in this important undertaking.

MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOL BUILDING AUTHORITY

MSBA Board of Directors

Deborah B. Goldberg	Treasurer and Receiver General of the Commonwealth, Chair of the MSBA's Board of Directors
Matthew J. Deninger	Designee of the Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education
Sean R. Cronin	Designee of the Secretary of Administration and Finance, Senior Deputy Commissioner for Local Services
Terry Kwan	Former teacher and Brookline School Committee Member
Sheila Vanderhoef	Former Eastham Town Administrator
Anne Brockelman	Principal, Director of Sustainability, Perry Dean Rogers Partners Architects
Cassandra McKenzie	Associate Vice President, Real Estate and Capital Projects, Northeastern University

MSBA Staff

James A. MacDonald	Chief Executive Officer, First Deputy Treasurer
Mary L. Pichetti	Executive Director / Deputy Chief Executive Officer
Jennifer Gonzalez	Deputy Executive Director
Michael McGurl	Director of Capital Planning
Matthew J. Donovan	Director of Administration and Operations
Christine Nolan	General Counsel
Sharon George	Director of Information Technology
Michael Rodino	Chief Financial Officer
Stacy Patino	Director of Human Resources
Maria Puopolo	Director of External Affairs
Diane Sullivan	Director of Program Management
John Jumpe	Director of Project Data
Brian Kelley	Deputy General Counsel
Yona Baer	Senior Project Manager
Xiaolei Ji	Development Lead
Siobhan Tolman	Procurements and Contracts Manager
Allison Sullivan	Program Manager
Peter Falk	Project Manager
August Knuth	Associate General Counsel
Carolyn Wetnicka	Business Analyst
Stefanie Howlett	Website Content Manager
Rachel Liu	Project Manager

The following MSBA members participated in pre-planning subcommittees for the 2025 School Survey:

MSBA Subcommittee Captain

Chris Alles	Director of Project Management
Karl Brown	Design Director
Rebecca Whidden	Senior Project Manager
Veatriki Dagkalakou	Project Manager
Paul Gaski	Project Manager

MSBA Subcommittee Member

Richard Hudson	Senior Project Manager
John Crisley	Senior Project Manager
Christina Forde	Senior Project Manager
Elena Seiti	Senior Capital Program Manager
Kathryn DeCristofaro	Senior Capital Program Manager
Zhanna Pekelis	Project Manager
Madeline McAuliffe	Project Manager
Vladislav Nivorozhkin	Project Manager
Jennifer Flynn	Program Manager
Van Giech	SQL Database & BI Developer
Jennifer Sampson	Business Analyst
Craig Hovey	Project Manager
Samantha Finnigan	Project Manager
Erin Agan	Project Manager
Lexi Winston	Assistant Project Manager

Special Thanks to all staff at pilot and training districts

Assabet Valley Vocational High School, Assabet Valley Regional Vocational Technical
Sarah Greenwood K-8 School, Boston
Hampshire Regional High School, Hampshire
Lincoln-Sudbury Regional High School, Lincoln-Sudbury
Breed Middle School, Lynn
North Reading Middle School-High School, North Reading
Joseph G Luther Elementary School, Swansea
Galvin Middle School, Wakefield

INDEPENDENT CONSULTANTS

The MSBA worked closely with STV Incorporated and their consultants, CannonDesign, FOS of CannonDesign, 813 Consultants, and Denterlein Worldwide, in providing a specific expertise to create and support a team of professional architects and engineers to conduct and successfully complete the 2025 School Survey.

STV Incorporated

Robert Rink, PIC	Principal-in-Charge
Robert Price Jepsen	VP/QC Manager
David Gonsalves	SR Engineer/SR Project Manager
Michael Duane	Director/Senior Project Manager
Pamela Iriarte Noya	Project Manager
Michael Panarese	Project Manager/SR Assessor
Lekhana Vali	Project Manager/SR Coordinator
Eleni Papaiacovou	Assistant Project Manager

813 Consultants

Kristen Harrington	President

Denterlein Worldwide

Nicole Lannucci	VP of Operations
Jill Reilly	Senior Vice President
Terence Burke	Senior Advisor

CannonDesign

Paul Mills	Principal/Educational Planner
Matt Clough	SR Developer/Programmer
Kara Mecomonaco	Developer/ Programmer
Jacob Lugen	Developer/ Programmer
Nick Derr	Assessment Development Lead

CMTA

Jess Farber	Energy Usage & Sustainability SME

The following assessors surveyed and assisted in collecting data for approximately 162 million square feet of school facilities across the Commonwealth:

STV Incorporated

Charles Liang, Cindy Chan, Eric P. Matzer, Michael Panarese, Pamela Iriarte Noya, Brian Skalla

Virtual Assessors: Sachi Dalwadi, Lekhana Vali, Noelia De La Rosa

Production Assistants: Chana Rhodes, Samantha Nagy

813 Consultants

Robert Harrington, Noel Tarpey, John Linehan, Mark Collins, Paul Wood, Ed Murphy, Oscar Rodriguez, Karl Coiscou, Bob Dwyer, Ben Aaron, Antone Perry, Chris Hellman, Arianna Rodriguez, Carolina Rodriguez

The following schedulers coordinated assessments across six Massachusetts regions: Somaye Dovirani, Callum Vicary, Dakota Law, Laurie Jacobs, Aliyah Bell, Nataki Degraffenreid

TABLE OF CONTENTS

About the Cover 1

Acknowledgment 3

Table of Contents 7

Executive Summary 9
Purpose 9
Findings and Strategic Takeaways 9

Background 15
MSBA History and Mission 15
MSBA Programs 15

Changing Enrollment Landscape 19
Summary 19
Statewide Trends 19
Pandemic Impact 20
Regional Trends 20
District-Level Highlights 22
Gateway Cities and Boston 26
Historic Enrollments 28
School Enrollment Size 29
School Consolidation 30
District Regionalization, Mergers, and Separation 31



Exterior view of Foster Elementary School, Hingham

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Methodology **33**
Expanding the MSBA's Base of Knowledge 33
Data Conventions 33
Process 34
Scoring Methodology 36

Findings **39**
Scope of the Survey: Districts, Campuses, Buildings, and Schools 39

Areas of Strategic Focus – General Environment **51**

Areas of Strategic Focus – Building Condition **61**

Appendix I **69**
Building Evaluation Criteria 69

Appendix II **71**
System Assessment Questions and Business Rules 71

Appendix III **87**
District/School Summaries 87



Interior view of stairwell with wall graphic at Foster Elementary School, Hingham

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE

The Massachusetts School Building Authority ("MSBA") is a quasi-independent government authority created in 2004 by the Legislature to reform the process of funding and implementing capital improvement projects in the Commonwealth's public schools. Under the guidance and oversight of the MSBA Board of Directors, chaired by the State Treasurer, and with strong legislative support, the MSBA strives to work with local communities to create affordable, sustainable, and energy efficient schools across Massachusetts. The MSBA's grant program is a non-entitlement, competitive program. The grants are distributed by the MSBA Board of Directors based on need and urgency, as expressed by the district and validated by the MSBA.

Through its grant program, the MSBA has invited over 1,100 projects into its pipeline and made grant payments totaling $8.3 billion for school construction projects through FY25. In FY24, the MSBA also made the final payments on inherited projects, which completed the work associated with approximately $9.7 billion in project obligations from 1,146 outstanding final audits related to the previous School Building Assistance program. The MSBA, which has a dedicated revenue stream of one penny of the state's 6.25 percent sales tax, has made approximately $18.0 billion in reimbursements to cities, towns, and regional school districts for school construction since 2004.

While proud of these achievements, the MSBA understands there is still much to accomplish. To better understand the school building needs of districts across the Commonwealth, the MSBA conducts periodic statewide School Surveys to assess and benchmark the *physical condition*, *general academic environment*, and *capacity utilization* of public-school buildings across the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The findings of these studies are used to assess the magnitude of capital investments that might be required statewide and the relative needs of all public schools across the state. Along with the findings and other factors, the study informs responsible, fair, and equitable capital funding allocations.

With previous studies conducted in 2006, 2010, and 2016, the 2025 School Survey marks the fourth such statewide assessment. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, efforts to complete a statewide assessment in or around 2021 were paused while districts adjusted to the return of in-person learning. The 2025 School Survey continues a legacy of evolution to keep up with emerging trends in public education and design standards while improving the strategic value of the data collected.

FINDINGS AND STRATEGIC TAKEAWAYS

Findings from the 2025 School Survey reveal four key measurable trends:

1.Improvements in School Environments

The results from the 2025 School Survey indicate continued progress in school environments. Excluding the 121 schools in the MSBA grant program pending major renovation or replacement as of December 2024, 89% of Massachusetts schools eligible for MSBA funding received a Building Condition Rating of 1 or 2 and 98% received a

General Environment rating of 1 or 2, meaning that the site and building conditions are in good to excellent condition and provide a positive physical environment in which to teach and learn.

Between 2017 and 2024, the MSBA funded 95 Core Program projects. Within the 95 projects, 28 projects included consolidations resulting in an additional 34 schools being taken off-line. The result is that 129 schools were renovated, replaced or taken off-line. Further analysis includes:

- The number of schools with a Building Condition Rating of 1 has increased from 848 schools to 1,048 schools or from 50.2% to 67.4%.
- The number of schools with a Building Condition of 2 has decreased from 540 schools to 341 schools or from 32.0% to 21.9% of schools.
- The number of schools with a Building Condition Rating of 3 has decreased from 247 schools to 148 schools or from 14.7% to 9.5%.
- The number of schools with a Building Condition Rating of 4 has slightly decreased with 21 schools in 2016 and 19 schools in 2025 representing 1.2% of the schools.




Figure 1 - Building Rating Summary

Table 1 shows the relationships between 2016 School Survey findings and 2025 School Survey findings for Building Condition ratings. There are 1,818 DESE-recognized public schools, operated by 323 districts and 73 charter organizations, in the Commonwealth and 1,556 Schools were assessed in the 2025 survey.

Facilities have measurably improved in an increasingly larger proportion of schools since the 2010 and 2016 assessments, according to performance metrics consistent with the 2025 School Survey. Figure 1 shows the comparison of the 2025 School Survey findings to 2010 and 2016. Meanwhile, a larger proportion of schools are underutilized due to declining enrollments.

This sustained progress is due in large part to the responsible stewardship and ongoing financial commitment of school districts and the Commonwealth in the form of strategic system upgrades, comprehensive renovations, and the retiring of old, educationally outdated facilities with new state-of-the-art buildings.

While much progress has been made, there is still work to be done. 167 schools or 10.7% of the schools assessed received a Building Condition Rating of 3 or 4, meaning they require moderate to extensive renovation or are in condition that may require replacement.

Looking closer at the schools with Building Condition Ratings of 3 and 4, findings include:

- The overall number of poor condition schools has decreased from 267 to 167.
- There are multiple reasons for this decrease including school closures (128), MSBA Core Program projects (53), MSBA Accelerated Repair Program projects (79), district improvements, and minor changes in rating methodology from 2016.
- Since 2016, 59 schools that were with Building Condition Ratings of 3 and 4 remain as 3 and 4 ratings in 2025.
- An additional 109 schools are newly identified as transitioning to Building Condition Rating 3 or 4 in 2025.

Building Condition Rating (Year)	1 (2025)	2 (2025)	3 (2025)	4 (2025)	Not Assessed 2025 - MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renov. or Repl.	Not Assessed 2025 - Charter
1* (2016)	656	121	27	2	36	2
2 (2016)	247	126	66	6	45	4
3 (2016)	59	79	49	7	28	4
4 (2016)	6	1	2	1	7	0
Not Assessed 2016 - No Rating	80	14	4	3	5	131
Grand Total	**1,048**	**341**	**148**	**19**	**121**	**141**

Table 1 - Building Condition Rating 2016 and 2025 Relationship

*68 schools rated a 1 in 2016 were assigned the rating based on their position in the MSBA pipeline at the time of the Survey or because of the criteria described in the 2016 report regarding recently completed projects (see page 12 of 2016 Report).



Figure 2 - Condition Rating 2010, 2016, 2025

2. Widespread Demographic Change, Growing Capacity Surplus, and Data-Informed Facility-Use Decisions

Statewide, Massachusetts public schools have experienced a prolonged period of steady enrollment decline. Compared to 20 years ago, there are 88,000 fewer students enrolled in non-charter public schools. This represents a nine percent decline in enrollment. While some districts are experiencing growth, many districts are losing one to three percent of students each year, particularly in some of the rural western Massachusetts districts and in certain areas in and around metro-Boston.

The declining enrollment results in inefficient use of facility and personnel, undermining the districts' ability to provide students with high-quality education. Half of assessed schools are underutilized, operating below the recommended 80 to 125 percent of capacity, with approximately 275,000 extra seats in under-enrolled schools; many in older, energy-inefficient buildings.

Amid financial pressures resulting from demographic and enrollment changes, public school leaders are making strategic and cost-driven decisions regarding programming and facility use. Driven by the imperative of providing students with fulfilling educational opportunities, districts across the state are implementing high-demand educational offerings, including Career and Technical Education pathways, multilingual learners programs, and expanding Pre-K programs.

Districts are also engaging with their communities in decisions about the use of limited resources, including difficult changes such as program consolidation, grade reconfiguration, and repurposing school facilities. Since the 2016 study there are 45 fewer schools as a result of mergers and closures. Over a 20-year window there are 88 fewer schools. Signs of sustained future enrollment decline may justify further concentration of capital and operational resources into fewer facilities.

Of the 308 districts surveyed, approximately 120 reported having a Facilities Master Plan developed to help make these types of decisions. Of those 120 districts, 25 indicated that their Facilities Master Plan recommended consolidations or grade reconfiguration, 14 reported that they were studying regional consolidation with another district and three were in discussions about district separations.




Figure 3 - School Openings and Closures 2004-24

3. Strategies for Energy Efficient, Healthy, and Sustainable Schools

Another noteworthy element of MSBA-funded school construction projects is the heightened focus on sustainability and energy efficiency through proactive policy and financial incentives. The MSBA monitors the effectiveness of its sustainable policies in comparison to building codes, updates policies regularly, and makes recommendations for improvement. An emphasis on energy and cost savings results in direct operational savings for school districts while also contributing to healthier schools and the overall environment.

To facilitate existing schools moving toward Massachusetts' goal for net-zero carbon emissions by 2050, the MSBA introduced heat pump conversion projects to its Accelerated Repair Program in 2025. This programmatic change will bring enhanced heating, cooling, and ventilation options to school buildings, particularly their classrooms, while helping districts continue the shift away from traditional fossil fuel heating systems.

Industry advancements over the last decade have supported the efforts of districts and designers to strive for increasingly higher levels of energy efficiency toward goals of net zero. The MSBA's Green Schools Program provides additional reimbursement incentive points to districts in the Core Program to increase the energy efficiency and sustainability for new construction and major renovation/addition projects, by exceeding Massachusetts energy base code requirements. As of October 2025, the Core Program has 35 projects through design or construction phases with geothermal systems. These projects include 28 pursuing all-electric buildings and a subset of 12 pursuing net-zero.

To make data-informed and prioritized funding decisions, the 2025 School Survey was expanded to more than 375 data points from about 210 data points in 2016. The additional data included expanded information on HVAC systems and components, energy use, use of alternative energy, and additional data on non-classroom program spaces. Figure 4 presents a sample of these findings.




Figure 4 - Sustainable Building Certifications & Building Strategies
Note: does not include schools reporting N/A

4. Need for Continued Investment

While the vast majority of schools are in measurably good condition, 167 of the 1, 556 schools assessed remain in buildings with a Building Condition Rating of 3 or 4, meaning that the site and building conditions require moderate to extensive near-term renovation or consideration for possible replacement . In addition, without continued district capital investment and maintenance, the normal facility degradation associated with the aging of buildings and systems will affect Massachusetts schools currently receiving a Building Condition Rating of 1 or 2.

While significant progress has been made since 2004, the MSBA continues to receive Statements of Interests ("SOI") each calendar year for Core Program and Accelerated Repair Program ("ARP") projects. As of September 2025, the MSBA pipeline has 163 Core Program projects and 175 ARP projects. These projects are proceeding through the various phases of their respective programs. In October 2025, an additional 93 ARP projects, including 25 heat pump replacement projects, received invitations to the grant program.

In 2025 and 2026, the Core Program includes 30 forecasted projects bidding for construction. These projects approach $4 billion dollars and are designed for enrollments for over 20,000 students.

Based on its grant approval cap and continuous construction cost escalation, the MSBA anticipates inviting approximately 15 to 18 Core Program projects annually, some of which may impact additional schools through consolidations. By maintaining this rate of replacement, 150 to 200 schools could be fully renovated or replaced with the MSBA's participation over the next 10 years.

With approximately 1,800 public schools, the MSBA is aware of the challenge. To reach every school in the next 50 years, the MSBA would need to help fund the renovation or replacement of 36 schools per year. Such a high rate of replacement emphasizes the importance of local capital investment and maintenance for the Commonwealth's existing schools. Appropriately extending the useful life of each school reduces the rate of replacement across Massachusetts.

The Legislature, through its continued support, has increased the MSBA's annual cap twice from the $500 million established in 2004, first to $800 million in 2020, and then to $1.2 billion in 2023. The last increase also allows the MSBA to commit grant dollars outside its cap for the ARP, including the introduction of heat pump projects. These ARP projects, along with other non-MSBA funded upgrades, are vital to extending the life-cycle of schools, by keeping existing schools open in good condition while helping districts with their short-term and long-term maintenance costs.

With authorized ARP grants no longer taking a portion of its annual cap, the MSBA has increased its construction funding limit for Core Program projects by 50% over the last three years while increasing its Green School Program incentives to further support State's net-zero greenhouse gas emission goals. Meanwhile, other staff recommendations have been approved for the benefit of districts including an amendment to the reimbursement rate policy to ensure a district's base reimbursement rate does not decrease during the life of the project.

As this School Survey Report shows, Massachusetts and its school districts continue to face a wide array of challenges from underutilized buildings over a century old to worn building systems not meeting today's green performance standards. The MSBA remains committed to seeking ways to maximize the impact of its funding, in accordance with its statute, to partner with Massachusetts communities to support the design and construction of educationally appropriate, flexible, sustainable, and cost-effective public-school facilities.

BACKGROUND

MSBA HISTORY AND MISSION

The MSBA is a quasi-independent government authority created in 2004 by the Legislature to reform the process of funding capital improvement projects in the Commonwealth's public schools. With a dedicated revenue stream of one penny of the state's 6.25 percent sales tax, the MSBA's grant program is a non-entitlement, competitive program. The grants are distributed by the MSBA Board of Directors based on need and urgency, as expressed by the district and validated by the MSBA. The MSBA's mission is to partner with Massachusetts communities to support the design and construction of educationally appropriate, flexible, sustainable, and cost-effective public-school facilities.

From inception of the MSBA through FY25, the MSBA has invited over 1,100 projects into its pipeline and made grant payments totaling $8.3 billion for school construction projects. Additionally, the MSBA has completed the work associated with $9.7 billion in project obligations from 1,146 outstanding final audits inherited from the previous School Building Assistance program.

MSBA PROGRAMS

To address the varying needs of school districts, the MSBA has worked to provide several programs and opportunities. Districts receive invitations to the Core Program and Accelerated Repair Program while Commissioning, Post Occupancy Evaluation, Green Schools Program, and Model School Program further enhance the MSBA's positive impact.

To enter the MSBA pipeline, districts must submit a SOI for their school for Core Program or Accelerated Repair Program. The SOI is the critical first step in the MSBA's program for school building construction, addition, and/or renovation or repair grants. The SOI process is conducted annually and allows districts to inform the MSBA about deficiencies that may exist in a local school facility and how those deficiencies inhibit the delivery of the educational program. Each year the MSBA receives more SOIs than there are available funds. The MSBA completes an extensive review of available information for all submitted SOIs for the Core Program and the Accelerated Repair Program. The grants are distributed by the MSBA Board of Directors based on need and urgency, as expressed by districts and validated by the MSBA. Once the MSBA Board of Directors invites a district to participate in the MSBA's grant program, the district and the MSBA work together, in a collaborative process.

Core Program

The MSBA Core Program is the vehicle for renovation, expansion, or replacement of school facilities. The Core Program focuses on major projects that upgrade or replace major building components, as well as update educational programs and potentially increase capacity. Districts apply for a Core Program project through the SOI process and the MSBA evaluates and recommends potential invitations to the Board of Directors to enter the MSBA program. Following an invitation, districts follow prepared and detailed modules which outline the process and policies for each step shown in Figure 5 . Note that Feasibility Study and a potential Post Occupancy Evaluation are specific to the Core Program. Otherwise, Core Program and Accelerate Repair Program follow a similar overarching process.

MSBA Building Process

Steps primarily for:




Figure 5- MSBA Building Process for Core Program

Accelerated Repair Program

The MSBA initiated the Accelerated Repair Program ("ARP") as part of its ongoing repair grant process. The ARP has been primarily used for the replacement of roofs, windows/doors, and/or boilers in school buildings otherwise found to be appropriate for educational use. The MSBA built the ARP process to create a streamlined, efficient means for the districts to most quickly address their needs for roofs and windows. To support Massachusetts' goal of achieving net-zero carbon emissions by 2050, the MSBA introduced heat pump conversion projects in place of boiler replacement for the 2025 SOI process.

The ARP focuses on the preservation of existing assets through energy-efficient and cost-saving upgrades, which provide direct operational and cost-savings to school districts. To maximize the program's effectiveness, districts are required to use pre-selected consultants with standardized contracts and appropriate local funding quickly to accelerate the project schedule.

Commissioning

Building commissioning is a quality-focused process to verify all building systems, subsystems, and envelopes through an independent third party. The MSBA requires and covers 100 percent of the costs for commissioning all MSBA-funded projects. MSBA-commissioned buildings undergo an intensive quality assurance process that begins during design and continues through construction, occupancy, and initial operation. Commissioning ensures that the impacted building systems operate as planned and designed, meeting the owner intent.

Commissioning also prepares the building staff to operate and maintain building systems and equipment by ensuring that district personnel receive appropriate training along with operations and maintenance manuals from equipment manufacturers and installation contractors.

Benefits of commissioning for districts include:

- Improved coordination between design, construction, and occupancy
- Fewer system deficiencies at building turnover
- Enhanced system and equipment function
- Improved building operation and maintenance
- Lower utility bills through energy savings
- Improved indoor environmental quality and occupant comfort

Post Occupancy Evaluation

Post Occupancy Evaluation ("POE") is a process by which the MSBA re-visits completed projects after an initial period of operation to evaluate outcomes. It measures user satisfaction for the administration, educators, facility operators, and student families. The POE includes written surveys, technical data collection, interviews, and an on-site visit to observe conditions and operations. The value of the POE process is the cumulative data it gathers and the trends it compiles. The data and feedback collected enables the MSBA, school districts, and the consultant community to apply lessons learned to future school building projects.

Green Schools

The MSBA's Green Schools Program provides additional reimbursement incentive points to districts in the Core Program to increase the energy efficiency and sustainability for new construction and major renovation/addition projects, by exceeding Massachusetts Energy base code requirements. In accordance with the June 2023 Green School Program policies update, all projects are required to achieve LEED "Silver", achieve specific minimum "indoor air quality" points in LEED, and meet the MA "Stretch" energy code. To achieve an additional reimbursement of 4% of eligible costs, a project must also meet the MA "Specialized" energy code (3%) and meet additional indoor air quality points in LEED (1%). The MSBA monitors the effectiveness of its sustainable policies in comparison to building codes, updates policies regularly, and makes recommendations for improvement. An emphasis on energy and cost savings results in direct operational savings for school districts.

As of October, 2025, 249 Core Program projects have registered with either the Collaborative for High Performance Schools or United States Green Building Council, with 189 of the 249 projects completing certification. The total additional reimbursement from the MSBA through this program to date is approximately $357 million, averaging over $1.7 million dollars per project. Although the MSBA's sustainable design policy emphasizes increased energy efficiencies, it also supports more efficient transportation costs, lower water consumption, reduced use of materials, and encourages a high-quality educational indoor environment.

Model School Program

The Model School Program seeks to effectively adapt and re-use the design of successful, recently constructed Pre-K through 12th grade schools. Model schools are efficient in design and easy to maintain, contain optimal classroom and science lab space, accommodate a range of higher or lower enrollments, incorporate sustainable design elements, and support flexible educational programming spaces while encouraging community use.



East Veterans School Interior Space, Gloucester



View looking out the window of Peck Middle School toward the courtyard, Holyoke

Benefits of the Model School Program include:

- Increased value of existing, proven school designs and best practices
- Compressed project schedules and accelerated construction start times, reducing inflation uncertainty in construction and project cost.
- Lower design fees because of short and streamlined design process.
- Enhanced predictability of project costs and performance.
- Flexible for multiple and/or future uses.
- Opportunity for districts to experience the design in advance before making a final selection.



CHANGING ENROLLMENT LANDSCAPE

SUMMARY

The following section highlights enrollment trends since the 2016 School Survey. Between 2016 and 2025, enrollment in Massachusetts K–12 public schools, not including Commonwealth Charter Schools, declined by more than 5%, with the sharpest losses occurring during the pandemic. Western Massachusetts and the Southern Boston Metro area experienced the most significant declines. In contrast, several suburban and more rural communities saw modest increases. While most large districts lost students over this period, a few—including Fall River, Lynn, Arlington, Haverhill, and Framingham—experienced enrollment growth.

STATEWIDE TRENDS

As of the 2016 School Survey, Massachusetts K–12 public school enrollment had declined by 1.6% since the 2009–10 school year, falling from 897,408 to 883,281 students. Between the 2015-16 and 2024–25 school years, enrollment declined by an additional 5.7%, bringing the statewide total to 832,601 students.

Enrollment trends varied by district type between the 2015–16 and 2024–25 school years. Local school districts, representing a single municipality, serve the majority of students in Massachusetts. These districts saw a 5.9% decline in enrollment. Regional districts experienced a steeper decline of 9.9%. In contrast, vocational and agricultural districts were the only category to see growth, with a 9.7% increase in admissions over the same period. These trends are summarized in Table 2, followed by a statewide enrollment comparison across three School Survey years: 2009–10, 2015–16, and 2024–25 in Figure 6.

Type	2015-16 Enrollment	2024-25 Enrollment	Percent Change
Local	771,723	726,366	-5.88%
Regional	82,287	74,114	-9.93%
Vocational Agricultural	29,271	32,121	9.74%
Grand Total	883,281	832,601	-5.74%




Figure 6 - Enrollment by Region 2009 - Present

PANDEMIC IMPACT

While enrollment in Massachusetts public schools had been declining gradually for over a decade, the COVID-19 pandemic marked a major disruption. Between the 2019–20 and 2020–21 school years, enrollment dropped sharply—from 867,947 to 838,784—a loss of over 29,000 students or 3.4% in a single year. This was the steepest annual decline in the 15-year period shown. Although enrollment has started to slowly rebound over the past two years since the post-pandemic low point in 2022-23, it remains well below pre-pandemic levels. The following line graph in Figure 7 shows the long-term enrollment trend, with the sharp pandemic-era decline clearly visible.




Figure 7 - Annual Statewide Enrollment 2009-2025

REGIONAL TRENDS

Map 1 on the following page shows the six regions that the MSBA utilizes to define geographic groups of districts. The regions are defined as follows:

Region 1: Encompasses the entirety of Western Massachusetts, including major cities such as Springfield, Pittsfield, Holyoke, Northampton, Greenfield, Westfield, Amherst, and Chicopee, as well as a broad range of surrounding rural and small town communities.

Region 2: Includes the heart of central Massachusetts, with a concentration in Worcester County and extending into parts of north-central Middlesex County.


Region 3: Composed of densely urban and suburban districts located along Massachusetts' northeastern coastline and throughout the Merrimack Valley corridor.

Region 4: Consists of Boston's western and northwestern suburban communities, representing the core of the MetroWest region.

Region 5: Covers Boston, along with its southern and nearby suburban communities, extending across the South Shore.

Region 6: Extends beyond the South Shore into Southeastern Massachusetts, encompassing coastal districts as well as Cape Cod, Martha's Vineyard, and Nantucket.

K–12 enrollment declines since 2016 have varied significantly across Massachusetts. Map 1 provides the changes in enrollment between 2015-16 and 2024-25 by region. The steepest losses occurred in Western Massachusetts (Region 1), where enrollment fell nearly 12%, a drop of 13,346 students. The Southern Boston Metro area (Region 5) also experienced a substantial decline of nearly 10%, losing 14,609 students over the same period. All other regions across the state saw more moderate declines, with no region showing overall growth during this timeframe.



Map 1 - Region Enrollment Map

DISTRICT-LEVEL HIGHLIGHTS

Table 3 lists the largest Massachusetts school districts, each with 5,000 or more K–12 students in the 2024–25 school year. Districts are ranked by current enrollment and compared to their 2015–16 levels, highlighting changes in rank, student population, and percent change over time.


Boston remains the largest district in Massachusetts, though it experienced the largest enrollment decline since 2015–16, losing over 7,400 students (–15%). Relative to the statewide enrollment, Boston's 43,338 students represent 5.2% of the Commonwealth's enrollment (832,601). Worcester surpassed Springfield to become the second-largest district (23,721, 2.8%), having had little change in enrollment. Meanwhile, Springfield's enrollment (21,640, 2.6%) declined by approximately 2,600 students (-11%).

Arlington jumped 13 spots in rank—more than any other district—due to a 15% increase in enrollment. Lynn, Framingham, Cambridge, and Waltham also saw notable growth between 8-9%. In contrast, districts like Weymouth, Chicopee, Wachusett, and Andover saw double-digit percentage declines, each dropping significantly in rank. Overall, while some urban and suburban districts grew, most districts with over 5,000 students experienced moderate declines in student population over the past decade.

Map 2 displays relative student enrollment for each Massachusetts school district. Districts with over 10,000 students are shown in the darkest red, while districts with fewer than 300 students are shown in the lightest red.



Interior view of Westfield River Elementary School media center/library, Westfield



Map 2 - District Enrollment Map

Figure 8 displays the 20 local and regional districts with the highest percentage increase in K–12 enrollment between the 2015–16 and 2024–25 school years, excluding districts with fewer than 300 students. Bar color indicates enrollment size in 2025, with darker shades representing larger districts.

Hopkinton and Arlington stand out for combining substantial enrollment growth of 15% or greater with already sizable student populations (over 4,000 and 6,000 in 2024-25, respectively). Several smaller districts experienced double-digit growth, including Norfolk, Holbrook, Athol-Royalston, and Abington. Growth was not limited to suburban districts, however, as urban districts such as Cambridge, Waltham, Lynn, Framingham, and Fall River also posted increases between 7% and 9%.




Figure 8 - Percent Change by District for the 20 Largest Growth Districts

Table 3 - Enrollment for the Largest Districts in 2016 and 2025

2025 Rank	2016 Rank	District Name	2024-25 Enrollment	2015-16 Enrollment	Difference	Percent Difference
1	1	Boston	43,388	50,808	-7,420	-15%
2	3	Worcester	23,721	23,812	-91	0%
3	2	Springfield	21,640	24,263	-2,623	-11%
4	5	Lynn	16,004	14,287	1,717	12%
5	4	Brockton	14,752	16,841	-2,089	-12%
6	6	Lowell	13,882	13,580	302	2%
7	7	Lawrence	12,875	13,213	-338	-3%
8	9	New Bedford	12,027	12,138	-111	-1%
9	8	Newton	11,459	12,482	-1,023	-8%
10	10	Fall River	10,674	9,954	720	7%
11	11	Quincy	9,462	8,893	569	6%
12	12	Framingham	8,970	8,219	751	9%
13	13	Taunton	7,970	7,760	210	3%
14	18	Haverhill	7,604	7,125	479	7%
15	19	Revere	6,950	6,950	0	0%
16	22	Everett	6,934	6,617	317	5%
17	16	Brookline	6,764	7,411	-647	-9%
18	14	Plymouth	6,731	7,465	-734	-10%
19	21	Lexington	6,678	6,849	-171	-2%
20	25	Cambridge	6,625	6,090	535	9%
21	17	Wachusett	6,430	7,209	-779	-11%
22	15	Chicopee	6,426	7,423	-997	-13%
23	20	Methuen	6,319	6,875	-556	-8%
24	24	Malden	6,116	6,282	-166	-3%
25	28	Leominster	6,032	5,899	133	2%
26	39	Arlington	6,013	5,248	765	15%
27	30	Attleboro	5,995	5,735	260	4%
28	32	Chelsea	5,819	6,043	-224	-4%
29	29	Shrewsbury	5,763	5,806	-43	-1%
30	40	Waltham	5,664	5,217	447	9%
31	41	Bridgewater-R.	5,553	5,166	387	7%
32	31	Peabody	5,522	5,651	-129	-2%
33	34	Needham	5,420	5,542	-122	-2%
34	23	Weymouth	5,408	6,340	-932	-15%
35	27	Andover	5,329	6,007	-678	-11%
36	33	Natick	5,173	5,807	-634	-11%
37	31	Braintree	5,093	5,651	-558	-10%
38	44	Fitchburg	5,073	5,013	60	1%

Figure 9 identifies the 20 school districts with the greatest percentage decline in K–12 enrollment between the 2015–16 and 2024–25 school years, excluding districts with fewer than 300 students. Darker bar color indicates larger districts.

Several small and mid-sized districts across the state have experienced steep enrollment declines over the past decade. Pioneer Valley, Ralph C. Mahar (grades 7-12 serving New Salem, Orange, Wendell, and Petersham), and Rockport stand out with losses exceeding 35%, while numerous other districts—including Hoosac Valley Regional, North Brookfield, and Hull—lost nearly one-third of their student populations. The most substantial percentage declines are concentrated in smaller rural communities, though some larger districts like Pembroke, Sandwich, and Wareham also saw significant decreases of 25%. These patterns may reflect demographic shifts, declining birth rates, out-migration, or school consolidations in certain areas.




Figure 9 - Percent Change by District for the 20 Greatest Decrease Districts



Exterior view of Shay Elementary School, Amesbury

Figure 10 displays the change in admissions for vocational and agricultural schools in Massachusetts between the 2015-16 school year and the 2024-25 school year. Darker bar color indicates larger districts. Agricultural districts posted the steepest increases. Supported by MSBA-funded campus expansions, Essex North Shore Agricultural and Technical grew by 50%, Bristol County Agricultural by 35%, and Norfolk County Agricultural by 14%, reflecting strong demand for agricultural education.

Vocational districts showed a more varied pattern. Several districts experienced significant increases—Greater Lawrence (31%). South Middlesex (28%), and Franklin County (27%)—while others maintained steady growth in the 5–15% range. At the same time, some vocational districts declined in enrollment, with Shawsheen (-5%) and Whittier (-4%) among those posting decreases. Each of these five schools, but for Greater Lawrence, are currently in the early phases of the MSBA's Core Program.





Figure 10 - Percent Change by District for Vocational and Agricultural Districts

Map 3 shows percentage change in K–12 enrollment by district across Massachusetts. Districts shaded in darker blue experienced greater increases, while those in darker orange experienced greater declines.

Despite an overall statewide enrollment decline, growth is evident in several areas:

- MetroWest and Southwest suburbs, including Hopkinton, Norfolk, and Milford.
- Inner Metro Boston communities, including Arlington, Lynn, and Watertown.
- Some Southeastern and Island areas, including Kingston, Abington, Fall River, and Nantucket.
- Rural districts in Central and Western MA, including Worthington, Rowe, and Farmington River.
- Conversely, sharp enrollment declines are most common in:
- Cape Cod, including Sandwich, Orleans, Wellfleet, Wareham, and Truro.
- Small Western districts, such as Hawlemont, Hatfield, Hoosac Valley, Westhampton, and Williamsburg.
- South Central communities like Wales, North Brookfield, Douglas, and Northbridge.
- North Shore districts, including Marblehead, Amesbury, Rockport, Manchester-Essex, and Triton.



Map 3 - District Enrollment Map

GATEWAY CITIES AND BOSTON

Enrollment changes in Massachusetts' Gateway Cities and Boston show a mixed picture. See Table 4 and Figure 11 on the following page. Collectively, these 27 districts represent 33% of the Commonwealth's enrollment.

Several Gateway districts saw notable increases in student enrollment, with Lynn growing by 8%, and Fall River and Haverhill growing by 7% respectively. Other districts such as Quincy (+6%), Everett (+5%), and Attleboro (+5%) experienced more moderate gains. Each of these districts have benefitted from at least one Core Program project invitation since the 2016 School Survey.

However, more than half of Gateway Cities faced enrollment declines. The most significant decreases occurred in Westfield (–16%), Pittsfield (–14%), Chicopee (– 13%), Holyoke (–13%), and Brockton (–12%). Boston also saw a steep enrollment reduction of 15%, marking one of the largest declines in the state. Despite the declines, building needs remain and, similar to the growing districts listed previously, most of these declining districts has benefitted from at least one Core Program project invitation since 2016.




Figure 11 - Percent Change for Gateway Cities and Boston (2016-2025)

Table 4 - Enrollment in Gateway Cities and Boston in 2016 and 2025

District Name	2024-25 Enrollment	2015-16 Enrollment	Difference	Percent Difference
Attleboro	5,995	5,735	260	5%
Barnstable	4,530	4,812	-282	-6%
Boston	43,388	50,808	-7,420	-15%
Brockton	14,752	16,841	-2,089	-12%
Chelsea	5,819	6,043	-224	-4%
Chicopee	6,426	7,423	-997	-13%
Everett	6,934	6,617	317	5%
Fall River	10,674	9,954	720	7%
Fitchburg	5,073	5,013	60	1%
Haverhill	7,604	7,125	479	7%
Holyoke	4,468	5,153	-685	-13%
Lawrence	12,875	13,213	-338	-3%
Leominister	6,032	5,899	133	2%
Lowell	13,882	13,580	302	2%
Lynn	16,004	14,821	1,183	8%
Malden	6,116	6,282	-166	-3%
Methuen	6,319	6,875	-556	-8%
New Bedford	12,027	12,138	-111	-1%
Peabody	5,522	5,651	-129	-2%
Pittsfield	4,693	5,458	-765	-14%
Quincy	9,462	8,893	569	6%
Revere	7,102	6,950	152	2%
Salem	3,640	3,703	-63	-2%
Springfield	21,640	24,263	-2,623	-11%
Taunton	7,970	7,760	210	3%
Westfield	4,550	5,394	-844	-16%
Worcester	23,721	23,812	-91	0%

HISTORIC ENROLLMENTS

Based on Figure 12, it is reasonable to expect the overall decline of public school enrollment experienced since the 2016 School Survey will continue for the next 5-10 years due to the recent graduation of historically large cohorts as well as the future graduation of current high school cohorts (each over 70,000 students in 2024-25), who will be replaced by smaller cohorts of students in lower grades as illustrated in the grade/year color heatmap matrix. Unlike prior tables and figures, Figure 12 also includes Pre-K enrollments.




Figure 12 - Enrollment by Year and Grade Heatmap Matrix

SCHOOL ENROLLMENT SIZE

Since 2004, there is a measurable downward trend in average school enrollment sizes at most grade levels across the state, with variable trends by district size and geographical locale. This is attributable to maintaining a similar number of schools during a period of enrollment decline.

Exceptions include Vocational and Agricultural High Schools that have seen growth with heightened interest in Chapter 74 Career Technical Education ("CTE") programs.

Of note is that the average enrollment of elementary schools has remained stable over this timeframe, with approximately 75 fewer schools (797 versus 722) due to closures or consolidations. 31 were as the result of an MSBA project.

Figure 13 illustrates the average enrollment by school type within each district when grouping all schools into one of five school types. As expected, high schools, which are most often the singular school supporting all district students in grades 9-12, show the most variation in size and have the largest individual school enrollments in the Commonwealth.

Massachusetts public school districts operate a wide range of school sizes at each grade level. Each mark on this distribution chart represents a single district's average school enrollment at each grade level, the higher the mark, the larger its schools. The size of the mark is scaled to the number of the district's schools at each grade level. The statewide average is indicated with a dotted line.




Figure 13 - Enrollment size at grade level, average by district.

SCHOOL CONSOLIDATION

Driven by the need to respond to financial pressures resulting from demographic and enrollment changes, public school district leaders across the country are making strategic program and facility use decisions that shape educational infrastructure.

Consistent with national trends, Massachusetts has experienced school closures and consolidations steadily since 2004. Signals of ongoing enrollment decline will likely justify further concentration of capital and operational resources into fewer school facilities.

Of the 308 districts surveyed, 110 reported having a Facilities Master Plan, of which 39 indicated that they are considering consolidations, grade reconfigurations, or both. Districts reporting they were studying regional consolidation with another district totaled 14; three were in discussions about district separations.




Figure 14 - School Openings and Closures

DISTRICT REGIONALIZATION, MERGERS, AND SEPARATION

Not only have districts consolidated individual schools within their portfolios, but entire districts in rural communities have also merged their governance authority and operational responsibilities to focus their fiscal resources on teaching and programs while enhancing their educational opportunities for students.

District regionalization has taken multiple forms, including distinct municipal districts at the elementary school level that feed into shared regional secondary school districts, as well as unified Pre-K-12 regional school districts.

Recent cases in Massachusetts are outlined below:

- Historical Enrollment Data from DESE
- 2019 – Berlin (1 elementary school) and Boylston (1 elementary school) joined to form the Berlin-Boylston Regional School District.
- 2018 – Lanesborough (1 elementary school) and Williamstown (1 elementary school) joined the Mount Greylock Regional School District.
- 2014 - Essex Agricultural Technical and North Shore Regional Vocational Technical combined to form the new Essex North Shore Agricultural and Technical School District.
- 2014 – Acton (5 elementary schools) and Boxborough (1 elementary school) joined the Acton-Boxborough Regional District
- 2012 - Chatham and Harwich combined to form the new Monomoy Regional School District.
- 2012 – Granville joined the Southwick-Tolland Regional School District, which was renamed the Southwick-Tolland-Granville Regional School District.
- 2011 – Ayer and Shirley combined to form the new Ayer Shirley Regional School District.
- 2011 – Freetown (1 elementary school) and Lakeville (2 elementary schools) joined the Freetown-Lakeville Regional District.



Exterior view of Westfield River Elementary School, Westfield



Exterior view of Pine Hill Elementary School, Westwood



METHODOLOGY

EXPANDING THE MSBA'S BASE OF KNOWLEDGE

The 2025 School Survey advances the MSBA's effort to strengthen its understanding of public-school facilities across the Commonwealth. Building on the successes of prior assessments in 2006, 2010, and 2016, the 2025 School Survey effort expands the scope and depth of information compiled, growing the number of data points to more than 375 and expanding data integration with other available data.

This expanded approach is designed to enhance the utility and relevance of the data collected. The additional data points include more information on mechanical systems, energy utilization, and non-classroom educational spaces. These support the goals of the MSBA and the Commonwealth for climate action and educationally appropriate and flexible spaces.

Schools renovated and rebuilt in partnership with the MSBA demonstrate an evolution toward a new generation of future-ready school designs, with inclusive spaces that facilitate collaborative team instruction, small group project-based learning for students with diverse learning styles, flexible floorplans, and modern information technology. Among enhancements developed for the 2025 School Survey are the inventory of these flexible spaces as well as any small break-out resource room not previously captured.

DATA CONVENTIONS

The 2025 School Survey marks a pivot in data architecture and level of detail that more closely aligns with the MSBA's role of funding and administering capital projects and better models the complex *'many-to-many'* relationship of school organizations (identified by unique 8-digit DESE codes to which enrollments are assigned) with school campus locations (physical address) and school buildings (discrete freestanding facilities). Of the total number of schools assessed (1,556) 90% are located on their own campus. There are approximately 100 cases of campuses with more than one school, and a few cases with schools operating on separate sites (split campus).

A key data management enhancement for 2025 is that data are now collected by district, campus, and building, as opposed to the previous protocol of collecting redundant and parsed out data by DESE school organization. District and school organizations are now linked to their corresponding campuses and buildings in the database, enabling discrete DESE school organization level reporting of associated aggregated campus-level metrics for condition, general environment sufficiency, and capacity utilization.

In addition to reducing redundancy to minimize human error and duplicate counting, this refinement also enables the MSBA to maintain current and flexible data where school organizations may change locations but associated ratings remain with the campus and building.

PROCESS

To maintain unbiased objectivity, the MSBA conducted this work with the support of third-party experts in educational facility design and building assessments. Data collection was conducted in two modes: virtual interviews and on-site assessments. The project was carried out by a team of five virtual and 25 on-site assessors, with their findings integrated into a comprehensive evaluation of facility conditions. See Figure 15.




Figure 15 - Assessment process flowchart

Planning Phase

From July through October 2024, STV and CannonDesign led a series of collaborative work sessions with the MSBA to design and document the methodology for gathering and reporting data for the 2025 School Survey. These meetings focused on three key areas:

- **School list** – a hierarchical breakdown of approximately 323 total districts and approximately 1,600 school sites, buildings, and organizations within the scope of the project, as well as a defined strategy for multi-school campuses.
- **Survey design** – the definition of more than 375 datapoints to be collected and reported as part of the 2025 School Survey, balancing level of detail and usefulness with the feasibility of consistently acquiring district-reported and assessor-observed data within allotted time allowances.
- **Technology coordination** – evaluation of the assessment tool, discovery of existing MSBA enterprise system data and relational indexes, and development of a strategy for cross-walking and transferring data upon project completion.

These work sessions were structured to allow subcommittees of MSBA and STV subject-matter experts to analyze and edit each question, one at a time, from a list of approximately 375 survey questions. Each change was documented to capture updates in wording and allowable values, assign data points to most effectively associate each to portfolio tiers, and capture the status and date of approval by the MSBA for each question, using a master tracking spreadsheet.

STV and CannonDesign configured the selected FOScore software to house the school list and survey questions. The MSBA reviewed and approved the setup before conducting a pilot assessment of six pilot school campuses to test the survey process and results. Based on the pilot, final edits to School Survey 2025 questions were implemented prior to assessor training sessions and initiating the Survey Phase.

Analysis of the pilot survey led to a two-step survey model, shifting approximately 125 factual questions from on-site to an advance virtual interview followed by shorter on-site assessments. This adjustment improved the efficiency and likelihood of gathering all data in the expanded survey.

Survey Phase

The data collection team worked across six regional planning areas to divide the 323 total districts, and 308 districts assessed, into manageable groups (some one-school districts have schools in the pipeline and are not part of the assessment number). A team of schedulers contacted districts in advance to coordinate survey schedules, review the set of questions, and establish points of contact.

In 2016, data was collected and recorded using a tablet device and later synced to the data base. For the 2025 School Survey, data was recorded using a web base application via a smart phone or tablet device; the data collection was configured for live input directly to the FOScore software system, by the assessor. FOScore's configuration allowed for flexible field definitions and storage in a pivoted data structure that supports greater consistency.

The 2025 School Survey capitalized on advances in mobile technologies, resulting in increased productivity, reduced human error, and faster data validation. Tablet and web app interfaces were optimized for efficient data capture.

The mobile collection tool benefits include:

- Built-in basic data validation logic in the assessment software to prevent skipped or illogical entries.
- Elimination of paper forms, reducing transcription errors.
- In-built photo capture and tagging capability.

A team of five virtual interviewers and 25 on-site assessors carried out the data collection. These assessors were design and engineering professionals with diverse backgrounds and experience in facility design, construction, and building condition assessment. To ensure consistent and accurate data collection, assessors underwent a week-long training program comprised of classroom work and field training. The classroom portion of the assessor training included several workshops covering the data collection tool, survey protocols, technical instruction, and data recording standards. Assessor field training was conducted at two schools . Field training included group assessments and supervised



Exterior of Welch Elementary School, Peabody

individual assessments to confirm that assessors were prepared and functioning at a consistent level.

A new aspect of the 2025 School Survey was the addition of virtual interviews with district and facility personnel. Conducted via desktop using the same software, these interviews collected district, campus, and building level information prior to site visits. Each school survey began with a 1-to-2-hour virtual interview gathering data on district operations, school information, energy use, and mechanical systems. Site visits began with a 30-minute interview with the principal and facilities personnel to confirm or supplement the virtual interview data. During this portion of the assessment, assessors used a floor plan provided by the school to document the current use of each classroom space to record classroom counts and utilization of spaces within the building.

Assessors then conducted comprehensive facility walkthroughs with district representatives, observing the use and condition of all major spaces and systems on each floor, including classrooms, science labs, gyms, libraries, cafeterias, boiler rooms, and other support spaces. The survey included an assessment of site exteriors, including observation of the building facade and general site conditions.

Throughout the process, senior discipline specialists conducted spot-checks and field observations. Fridays were reserved for QA/QC reviews, project status calls, and preparations for the next week. In addition to the ongoing quality control process, the districted-provided draft findings for each of the schools were made available to districts for review and input prior to the publication of the report.

SCORING METHODOLOGY

Consistent with prior surveys, the 2025 School Survey employs a detailed scoring methodology to calculate comparative ratings for each school across three categories: building condition, general environment, and capacity utilization. The 2025 survey expands upon previous surveys by increasing the granularity of the data while maintaining comparability with prior surveys. The Building Condition Score is a useful benchmark of the *relative physical condition of facilities* among buildings, campuses, and districts and indication of the extent of repairs, renovations, and possible replacement that may need to be considered by the district.

The 2025 School Survey is designed to be congruent with previous assessments for comparisons while adding certain data points to update measures to be relevant to school operations and educational programs. Similar to the 2016 School Survey, this assessment uses weighted scoring rubrics to compute scores for overall school ratings, sub-categories, and Key Performance Indicators ("KPI"s), allowing comparative benchmarking of schools in terms of relative need.

Ratings used in the 2025 survey include:

Building Condition - an overall rating for the general conditions of a school facility's major systems, such as roofing, HVAC, windows, flooring, and site utilities. The building systems conditions were rated on a scale of 1 or 4, with a rating of 1 indicating the best conditions and a 4 indicating the poorest.

General Environment - an overall rating that reflects the school building's Learning Environment, Building Safety, Universal Accessibility, Academic Sufficiency, Program Sufficiency, and Instructional Technology. The school's general environment was rated on a scale of 1 or 4, with a rating of 1 indicating the best environment and a 4 indicating the poorest.

Capacity Utilization - an overall rating that reflects the school building's capacity utilization based on comparisons to statewide norms on a scale of over utilization, average utilization and underutilization. Average capacity utilization ranges between 80 percent and 125 percent. Utilization below 80 percent is underutilized and over 125 percent is overutilized. Additional review of schools with a rating of underutilization may indicate additional capacity is available and a rating of over utilization may indicate that a school is experiencing crowding.

Building Condition Scores and Ratings

Building Condition Scores are calculated using a multi-tiered weighted scoring rubric that applies a score for each site element and building system based on the technical assessor's 5-level rating determined through visual observation and consistent application of established business rules.

There are discrete site and component system elements for each building, each bearing a score based on the assessor's rating, and multiplied times a proportion of 100% weight:

- General Maintenance Only (GMO) – 0.00 score
- Minor Repairs (Min) – 0.20 score
- Moderate Repairs (Mod) – 0.50 score
- Major Repairs (Maj) – 0.90 score
- Replace (Rep) – 1.10 score

The Building Condition Score is calculated as the sum of all weighted system scores for each building. These are aggregated at the campus level and district level as a weighted average by square foot (i.e. the campus condition score will be closer to that of its larger buildings versus smaller ones).

Lower Building Condition Scores indicate better facility condition. The assessment framework is based on weights assigned based on relative criticality and importance to the operation of the school and modeled system degradation, and not the relative cost of repairs. Because of this, while often similar in relativity, the Building Condition Score diverges from cost-based metrics such as Facility Condition Index, a common measure of the cost of repair divided by the cost of replacement.

Each campus is also assigned a **Building Condition Rating** of 1-4 based on the Building Condition as follows:

- Condition Rating 1: Building Condition > 0 and ≤ 0.245
- Condition Rating 2: Building Condition > 0.245 and ≤ 0.395
- Condition Rating 3: Building Condition > 0.395 and ≤ 0.595
- Condition Rating 4: Building Condition > 0.595

While the level of data collection expanded in this generation of the School Survey, the scoring framework and higher-level system weights and business rules are consistent with those used in 2016, enabling longitudinal comparative analysis.

General Environment Scores and Ratings

General Environment Scores are calculated using a multi-tiered weighted scoring rubric that applies a score for 58 aspects of the facility that contribute to the *functional adequacy and overall quality of the learning environment*.

A General Environment Score (1.00 scale, lower better) is calculated in aggregate for the overall quality of the learning environment. **There are six categorical and 15 sub-categorical scores**.

The primary categories of the General Environment Score are:

- Learning Environment
- Building Safety
- Universal Accessibility
- Capacity
- Program Sufficiency
- Instructional Technology

Each campus is also assigned a **General Environment Rating** of 1-4 based on the General Environment as follows:

- Condition Rating 1: General Environment > 0 and ≤ 0.245
- Condition Rating 2: General Environment > 0.245 and ≤0.395
- Condition Rating 3: General Environment > 0.395 and ≤0.595
- Condition Rating 4: General Environment > 0.595

While the measures and level of granularity expanded in this 2025 School Survey, the scoring framework and higher-level system weights are consistent with those used in 2016 to enable longitudinal comparative analysis.



Interior view of breakout classroom space with wall graphics above, Crocker Elementary School, Fitchburg

Capacity Utilization and Rating

School capacity, the number of students that a school can reasonably accommodate based on the facility's spaces and educational program, provides a useful basis of comparison versus enrollment, or number of students served, to identify potential crowding and need for new space, as well as underutilization and opportunities for repurposing surplus spaces or facilities for alternate use.

Determination of school capacity is a matter of local policy, educational programs, and funding structures and varies widely from district to district. In the interest of consistently benchmarking relative need of space across the state, the 2025 School Survey calculates a 'normalized' **MSBA Capacity** for all schools similar to 2016 to enable longitudinal comparative analysis. The MSBA's Capacity is based on standard formulas aligned with the MSBA's building standards for students per scheduled instructional space with allowances for the school's grade level and scheduling factors that model normal school operations.

By comparing DESE's official 2024-25 enrollment for grades Pre-K-12 against the MSBA Capacity, the School Survey calculates a **Capacity Utilization** (percent, how 'full' the facility is), and **Capacity Surplus/ Shortage** (difference, i.e. how many 'seats' are extra or needed).

Consistent with previous assessments, a Capacity Rating is determined based on the Capacity Utilization as follows:

- Over Utilization: Capacity Utilization > 125%
- Average: Capacity Utilization ≥ 80% and ≤ 125%
- Under Utilization: Capacity Utilization < 80%

In the interest of facilitating comprehensive site planning and resiliency against fluid space assignments from year to year in shared campuses, the 2025 School Survey collects room inventory data and calculates MSBA Capacity at the campus level without parsing between co-located school organizations. Capacity Utilization is computed by aggregating the baseline enrollment of all schools on each campus, yielding a blended average measure of utilization.

FINDINGS

SCOPE OF THE SURVEY:

Districts, Campuses, Buildings, and Schools

Schools Evaluated

In school year 2024-25, there were **about 916,000 Pre-K through 12th grade students and special education students beyond 12th grade** in the Commonwealth enrolled in **1,818 DESE-recognized public schools**, operated by **323 districts and 73 charter organizations**.

In the 1,677 MSBA funding eligible schools, the enrollment totals about 832,000 students.

The scope of the **2025 School Survey includes 1,556 school assessments of the total 1,818 public schools**. See Figure 16. Schools not evaluated for this report are:

» Those occupied exclusively by schools not eligible for MSBA capital funding, such as standalone Pre-K-only centers, institutional schools, virtual programs, and non-Horace Mann Commonwealth charter schools. (Note: Campuses and buildings hosting such schools in addition to schools eligible for MSBA funding were included in the study in their entirety without excluding portions assigned to non-eligible schools).

308	1,556	1,446
Districts Surveyed	School Orgs Studied	School Campuses Visited
73.2K	**1,548**	**162M**
Acres Reviewed	Buildings Assessed	Gross Sq Ft Walked

			Assessed 2025	In MSBA Pipeline	Not Eligible for MSBA Funding	Grand Total
	EC	Early Childhood Center	41 (44%)	1 (1%)	52 (55%)	94 (100%)
	ES-L	Lower Elementary School	111 (94%)	7 (6%)		118 (100%)
	ES-I	Intermediate School	80 (95%)	4 (5%)		84 (100%)
	ES	Elementary School	672 (93%)	43 (6%)	8 (1%)	723 (100%)
	ES-MS	Elem-Middle/PK-8 School	85 (82%)	2 (2%)	17 (16%)	104 (100%)
	MS	Middle School	260 (94%)	15 (5%)	2 (1%)	277 (100%)
	MS-HS	Middle-High School	67 (68%)	6 (6%)	26 (26%)	99 (100%)
	HS-G	General High School	159 (84%)	15 (8%)	15 (8%)	189 (100%)
	HS-C	Comprehenisive High School	47 (75%)	16 (25%)		63 (100%)
	HS-VA	Vocational/Agricultural High School	25 (69%)	11 (31%)		36 (100%)
	ES-MS-HS	Elem-Middle-High/PK-12 School	9 (29%)	1 (3%)	21 (68%)	31 (100%)
	Grand Total		1,556 (86%)	121 (7%)	141 (8%)	1,818 (100%)



Figure 16 - 2025 Assessment Scope & School Counts

- Those currently in the MSBA Core Program, whose facilities are currently or will soon undergo alterations that would render assessment findings obsolete.
- Closed school campuses no longer used for daily school operations.

This represents a significant increase in the number of schools assessed in 2025 compared to the 2016 School Survey, during which 1,419 schools were surveyed. The increase in the number of schools assessed is largely attributable to the MSBA's intentional inclusion of recently constructed buildings that were not included in the 2016 School Survey to gain a comprehensive baseline for statewide data benchmarking. In 2016, MSBA School Assessors did not visit schools that had received funding for new construction since 2007 and were either substantially complete or were in the MSBA's grant process.



Exterior of Coburn Elementary School, West Springfield

School Types

With no fewer than 72 distinct grade band configurations in 2024-25 compounded by various distinguishing educational programs, it is challenging to draw clear lines of distinction when classifying school types as a basis of trend analysis and comparisons.

The 2025 School Survey builds on previous assessments and enhances the consistency and precision of terminology and defining criteria for school type categories based on beginning and ending grade levels and associated programs.

Along with separate classifications for common narrower grade bands of Lower and Intermediate (Upper) Elementary Schools, there are different classes of High Schools based on DESE's online organization directory search filter for "Chapter 74 Career/Voc Tech Education", revealing Regional Vocational Technical High Schools and Agricultural High Schools as well as Comprehensive High Schools, which are counted separately from General High Schools and Middle-High Schools which may offer CTE programs. General High Schools and Middle-High Schools which may offer CTE programs are not included in DESE's Chapter 74 directory.

For the 2025 School Survey, the grade configuration ranges used to define school types ignore small cohorts of grade levels that fall outside of the primary grade band of the school. Example: a 1,500 student 9-12 Comprehensive Senior High School with 15 Pre-K students enrolled in a co-located Early Childhood program tied to an educational CTE pathway is classified as HS-C, and not ES-MS-HS.

Campuses, Buildings, Square Footage, and Facility Age

The 1,556 school organizations evaluated are located on **1,446 distinct school campuses** comprising about **73.2 thousand acres of land**. Of these, 1,340 (over 85%) are single-school sites, and 104 are campuses hosting multiple schools on the same site. There are also five schools with buildings on separated sites.

The 1,446 campuses evaluated under the scope of this study incorporate **1,548 permanent school buildings** in current use, totaling more than **162 million gross square feet** ("GSF"). This category represents the largest public building footprint in Massachusetts.

In addition, there are 312 temporary modular buildings on 142 sites with approximately 461 classrooms recorded. While commonly used to address rapid enrollment growth, modulars are intended for temporary capacity relief and as such are not included in capacity calculations.

In Figure 17, the school buildings span decades of original construction, dating back to the 1848 Carlisle School (renovated in 2012), with **148 school buildings over 100 years** of age still in use today. The average renovation age is 35 years (weighted by square feet).




Figure 17 - School Building Construction Timeline

Of note in the timeline graph (Figure 17), over one-quarter of schools by square feet has been either built new or fully renovated since the MSBA's inception in 2004. This measure doesn't include the more than 100 schools currently in the Core Program that were intentionally not assessed in this School Survey, nor the positive impact from targeted roof, window, and/or boiler replacement projects through the MSBA's Accelerated Repair Program.

For further illustration of relative building age by geography, see Figure 18.




Figure 18 - Location of Buildings by Construction Era

School Rating Summary – Improvement in School Conditions

Ratings used in the 2025 survey include:

Building condition - an overall rating for the general conditions of a school facility's major systems, such as roofing, HVAC, windows, flooring, and site utilities. The building systems conditions were rated on a scale of 1 to 4, with a rating of 1 indicating the best conditions and a 4 indicating the poorest.

General environment - an overall rating that reflects the school building's Learning Environment, Building Safety, Universal Accessibility, Academic Sufficiency, Program Sufficiency, and Instructional Technology. The school's general environment was rated on a scale of 1 or 4, with a rating of 1 indicating the best environment and a 4 indicating the poorest.

Capacity Utilization - an overall rating that reflects the school building's capacity utilization was determined at each individual school based on comparisons to statewide norms on a scale of over utilization, average utilization and underutilization.



Shay Elementary School Classroom, Amesbury

Building Condition

Schools in Massachusetts are in generally good condition, as illustrated in the assessment results in Figure 19.

89% of Massachusetts schools received a building condition rating of 1 or 2, meaning that their site and building systems are in generally good condition, with few building systems that may need attention.

1.2% of schools in the Commonwealth, or 19 schools, received a building condition rating of 4, meaning that they are in poor condition in need of major renovation, discontinued use, or replacement. 148 or 9.5% of schools received an overall building conditions rating of 3, meaning they may require moderate to extensive renovation to address the physical deficiencies at those facilities.

Although the data indicates that schools are in generally good condition, without continued capital expenditures, building conditions and systems degrade over time - even with proper maintenance – due to wear and tear associated with routine building use and general aging of the facility.

Building Condition Scores can be expected to increase by about 1-2% per year, meaning that a new school could drop from a 1 rating to a 2 within 12-15 years with general maintenance and without capital improvements, and by the 20th year a building not undergoing replacement of major building systems could fall to a 3 condition rating, demonstrating the importance of continued capital investments. For example, there are 18 schools with a high 2 rating that are approaching a rating of 3.




Figure 19 - Building Condition Rating and Score

The 2025 School Survey breaks down the building systems into more granular detail than previous assessments, providing deeper basis of comparative analysis and actionable information for funding and implementing proactive reinvestment into school facilities. Figure 20 illustrates the sub-components of Site and Building that generate the Building Condition.

Average scores of the third Key Performance Indicator ("KPI") tier of four hierarchical system measurements are depicted in the facing image. Systems assessed in poorer condition on average and in need of the most renovation include parking lots, driveways, and paving among the site categories at the left, and roofs and HVAC (now detailed with more actionable granularity), as well as windows, ceilings and floors among building systems at the right.

Of note is the relatively low (good) condition scores of boilers, illustrating recent investments through the MSBA's Accelerated Repair Program. Still, about 100 campuses have boilers rated either Major or Replace, highlighting many opportunities for the MSBA to fulfil the planned evolution away from carbon-intensive boilers to sustainable alternative heat sources such as ground source heat pumps.




Figure 20 - Scoring Categories – Building Condition

General Environment


Like in previous iterations, the 2025 School Survey's General Environment assessment rates each campus with a four-tier weighted scoring system based on observable physical criteria that affect the learning environment.

It is important to understand that the School Survey does *not* include an educational program assessment nor account in any detail for the specific curriculum and academic design of each school.

The scoring rubric does however include differentiated criteria and weights for each school type to best model the operations and needs of different grade levels and programs.

As shown in Figure 21 , the 2025 School Survey indicates that approximately 98% of schools are in campuses receiving a general environment rating of 1 or 2, meaning they provide an adequate physical environment in which to teach and learn. Schools that receive a 1 or 2 have access to daylight in most or all their classes, adequately sized classrooms, appropriate core spaces for the enrollment, proper maintenance, access to technology, and few physical barriers for individuals with physical disabilities.

Of note is the relative few schools whose facilities are rated in very poor condition, underscoring the progress made by Massachusetts school districts working in partnership with the MSBA to improve the quality of schools. Unlike the Building Condition Rating, the General Environment criteria are more inherent to the design of the facility and less subject to degradation over time.






Figure 21 - General Environment Rating and Score

The 2025 School Survey General Environment assessment includes one overall rating which is a weighted average of six categorical scores, each composite of sub-categorical KPIs and discrete measures:

- Learning Environment
- Building Safety
- Universal Accessibility
- Capacity
- Program Sufficiency
- Instructional Technology

Each of these categories was broken into subcategories for which data was gathered. The General Environment scoring contains more overall subcategories than the Building Condition scoring. Sub-components of the factors determining GE score are depicted in Figure 22.

The single poorest rated environmental aspect was thermal comfort, which includes ventilation, humidity control, air conditioning, and controls. This is reinforced by the earlier reference to the relatively poor condition of HVAC systems.

Also of note is the scores for school security owing to an expanded scope of datapoints collected and incorporated into the GE Score. Decisions to include individual safety and security components in a school facility, which components help to inform the school security score, are made at the local level and certain components may not be deemed appropriate in every school.




Figure 22 - Scoring Categories – General Environment

Capacity Utilization and ChangingDemographics

As described in the methodology section, normalized MSBA Capacity figures were calculated for measuring and benchmarking overall capacity utilization by applying a consistent operational capacity model closely aligned with the current MSBA facility design standards.

Each school's MSBA Capacity is calculated by adding up the sum of all classrooms currently used for educational purposes, which is the product of three values: the Base Classroom Capacity Model, Classroom Size Adjustment factor, and a Utilization Factor.. This computes a theoretical number of capacity 'seats' that can reasonably be accommodated at each school based on grade level, program, and room types.

Schools were evaluated to determine if their space utilization fell outside of generally accepted normal ranges and were placed in one of three categories. Average utilization ranges between 80 percent and 125 percent. Utilization below 80 percent represents underutilization while utilization above 125 percent represents overutilization. The three categories provide helpful indicators calling for strategic review and further facility planning.

Given the 2025 School Survey general approach to the evaluation of space utilization, this data should not be used as a definitive determination of whether a school is over or underutilized. Rather, each school's space utilization rating should be used as a starting point for informed study and action.

Figure 23 provides the results for all assessed schools combined as well as assess schools by school type.




Figure 23 - Capacity Ratings by Grade Level

By comparing the number of enrolled students to each school campus' capacity in the permanent buildings, the following have been calculated: measures for capacity filled, capacity surplus (in cases where there's more capacity than students), capacity shortage (when there are more students than capacity), and percent capacity utilization.

Largely due to declining enrollment, there has been an overall decrease in capacity utilization and increase in surplus capacity statewide. In schools experiencing overutilization, there is roughly an aggregate 9,000 capacity seat shortage statewide. Meanwhile in underutilized schools, there is an aggregate 283,000 surplus seats. Half of assessed schools operate below optimal utilization. Figure 24 provides further details.




Figure 24 - Capacity Utilization

Underenrolled schools are less efficient to operate on a cost per student basis. For example, the cost of utilities and maintenance of the entire school is spread over fewer students rather than a full enrollment. A breakdown of the relationship between Capacity Utilization and Age of Facility is shown in Figure 25.

District leaders and educational planners use these fundamental data to inform operational decisions and facility investments. To illustrate the strategic value of School Survey data at a statewide scale, Figure 25 classifies the 308 school districts in terms of ranges of aggregate capacity utilization and average time since the last major renovation or replacement. Of note is that less than 20% of districts fall within the ideal range of utilization between 80% and 125% and less than 50 years average age, where potential actions would likely include general renovation and modernization of buildings. Over 25% of districts are classified as "Older, Underutilized' with capacity utilization below 80% and average renovated age older than 50 years. These are possible indicators to consider replacement of buildings combined with school consolidations, upgrading to new and more efficient school facilities.




Figure 25 - Capacity Utilization & Age Relationship

AREAS OF STRATEGIC FOCUS - GENERAL ENVIRONMENT

AREAS OF STRATEGIC FOCUS – GENERAL ENVIRONMENT

The remainder of this section focuses on key elements that contribute to the condition, general environment, and capacity ratings, and areas of strategic focus as it relates to facility planning.

Original versus Current School Design

During the 2025 School Survey, each campus' original facility design was compared to the current grade levels occupying the facility. Depending on how closely the grade level matches the original school design, each campus is categorized as a **full match, partial match** (some levels aligned, e.g. Pre-K-8 in an Elementary School building), and **mismatch** (e.g. Elementary School in a repurposed High School building). Overall, 80% of schools surveyed are in facilities that were originally built for the current grade level, with lower alignment experienced in non-traditional grade configurations, such as ES-MS, MS-HS, ES-MS-HS. This means that barring major renovations, approximately 1 in 5 schools are in facilities that don't completely align with their current grade and/or program.




Figure 26 - Current Grade Level vs Original Facility Design

Open Floorplan

Many schools built in the 1970's were constructed as open floor plan schools that lacked walls to separate classrooms. The intentions and implementation of teaching methods for open floor plan school design were to promote interactive, team-based learning. However, in practice the buildings generally did not provide effective learning environments because both teachers and students were distracted by the amount of activity occurring around them. Although many of these schools have been replaced or renovated to provide separate classroom spaces, 42 schools (8%) remain in the Commonwealth with the original open floor plan design, and 123 more with part of the campus in open floor plans.

Daylighting

Conforming to research that shows a correlation between high attendance, human well-being, better learning outcomes, and student test performance, 90% of Massachusetts schools have been constructed with nearly all classrooms having direct line of sight to natural daylight. There are, however, older facilities still in use with a high percentage of interior classrooms. 17 campuses have more than half of their classrooms (over 500 total) without natural daylight, mostly constructed in the 1960's and 1970's.

Accessibility

The 2025 School Survey expanded on previous data indicators collected about universal accessibility, yielding overall positive results with actionable findings for the minority of cases with work yet to be done.

Massachusetts public schools are widely free of physical barriers that inhibit universal accessibility as illustrated in the proportion of school campuses with observed clear pathways to the site and building spaces. Schools surveyed were reported to have 2,100 classrooms statewide (less than 4%) with access barriers. To further support reducing this number, the MSBA expanded its funding participation to all accessibility upgrades generated by Accelerated Repair Program projects.

Figure 27 provides the data indicators for accessibility along with the overall results from the assessed schools.




Figure 27 - Accessibility Responses

Classroom Size

Schools were assessed to determine the overall average classroom size for the campus based on visual observation and sampled measurements. A key aspect of the learning environment, classroom size impacts freedom of movement, air quality, and thermal comfort, and bears material impact on the types of learning activities that students may partake in.

	Minimum	Maximum
Kindergarten	1,100 NSF	1,300 NSF
Elementary School	900 NSF	1,000 NSF
Middle School	850 NSF	950 NSF
High School	825 NSF	950 NSF

Table 5 - Classroom Size Guideline

Per the MSBA's current space summary guidelines, Table 5 provides classroom sizes for newly constructed buildings.

Figure 28 provides ranges for average classroom sizes for four school types. Overall, most schools have average classrooms over 800 square feet. For High Schools, approximately 2 in 3 have average classrooms over 800 square feet, which compares well with classroom size guidelines. Conversely, approximately 1 in 3 Elementary Schools have average classrooms over 900 square.




Figure 28 - Classroom Size by Grade Level

Pre-Kindergarten

For the 2025 School Survey, school leaders were asked to share both the number of specialized Pre-K classrooms (those outfitted with age-appropriate fixtures and near restrooms) *that exist* and the total number of classrooms *that are used* for Pre-K programming, whether the spaces are suited for Pre-K. By comparing these two figures, Figure 29 reveals strategic takeaways as it relates to facility implications of current trends of expanding early education programs:

- 390 campuses do not have sufficient specialized Pre-K classrooms for their current Pre-K programs (more spaces used for Pre-K than designed for Pre-K), with an *aggregate shortage of 1,351 Pre-K rooms statewide*.
- 319 campuses are using all existing Pre-K rooms, perhaps limiting expansion due to lack of facilities.
- 22 campuses have more existing Pre-K rooms than they use, with over 1,000 surplus spaces.
- Another 918 campuses have no Pre-K programs while another 350 Pre-K rooms are not in use for Pre-K. The scope of the School Survey did not compile a room by room accounting of utilization, so presumably many of these rooms are being used by other grade levels and/or special education programs.

Since the last Schools Survey, the number of Early Childhood Centers has increased from 62 to 92. Another finding of note is that over 30 middle schools and high schools (without elementary schools on site) have co-located Early Childhood programs using 115 classrooms of which nearly half are not designed for Pre-K. This type of adjacency has benefits such as providing day care for staff and parent-students and on-site learning laborartory schools to enhance education career pathways.




Figure 29 - Pre-K Classroom Utilization

Specialized Classrooms and Laboratories

Most school facilities include specialized spaces for science demonstration/labs, art, and music. Figure 30 reflects the proportion of schools on campuses that possess specialized facilities dedicated for science, art, and music. New to the 2025 School Survey is giving 'dual credit' to the elementary schools that have the common practice of using a single 'specials' room for both art and music, but these counts do not reflect makeshift use of spaces not designed for these specialized programs and only include those classrooms dedicated exclusively to science, art, or music. The survey findings reveal the majority of high schools and upper grade level schools maintain dedicated space for science, art, and music, while the elementary schools tend to have more art and music space and relatively less space dedicated exclusively for science. The outcome for science in elementary schools aligns with the MSBA space summary guidelines, which do not include or anticipate dedicated science rooms at the elementary school level.

Overall, the majority of schools contain or have access to specialized spaces that are appropriate for the grades served.

Elementary Schools

- 83% of elementary schools have art rooms (up from 78%)
- 78% of elementary schools have music rooms (up from 64%)
- 17% of elementary schools have neither an art nor music classroom (down from 19%)

Middle Schools

- 96% of middle schools have art rooms (same as 2016)
- 91% of middle schools have music rooms (up from 86%)
- 83% of middle schools have science demonstration/labs (down from 91%)

High Schools

- 84% of high schools have art rooms (down from 93%)
- 77% of high schools have music rooms (down from 81%)




Figure 30 - Specialized Classrooms and Laboratories Percentage

Core Spaces

Core spaces – libraries/media centers, gymnasiums or multi-purpose spaces used for physical education, cafeterias, and auditoriums shared by all students - are essential to a school's educational program. In Figure 31 , School access to these spaces is analyzed by campus grade level with measures of the number and proportion of schools with on-site and offsite/shared access, as well as distinctions for the adequacy of these spaces due to size. Of note, approximately 79 schools lack two or more of these core spaces.

Library/Media Center: 90% of schools have access to a library / media center, including 1% whose libraries operate in repurposed classrooms. Pre-K-8 and Pre-K-12 schools tend to have lower rates of library access statewide . There are 673 full-time librarians in the State. 219 schools have librarians, which are shared with other schools, 670 do not have a librarian.

Cafeteria: 98% of schools assessed have on-site cafeterias. By applying MSBA standards for cafeteria size (15 square feet per student in each of two lunch periods) compared to the permanent capacity of the facility, more than half of these are considered undersized. This is common in older schools, built to different standards, and may also be accommodating more students than originally designed due to overutilization and/or one or more building additions.

Auditorium: 89% of schools have either an on-site auditorium or a stage in another core space like the cafeteria or gymnasium. Of note is that 11% of schools do not have an auditorium or stage area to support assemblies, community functions, or live student performances. Per MSBA guidelines, the MSBA does not participate in standalone auditoriums for elementary or middle schools, but stage areas to support assemblies in the cafeteria or gymnasium can be eligible for reimbursement.

Gymnasium/Multi-Purpose Room: Over 1481 (95%) of schools assessed have indoor facilities for physical education. 653 of these



Figure 31 - Core Space Access by Grade Level

serve middle and high school grade students, and all but 42 (6%) have a full-size court facility. Over 75 schools (5%) do not have access to either a gymnasium or multi-purpose room. Of these, 27 schools have a Building Condition Rating of 3, nine schools have a General Environment Rating of 3, and six have both Building Condition and General Environment Ratings of 3.

There are several reasons why some schools may not maintain a library/media center, cafeteria, or gymnasium / multi-purpose room. These include, but are not limited to:

- Two or more separate schools on a single campus may share a library, cafeteria, or gymnasium.
- Of the schools that do not have a gymnasium, cafeteria, or library/media center, the vast majority have access to those facilities at an adjacent school or public facility. In urban districts, for example, it is not uncommon for students to use the public library or to have physical education at the local YMCA or community center.
- Some specialized programs may not require some core spaces. For example, an alternative program may not require a full library because library resources are available nearby in a different setting.
- A multi-purpose room may be utilized as the gymnasium, cafeteria, and/or auditorium.

Average Core Space Sizes: The range and average size of core spaces is allotted by grade level type. Campuses without access to core facilities or undersized spaces have lower scores in the General Environment Program Sufficiency category.

Community Use: New to the School Survey in 2025 is an analysis of the extent to which schools are made available for community use. Typically, this use is in school grounds or in facility core spaces. The findings are indicated in Figure 32. Public use for indoor and outdoor athletics is greater than other community use functions such as performing arts.




Figure 32 - Availability for Community Use

Technology

Instructional technology has seen dramatic evolution since the MSBA's inception in 2004. In 2025, information technology has transcended from a new building feature, limited to specific spaces and small-scale application, to a ubiquitous and essential element of school design.

Although decisions about the technology component of a school's educational program remain local in nature, the MSBA works with districts on approved Core Program projects to understand how they integrate technology into the curriculum and encourage flexible design to support the school's current and future educational program. The MSBA supports the state's technology standards and encourages districts to develop technology plans so that the MSBA can work more effectively with districts on approved projects to achieve their technology goals and integrate the appropriate infrastructure into the proposed school design.

With the increase of technology use in schools, the 2025 School Survey continues to place emphasis on gathering a wide range of technology data. Figure 33 outlines the findings of the assessment, revealing nearly 100% positive results.

Takeaways:

- Virtually all Massachusetts schools are fully compliant with modern standards for bandwidth and ubiquity of wired and wireless internet access at school.
- With every classroom fully equipped with technology and nearly ubiquitous 1:1 student devices, elementary schools are using fewer specialized computer labs than in the past. In 2025, only 1 in 4 elementary schools designate a room for computer labs, down dramatically from 2 in 3 in the 2016 School Survey. This shift may have been further accelerated by COVID impacts on academic programming.




Figure 33 - Technology Advancement

Safety and Security Systems

In the interest of gaining valuable data to inform facility needs and identify the extent of the presence of safety equipment and designs, the 2025 School Survey included an expanded set of school safety and security data points. Areas of focus include:

- Presence and extent of camera coverage;
- Site and building observation;
- Intrusion alarms;
- Credential / ID systems.

While most of the specifics of this data are of a sensitive nature, summary findings of facilities and protocols that promote student and staff well-being are oultined in Figure 34.

A key takeaway is that 90% of schools have full or partial surveillance camera coverage around the exterior of the building (up from 75% in 2016) and 83% of schools have full or partial surveillance camera coverage on interior common spaces.

It is important to note that decisions to include individual safety and security components in a school facilitiy, which components help inform the school security score, are made at the local level and that certain individual components may not be deemed appropriate in every school.




Figure 34 - School Safety and Security



Interior view of Westfield River Elementary School Gymnasium, Westfield

AREAS OF STRATEGIC FOCUS - BUILDING CONDITION

MECHANICAL SYSTEMS

One of the areas of deepest expansion of data collection in the 2025 School Survey is the detailed profiling of HVAC systems to better understand extent of needs and inform a transition from fossil-based heat and cooling to sustainable design solutions.

While complete inventorying of system components exceeds the scope of the School Survey, the assessment compiles the general make up of each building's mechanical infrastructure in terms of the extent of energy sources and distribution systems for both heating and cooling.

To do this, assessors prompted district and school staff to provide the proportion of each building served by various source and distribution types in 10% estimate increments. As some parts of the buildings have redundancy between multiple systems, total values above 100% were common.The assessors visually validated the data in the field, and upon completion of the survey, each building was classified by the primary heat and cooling sources and distribution systems, with the highest proportion above 70% designated as primary.Others without a predominant system were classified as having multiple systems.

In Figure 35, the breakdown of findings is cross correlated with the condition ratings for each to identify trends and facilities with systems in poor condition as candidates for near-term upgrades. Takeaways include:

» Heating systems are largely in good to fair condition. There are 58 buildings with a major rating and 44 buildings with a replace rating; these are at or near the end of their service life.
» Nearly 750 buildings comprising 70 million gross square feet have either no cooling or less than half served with air conditioning.
» Water cooled and air-cooled chillers are the most prevalent cooling sources, with air handlers and rooftop units the most prevalent cooling distribution systems.
» Geothermal ground-source heat pumps are new in Massachusetts schools, they are an area of focus for strategic carbon-neutral transition. As of October 2025, the Core Program currently has some projects through design or in construction phases with geothermal systems. Given their project phase, they were not included in the assessment.


ROOFS, WINDOWS AND DOORS

Being major components of the building envelope, roof and windows that are aged and outdated continue to be an area of need for school districts. 827 schools reported at least a portion of their roof is more than 20 years old. These roof areas combine for approximately 47 million square feet of roof.484 schools reported their oldest windows are more than 30 years old. Since the 2016 Survey the MSBA has invested $289.6 Million dollars in grant payments through the Accelerated Repair Program on projects that included replacement of roofs, windows or doors or different combinations of those building elements, along with other Mechanical Systems.

Heat Source Profiles and Assessment Ratings

	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Rep	Grand Total
Heated by Natural Gas	509 Bldgs 57,743K GSF	458 Bldgs 50,583K GSF	219 Bldgs 21,336K GSF	47 Bldgs 3,411K GSF	35 Bldgs 4,129K GSF	1,268 Bldgs 137,202K GSF
Heated by Fuel Oil	39 Bldgs 3,402K GSF	58 Bldgs 4,749K GSF	35 Bldgs 3,156K GSF	10 Bldgs 854K GSF	6 Bldgs 389K GSF	148 Bldgs 12,550K GSF
Heated by Multiple & Other	12 Bldgs 1,327K GSF	7 Bldgs 587K GSF	9 Bldgs 937K GSF		2 Bldgs 151K GSF	30 Bldgs 3,002K GSF
Heated by Air Source Heat Pump	8 Bldgs 712K GSF	6 Bldgs 711K GSF	1 Bldgs 122K GSF			15 Bldgs 1,545K GSF
Heated by Propane	9 Bldgs 824K GSF	6 Bldgs 436K GSF	1 Bldgs 58K GSF	1 Bldgs 58K GSF		17 Bldgs 1,377K GSF
Heated by Ground Source Heat Pump	7 Bldgs 991K GSF	1 Bldgs 75K GSF	1 Bldgs 83K GSF			9 Bldgs 1,149K GSF
Hated by Electric Resistance	8 Bldgs 727K GSF	4 Bldgs 293K GSF	2 Bldgs 68K GSF		1 Bldgs 48K GSF	15 Bldgs 1,136K GSF
Grand Total	592 Bldgs 65,727K GSF	540 Bldgs 57,434K GSF	268 Bldgs 25,761K GSF	58 Bldgs 4,324K GSF	44 Bldgs 4,715K GSF	1,502 Bldgs 157,961K GSF

Heat Distribution



Cooling Source Profiles and Assessment Ratings

	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Rep	Grand Total
No Cooling 100%	10 Bldgs 712K GSF	22 Bldgs 1,644K GSF	13 Bldgs 840K GSF	3 Bldgs 275K GSF	205 Bldgs 12,458K GSF	253 Bldgs 15,929K GSF
No Cooling 50-90%	134 Bldgs 13,896K GSF	177 Bldgs 19,920K GSF	67 Bldgs 8,063K GSF	12 Bldgs 1,217K GSF	103 Bldgs 9,118K GSF	493 Bldgs 52,215K GSF
Cooled via Water-Cooled Chiller	44 Bldgs 7,430K GSF	43 Bldgs 9,445K GSF	29 Bldgs 4,088K GSF	15 Bldgs 2,170K GSF	8 Bldgs 1,003K GSF	139 Bldgs 24,136K GSF
Cooled via Air-Cooled Chiller	82 Bldgs 9,647K GSF	60 Bldgs 8,669K GSF	26 Bldgs 2,972K GSF	6 Bldgs 621K GSF	13 Bldgs 979K GSF	187 Bldgs 22,888K GSF
Cooled via Multiple/Other	51 Bldgs 5,563K GSF	38 Bldgs 3,963K GSF	22 Bldgs 2,601K GSF	12 Bldgs 1,274K GSF	39 Bldgs 2,602K GSF	162 Bldgs 16,003K GSF
Cooled via DX	52 Bldgs 4,981K GSF	36 Bldgs 3,577K GSF	25 Bldgs 3,101K GSF	4 Bldgs 741K GSF	2 Bldgs 41K GSF	119 Bldgs 12,441K GSF
Cooled via Geothermal	7 Bldgs 722K GSF	2 Bldgs 532K GSF	2 Bldgs 158K GSF			11 Bldgs 1,412K GSF
Grand Total	380 Bldgs 42,951K GSF	378 Bldgs 47,750K GSF	184 Bldgs 21,823K GSF	52 Bldgs 6,299K GSF	370 Bldgs 26,201K GSF	1,364 Bldgs 145,024K GSF

Cooling Distribution





Figure 35 - Heating and Cooling System Ratings

ENERGY EFFICIENCY, DECARBONIZATION, AND SUSTAINABLE DESIGN

A noteworthy element of MSBA-funded school construction projects is the heightened focus on sustainability and energy efficiency. Since its inception, the MSBA had made regular updates to its grant policies on sustainability and in particular energy efficiency continues to keep pace with the change in building and energy codes. These updates include an evaluation of financial incentives offered to encourage districts to push for higher levels of sustainability.

In order to inform next-generation school project standards and make data-informed and prioritized funding allocations, the MSBA has expanded the 2025 School Survey to collect meaningful building system and baseline energy data, including the composition of HVAC systems and energy consumption.

- More than 1/3 of Massachusetts school districts report having an energy manager on staff and more than 1/2 report using an energy modelling system such as the EnergyStar Portfolio Manager and MassEnergy Insight.
- Approximately 26 Massachusetts schools now have buildings designed to Net-Zero Energy standards, demonstrated in Map 4. As of October 2025, the Core Program has 28 projects through design or construction phases pursuing all-electric buildings with a subset of 12 pursuing net-zero.
- 168 (12%) of the campuses assessed have a sustainable design certification such as the US Green Building Council's (USGBC) Leadership in Energy-Efficient Design (LEED) or Collaborative for High Performing Schools (CHPS).See Figure 36for a more detailed breakdown of the certification.
- 311 (21%) of assessed campuses have solar photovoltaic panels installed.
- Approximately 16 buildings are heated and cooled with geothermal ground-source heat pump systems, a building technology which reduces energy consumption, operational costs, and reduces environmental impact.
- The MSBA has launched its heat pump conversion program as part of the Accelerated Repair Program with the intention of replacing natural gas and heating oil fueled boilers that have reached the end of service life with more sustainable systems. Also, a growing percentage of building systems such as water heaters, dryers, and kitchen equipment are running on electricity.



Map 4 - Net Zero Building Location

The 2025 School Survey includes energy consumption data to enable statewide benchmarking to inform capital funding decisions that promote decarbonization progress.

In addition to asking districts to provide industry standard **Energy Use Intensity (EUI)** index if known for each campus, assessors also requested utility consumption data from the 2023 calendar year for electricity, natural gas, heating oil, and propane. Using consistent conversion formulas, the reported kWh, cubit feet, and gallons of fuel are converted to **kBTU per square foot per year**, enabling a normalized benchmark estimation of EUI.




Figure 36 - Sustainable Design Certifications & Building Strategies

While districts were unable to provide usable EUI data for more than one-quarter of schools, this benchmarking exercise validates prevailing understanding about the average EUI of school facilities and provides the MSBA useful information for identifying need and prioritizing energy conservation investments.


As described in Figure 37, an EUI in the 2025 School Survey is either district reported, or a calculation based on district-provided utility data. If not district reported, the benchmark was calculated by dividing the total energy a school uses in a year (electricity, heating fuel, natural gas, propane, etc) by the total building area (expressed as kBtu/sf/year). A low EUI indicates a more energy efficient building, while a higher EUI suggests more energy is being consumed and an indicator for potential investments in facility improvements or replacements,

Benchmarking Energy Efficiency

The 2025 School Survey classifies campuses within ranges of Energy Use Intensity (EUI), a benchmark calculated by dividing the total energy a school uses in a year (electricity, heating fuel, natural gas, propane, etc.) by the total building area (expressed as kBtu/sf/year). EUI is either district reported, or a calculation based on district-provided utility data.

A lower EUI indicates more energy efficient buildings, while a higher EUI suggests more energy is being consumed and an indicator for potential investments in facility improvements or replacement.

- EUI < 25 - very efficient, potentially 'net-zero ready'.
- EUI 25-50 - efficient, better than average
- EUI 50-75 - average
- EUI 75-100 - inefficient
- EUI 100+ - very inefficient






Figure 37 - Energy Use Intensity with Geographic Distribution

MAINTAINABILITY AND PRACTICES

A vital component of the MSBA's grant program is to protect the $18 billion investment the Commonwealth has made for school construction projects over the last 21 years. Districts must demonstrate that they have met certain maintenance criteria based on industry best practices as a prerequisite to MSBA funding. On its website, the MSBA has made available recommendations for how districts can prepare for staffing and budget needs associated with the sophisticated building systems in their new or renovated school, as well as training best practices for MSBA school construction projects. In addition to the more sophisticated systems, maintaining less technical systems such as the roof continues to be important to ensuring the useful life of a building.

The 2025 School Survey continued the general evaluation of maintenance in three areas:

General Cleanliness: Assessors rated the school on cleanliness by observing hallways, classrooms, core areas, support spaces, and the site.

Routine Systems Maintenance: Assessors rated the school on routine maintenance by observing the conditions of the site and building systems for indications of routine attention and repair. Facilities staff also provided information about routine maintenance practices at the school. Routine maintenance includes repair and replacement of broken windows, plumbing fixtures, or stained ceiling tiles, as well as painting and other regular, ongoing maintenance practices.

Capital Repair: Assessors rated the school on capital repair based on the age and condition of the systems and the history of capital repairs at the school to determine if equipment, systems, and finishes are being repaired or replaced as needed. Capital maintenance includes replacement or upgrades to major building or site systems such as HVAC replacements, lighting upgrades, parking lot re-pavement, and maintenance of interior finishes.

Maintenance in each category was rated as average, above average, or below average. Similar to the 2016 School Survey findings, the vast majority of school buildings in Massachusetts received an average rating in Figure 38, meaning that the school, district, and facilities personnel are putting forth the effort required to clean the buildings, maintain the systems and address capital repairs.



Figure 38 - Maintenance & Repair Ratings

The 2025 School Survey also gathered additional information about the districts maintenance strategies and practices, such as the number of various types of full and part time staff, as well as roles and responsibilities for various maintenance functions. More information can be found in Figure 39.




Figure 39 - Maintenance Responsibility



Interior view of Arlington High School Auditorium, Arlington



APPENDIX I

BUILDING EVALUATION CRITERIA

Building Condition:

- Parking/ Driveways
- Walkways
- Playgrounds
- Drainage
- Site Lighting
- Water Supply
- Septic/Sewer
- Fuel Distribution
- Roof
- Exterior Windows
- Boilers
- HVAC
- Electrical Services
- Lighting
- Plumbing
- Fire Alarms
- Emergency Lighting
- Fire Suppression
- Structure
- Exterior Doors
- Exterior Walls
- Floors
- Interior Walls
- Ceilings
- Interior Other (Stairs, Signage, Interior Doors)
- Elevators

General Environment:

- Suitable Spaces
- Thermal Comfort
- Maintenance
- Security
- Fire & Life Safety
- Site Accessibility
- Building Accessibility
- Capacity
- Classrooms in Modulars
- Specialized Classrooms
- Core Spaces
- Internet Access
- Wi-Fi Extent
- Power Infrastructure
- Classroom Technology



Interior view of classroom at Coakley Middle School, Norwood

APPENDIX II

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
03 - Survey - Roofing							
Building	97	Shell - Roofing - Roof Access (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA)	Ladders, stairs and accessories are present and in like new condition.	Ladders, stairs are securely attached. Some locations of rust and wear	Ladders, stairs have some rust or some bending but are attached and operational.	Ladders, stairs may need to be reattached or have deformation.	Ladders, stairs are not securely connected or may be bent or deeply rusted. Non-operational or safe to use
Building	98	Shell - Roofing - Surface (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Roofing system is in like new condition	Minor leaks Minor ponding in some areas	Minor leaks evident throughout facility Some issues with ponding	Substantial leaks causing problems within facility Substantial ponding creating problem areas	Leaks prevalent throughout facility creating hazardous situations Water infiltration deteriorating other components of building
Building	99	Shell - Roofing - Skylights (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA)	Skylights are present and in like new condition.	There is some localized damage or staining.	There is damage or staining and water may penetrate during major storm events.	There is damage to the skylight and regular water infiltration during storm events.	There is damage to the skylight with staining and water penetration. Water is infiltrating into other building elements.

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Building	100	Shell - Roofing - Construction/ Chimneys (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Chimneys/roof penetrations are present and in working condition. There is no deflection, or tilting, the connection between the chimney/penetration and roof is sound. Construction - there is no deflection or ponding. The roof is as designed. The roof drains adequately.	There is minor damage to the chimney/ penetration surface only. The connection between the chimney/ penetration and roof is sound. Construction - There is minor ponding after storm events. The roof drainage is working effectively.	There is damage to the chimney/ penetration surface, there is blistering or minor delaminating at the connection between the chimney/ penetration and roof. Construction - There is ponding after storm events. Portions of the roof are not adequately draining.	There is damage to the chimney/ penetration surface. There may be leaning of deflection. The connection between the chimney/ penetration and roof may allow water infiltration. Construction - there is major ponding and the roof is not draining during and after storm events.	The chimney/ penetration surface is admitting water, the chimney /penetration is leaning or deflected. The connection between the chimney/penetration and roof is allowing water infiltration. Construction - the roof is ponding and water is not draining and infiltrating into the building structure.
Building	101	Shell - Roofing - Eaves (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA)	Eaves (fascia and soffits) are present and in working, like new condition.	There is some localized damage or staining on eaves. They remain intact and continue to direct drainage.	There is localized damage to eaves. There is water staining or minor damage. The materials may be separating but are mostly intact and water tight.	There is damage to the eaves. Materials are separating and there is the potential for water penetration.	There is damage to the eaves and water penetration into the building.
Building	102	Downspouts (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA)	Gutters / downspouts are present and in working condition	Minimal gutter / downspout damage	Localized missing or damaged gutters / downspouts Issues with other accessories (hatches, vents, drains, scuppers)	Drains and other accessories not in good working condition and creating issues with integrity of roof	Accessories failing / missing across entire roof

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
04 - Survey - Interiors							
Building	103	Shell - Fire Protection - Fire Alarms (GMO, Mod, Rep)	Electrical Fire Alarm meets current codes (full fire alarm coverage if facility is not sprinkled) Fire Alarm system meets ADA requirements (visual/ audible devices, mounting heights) Facility notes that fire alarm systems have no deficiencies noted.	N/A	Electrical Fire Alarm meets current codes Fire Alarm system meets some ADA requirements Deficiencies noted by Facility, deficiencies being addressed	N/A	Electrical Fire Alarms are out of date and in poor condition. Facility notes components are hard to find or no longer manufactured.
Building	104	Shell - Fire Protection - Emergency Lighting (GMO, Rep)	Emergency lighting (battery/generator) illuminates the path of egress Passes Testing	N/A	N/A	N/A	Emergency lighting systems are out of date and in poor condition and missing components
Building	105	Shell - Fire Protection - Fire Suppression: Sprinklers (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA)	Sprinkler system components appear to be well maintained and no visual signs of wear or corrosion on system components No issues are reported from school	Sprinkler system components show signs of damage due to vandalism or other causes	Visual signs of sprinkler component leaks are present, school reports issues with sprinkler components leaking.	Sprinkler heads or system components show signs of severe corrosion Replacement heads, piping or components detected, school reports maintenance required to maintain system in working order	Sprinkler system components fail to pass routine testing.
Building	106	Shell - Fire Protection - Fire Suppression: Standpipes (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA)	Standpipes appear to be well maintained and no visual signs of wear or corrosion on system components No issues are reported from school	Standpipes show signs of damage due to vandalism or other causes	School reports standpipes leak	Standpipes show signs of sever corrosion.	Standpipes has holes, clogged, do not function

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Building	107	Shell - Interiors - Interior Walls (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Paint in good condition Wall coverings (vinyl) in good condition No damage to durable finishes (ceramic, brick, concrete)	Facility requires localized painting Some minor damage to drywall exists that requires repair CMU / Block walls that need paint but not repair	Facility requires repainting Some drywall requires patching or replacement Wall coverings require replacement Durable finishes require minimal repair or replacement	Facility requires repainting Drywall damaged and requires repair in many areas Wall coverings require replacement Durable finishes require replacement in many areas	Facility requires repainting Drywall / plaster damaged throughout facility Wall coverings substantially worn Durable finishes require replacement in most areas
Building	108	Shell - Interiors - Stairs (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, N/A)	Interior stairs are in good condition, like new. Paint is intact and tread and riser surfaces are like new	Interior stars are in good condition. There may be some minor wear to paint, and riser or tread surfaces	Riser and tread surfaces show wear but are still intact and not deformed	Riser and tread surfaces are in poor condition and the underlaying material is being exposed	Riser and tread surfaces are in poor condition and exposing the underlaying material. The treads are deformed or nosings are worn.
Building	109	Shell - Interiors - Floors (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Flooring in like new condition	Most floor in good condition Minimal tile / carpet replacement required Minimal refinishing of flooring (wood) required Terrazzo over 20 years old, but in good condition	Carpeting requires replacement Localized replacement of vinyl tile required Wood flooring requires refinishing (stage, gym)	Substantial wear on flooring – requires replacement in many locations All wood flooring requires refinishing (stage, gyms) Carpeting throughout in poor condition	Vinyl asbestos tiles (9” x 9”) tiles in most areas breaking and crumbling Vinyl floor tiles require replacement throughout (worn to subfloor) Wood flooring beyond useful life (substantial wear, unable to refinish) All carpeting requires replacement Combination of a number of finishes and replacements

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Building	110	Shell - Interiors - Ceilings (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Grid, tiles, paint, plaster in like new condition	Minimal acoustical tile replacement required Ceiling grid not damaged Plaster in good condition Some painting in localized may be required	Acoustical tile requires replacement in many areas Minimal damage to ceiling grid exists Plaster requires repair in some areas Painting required in majority of building Tile and grid are over 15 years, but in good condition	Most acoustical tile requires replacement Ceiling grid requires repair / replacement in multiple areas Plaster requires repair throughout facility Painting required throughout	Acoustical tiles and grid require replacement Plaster ceilings require replacement Painting is required throughout facility
Building	111	Shell - Interiors - Interior Doors (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Doors in like new condition	Some doors / frames require refinishing / repainting	Many doors / frames require refinishing / repainting Some hardware requires replacement	Many doors require replacement due to damage Doors are not fire-rated (solid core wood without tag are okay) Many frames require replacement	Doors in poor condition Hardware not functioning or not of proper type Door rating tags not present Frames damaged and require replacement
Building	112	Shell - Interiors - Signage (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Interior (non-egress) signage is present and in like new condition.	There is minimal damage and some scratching. The signage is firmly mounted.	There is some damage, scratching or delaminating and the mounting may be loose but is secure to the wall.	There is damage and scratching; it may be difficult to read. The mounting is loose.	There is scratching and damage, the signage is difficult to read and the mounting is failing.
Building	113	Shell - Conveyance - Elevators/Lifts (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Current Elevator or Lift Certificate Posted No functional or operational issues reported by school	Finishes of Elevator or Lift showing severe wear	Some Elevator or Lift lighting or signals not working Signage; controls of Elevator or Lift not properly labeled	Elevator or Lift requires repairs often. School reports Elevator or Lift sometimes gets stuck in operation Elevator Car lacks working two-way voice communication with location that can take action if entrapment occurs	Elevator or Lift unable to pass inspection Elevator dimensions do not meet ADA per business rules sketches.

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Building	114	Substructure - Foundations - Walls/Columns/ Pilings/Slab (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Foundations show no signs of cracking, bulging or shifting	Some localized areas of the Foundations have minor cracking	Some areas of the Foundations have minor cracking, bulging or shifting	Many area of Foundations show cracking, shifting, bulging	Building is condemned for structural issues and documented by structural engineer
05 - Survey - Electrical							
Building	116	Shell - Electrical - Service and distribution (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Electrical panelboards throughout the facility are in like new condition	Electrical panelboards in most areas of the facility are in like new condition	Electrical panelboards in some areas of the facility are in like new condition	Electrical panelboards in very few areas of the facility are in like new condition	Electrical panelboards in throughout the facility are in outdated/poor condition
Building	117	Shell - Electrical - Lighting (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Lighting levels throughout the facility are appropriate; Lighting fixtures throughout the facility are energy efficient; Lighting fixtures throughout the facility are in good condition	Lighting levels in most areas of the facility are appropriate; Lighting fixtures in most areas of the facility are energy efficient; Lighting fixtures throughout the facility are in good condition	Lighting levels in some areas of the facility are appropriate; Lighting fixtures in some areas of the facility are energy efficient; Lighting fixtures in most areas of the facility are in fair condition	Lighting levels in very few areas of the facility are appropriate; Lighting fixtures throughout the facility are not energy efficient; Lighting fixtures in most areas of the facility are in fair condition	Lighting levels in throughout the facility are not appropriate; Lighting fixtures throughout the facility are not energy efficient; Lighting fixtures throughout the facility are in poor condition
Building	118	Shell - Electrical - Communications and Security systems (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Equipment and accessories in like new condition No visible signs of age apparent or damage present	Equipment and accessories in like nearly new condition. Few signs of age or damage present.	Equipment and accessories in some areas of the facility are in like new condition. Some signs of age apparent or damage present	Equipment and accessories in very few areas of the facility in like new condition Many signs of age apparent or damage preset. Signs of equipment not being operational.	Equipment and accessories through out the facility are in poor condition, are not operational, or are missing. Numerous signs of age apparent or damage present. Signs of equipment not being operational.

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Building	119	Shell - Electrical - Generators (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA)	Generator, transfer switches and generator accessories in like new condition No visible signs of age or rust apparent. No damage present.	Generator, transfer switches and generator accessories in nearly new condition. Minimal visible signs of rust or age apparent. No damage visible.	Generator, transfer switches and generator accessories in ok good condition. Some visible signs of rust or age apparent. Minimal signs of damage visible.	Generator, transfer switches and generator accessories in marginal condition. Many visible signs of rust or age apparent. Some signs of damage visible.	Generator, transfer switches and generator accessories in poor condition. Many visible signs of rust or age apparent. Some signs of damage visible. District reports ongoing service issues or power transfer failures. District reports the generator or transfer switches is not operational.
Building	120	Shell - Electrical - Solar Panels (GMO, Mod, Rep, NA)	Photovoltaic panels show no signs of physical damage or delamination. Racking systems are not rusted or visibly sagging. DC cabling insulation is in good condition. Inverter(s) do not display any error codes. Inverters and optimizers are all reporting to online monitoring system.	N/A	Photovoltaic panels show some signs of wear but no substantial physical damage. Racking systems display very some rust and/or corrosion. DC cabling insulation is somewhat faded but still fully intact in all places. Most inverter(s) do not display any error codes. Most inverters and optimizers are all reporting to online monitoring system.	N/A	Photovoltaic panels are visibly damaged (cracks glass, delaminated cells, bent frames, etc.). Racking systems are substantially rusted, bent and/or compromised to the point of failure. DC cabling is frayed and/or insulation is damaged. Multiple inverters and/or optimizers are no longer producing.

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Buildings	121	Shell - Electrical - Wind Turbines (GMO, Mod, Rep, NA)	Wind turbine shows no sign of physical damage and is freely spinning above wind cut-in speed. Mast is not rusted or showing any signs of physical wear. DC cabling insulation is in good condition. Inverter does not display any error codes. Inverter is reporting to online monitoring system.	N/A	Wind turbine shows some signs of wear but no substantial physical damage and is spinning above wind cut-in speed. Mast displays some rust and/or corrosion. DC cabling insulation is somewhat faded (if exposed) but still fully intact in all places. Inverter does not display any error codes. Inverter is reporting to online monitoring system.	N/A	Wind turbine is visibly damaged and/or no longer spins freely above wind cut-in speed. Mast is substantially rusted, bent and/or compromised to the point of failure. DC cabling is frayed and/or insulation is damaged. Inverter displays error codes and is no longer producing or reporting to online monitoring system.
06 - Survey - Mechanical Cooling							
Building	122	Shell - HVAC - Cooling Generating System (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Equipment and accessories in like new condition No visible signs of rust or signs of age apparent	Temperature controls operating properly Equipment shows minor signs of rust or signs of age No reported operating issues	Temperature controls not operating properly Some equipment and components fail routinely and require repair or replacement	Temperature controls not operating properly Most equipment and components fail routinely and require or replacement Parts are no longer available	School reports equipment does not work and/or not worth repairing per the district
Building	123	Shell - HVAC - Cooling Distribution System (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Equipment and accessories in like new condition No visible signs of rust or signs of age apparent	Temperature controls operating properly Equipment shows minor signs of rust or signs of age. No reported operating issues	Temperature controls not operating properly Some equipment and components fail routinely and require repair or replacement	Temperature controls not operating properly Most equipment and components fail routinely and require or replacement Parts are no longer available	School reports equipment does not work and/or not worth repairing per the district

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
07 - Survey - Mechanical Heating							
Building	125	Shell - HVAC - Heat Generating System +chimney (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Equipment and accessories in like new condition No visible signs of rust or signs of age apparent	Temperature controls operating properly Equipment shows minor signs of rust or signs of age. No reported operating issues	Temperature controls not operating properly Some equipment and components fail routinely and require repair or replacement	Temperature controls not operating properly Most equipment and components fail routinely and require or replacement Parts are no longer available	School reports equipment does not work and/or not worth repairing per the district
Building	126	Shell - HVAC - Heating Distribution System (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Equipment and accessories in like new condition No visible signs of rust or signs of age apparent	Temperature controls operating properly Equipment shows minor signs of rust or signs of age. No reported operating issues	Temperature controls not operating properly Some equipment and components fail routinely and require repair or replacement	Temperature controls not operating properly Most equipment and components fail routinely and require or replacement Parts are no longer available	School reports equipment does not work and/or not worth repairing per the district
Building	127	Shell - HVAC - Controls and Instrumentation (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Facility has a building management system (BMS) with no reported issues by users.	Facility has a BMS and only issues are user error / training needed for facility staff.	Facility has a BMS and system has frequent error signals and minimal data feedback of equipment status.	Facility has a BMS and systems is outdated or has become obsolete so facility staff has minimal interaction with BMS equipment.	Facility does not have a BMS and or has one but facility staff has created work arounds from the BMS to ensure equipment is working.

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
08 - Survey - Plumbing							
Building	128	Shell - Plumbing - Water Distribution System & Fixtures (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Plumbing fixtures and piping in like new condition No visible signs of leaking or signs of age apparent	Plumbing fixtures and piping in acceptable condition Few faucets require replacement No visible signs of leaking Fixtures are old and outdated	Some Equipment and components fail routinely and require repair or replacement Some equipment was installed improperly, and requires replacement	Most equipment and components fail routinely and require repair or replacement Parts are no longer available Most equipment was installed improperly, and requires replacement	All equipment and components require replacement
Building	129	Shell - Plumbing - Hot water heaters (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Hot water heater and piping in like new condition No visible signs of leaking or signs of age apparent	Hot water heater and piping in acceptable condition no visible deficiencies and half way through life span, about 5-9 years old.	Hot water heater and piping showing signs of deterioration. about 10-14 years old	Hot water heater and piping has leaking components causing a build up of rust on parts and Hot water temperature is not being properly regulated. 15+ years old	Hot Water heater is actively leaking, not providing proper temperatures, and not worth repairing per the district.

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
09 - Survey - Exteriors							
Building	130	Shell - Exterior Enclosures - Exterior Walls (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Walls generally clean and without defect	Some minor localized cleaning required Construction materials intact and require minimal repair / paint	Moderate level of cleaning required Painting required across most of exterior painted surfaces Some deterioration in construction materials associated with masonry, siding, soffits and fascia's requiring localized replacement Repointing of masonry joints required in many areas	Cleaning required for majority of exterior wall surfaces Major deterioration of construction materials across exterior of building requiring replacement (soffits, fascia's, siding) Painting required across entire exterior of facility Repointing of masonry joints required in many areas Some water infiltration	Brick / masonry system suffering from substantial deterioration and failing Mortar joints require repointing across entire façade Siding, soffit, fascia's require replacement Issue in only the oldest of buildings Water infiltration
Building	131	Shell - Exterior Enclosures - Windows (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	System in like new condition No known issues with water infiltration	Some caulking required to seal problem areas Minimal glazing replacement required Operable windows functioning as designed Frames in mostly acceptable condition	Localized leaking Some operable windows not functioning Numerous issues with broken glazing Some frame painting / rehabilitation required	Issues with leaking windows throughout Most operable windows not functioning Glazing in poor condition Frames in disrepair throughout requiring paint or other rehabilitation Recently retrofitted, but with non-energy efficient system	Inability to maintain appropriately Significant issues with leaks throughout facility Glazing damaged or repaired "temporarily" throughout

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Building	133	Shell - Exterior Enclosures - Exterior Doors (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Doors in like new condition Hardware operable and functioning as desired	Minor issues with weather stripping / gaps between frame and door Some doors and frames require repainting Minimal issues with hardware	Weather seals require replacement on most exits or gaps exist between frame and door Most doors and frames require repainting Hardware inoperable / missing on some exits	No weather seals on doors or frames or gaps exist between frame and door All doors require repainting Some doors require replacement Some frames require replacement Hardware in poor condition throughout	All doors require replacement All frames require replacement All hardware requires replacement Doors and frames beyond their expected useful life
Building	134	Shell - Exterior Enclosures - Finishes (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Exterior finishes are in like new condition	Finishes show some wear, cracking or fading. But still remain attractive and functional	Finishes are worn thin in a few areas but do not expose base material. But remain intact and functional.	Finishes are worn thin, exposing base materials, flaking or delaminating. Base material is exposed to the elements in some areas.	Finishes are cracked, delaminated, and exposing the base material to moisture infiltration and potential leaking.
Building	135	Substructure - Foundations - Walls/Columns/ Pilings/Slab (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep)	Foundations show no signs of cracking, bulging or shifting	Some localized areas of the Foundations have minor cracking	Some areas of the Foundations have minor cracking, bulging or shifting	Many area of Foundations show cracking, shifting, bulging	Building is condemned for structural issues and documented by structural engineer
18 - Campus Survey - Site							
Campus	175	Site Condition - Parking Lot/Drives - Roadways (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	No damage to the drive surfaces Striping intact and easily visible	Minimal surface damage Minimal re-striping required	Localized surface damage. Localized re-striping required	Substantial surface damage , significant potholes and/or “alligatoring” (cracking) Complete re-striping required	Surface damage throughout, major potholes and substantial “alligatoring Ing” Virtually no visible striping

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Campus	176	Site Condition - Parking Lot/Drives - Parking Lots (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	No damage to the parking surfaces or curbs Striping intact and easily visible Curb stops in place	Minimal surface or curb damage Minimal re-striping required	Localized surface or curb damage Localized re-striping required Localized curb stops dislodged or missing	Substantial surface and curb damage, significant potholes and/or “alligatoring” (cracking) Complete re-striping required Curb stops dislodged or missing throughout	Surface and curb damage throughout, major potholes and substantial “alligatoring” Virtually no visible striping Curb stops dislodged or missing throughout
Campus	177	Site Condition - Walkways, Drop Areas - Canopies (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	Canopies good condition	Minimal damage to canopies	Multiple portions of canopies have damage	Most canopies have damaged portions requiring replacement	Canopies present are damaged throughout
Campus	178	Site Condition - Walkways, Drop Areas - Pedestrian Paving (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	Bus and/or parent drop-off areas large enough, and located appropriately Walkways present in necessary locations and in good condition	Drop areas have minimal damage Minimal damage to walkways Minimal areas missing walkways	Bus / parent drop-offs significantly undersized or located inappropriately Significant localized deterioration or damage to walkways Walkways missing in multiple locations	Bus / parent drop offs are undersized, have significant damage and are located poorly Most walkways have damaged portions requiring replacement Significant amount of area missing adequate walks	Little or no space designated as bus/ parent drop-off areas Bus/parent drop-off areas that are present are in need of replacement All walkways damaged

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Campus	179	Site Condition - Playgrounds/ Playfields - Playgrounds (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep, NA) (If Rep Please Explain)	Play areas present, adequately sized and located sensibly Play areas should be 1,200 to 2,500 SF and have multiple pieces of equipment Impact surfaces (gravel, bark mulch, rubberized surfaces) present and in good condition Drainage adequate Modern equipment (steel and plastic, not pressure treated wood)	Play areas present, adequately sized and located sensibly Impact surfaces require minimal repair Minimal drainage issues Modern equipment (steel and plastic, not wood)	Play areas present, but possibly undersized No impact surfaces Localized drainage issues Mixed equipment – modern and outdated, damaged in poor condition	Play areas significantly undersized / not present and located poorly No impact surfaces Drainage issues throughout No modern equipment – damaged, poor condition	No play areas No impact surfaces Drainage issues throughout Equipment damaged, in poor condition, outdated or no longer used
Campus	180	Site Condition - Playgrounds/ Playfields - Playfields (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep,NA) (If Rep Please Explain)	Playfields areas present, adequately sized and located sensibly Surfaces are in good condition Drainage adequate Backstops/goals, baskets in good condition	Playfields areas present, adequately sized and located sensibly Surfaces need minor repairs Minimal drainage issues Backstops/goals, baskets need minor repair	Playfields areas present, but possibly undersized Surfaces need many repairs Localized drainage issues Backstops/goals, baskets need many repairs	Play fields areas significantly undersized and located poorly Surfaces need major repairs Drainage issues throughout Backstops/goals, baskets need major repairs	Play fields areas significantly undersized and located poorly Surfaces need replacement Drainage issues throughout Backstops/goals, baskets need replacements

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Campus	181	Site Condition - Electrical- Site Lighting (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	Adequate pole lighting present in parking lots and in good condition Exterior corridors and building perimeter well-lit with modern fixtures All entrances well-lit with modern fixtures	Minimal additional pole lighting required and/or existing requires replacement Minimal additional building mounted lighting required or requires replacement Minimal additional entrance lighting required or requires replacement	Pole lighting required or requires replacement in parking, building exterior and entrance lighting adequate Pole lighting adequate, building exterior and entrance lighting required or requires replacement Localized replacement of site lighting required	Minimal lighting exists in parking and/or on building exterior Existing pole, exterior building mounted and entrance lighting is in poor condition and requires replacement	Virtually no site lighting exists Lighting present is not operational or is in extremely poor condition
Campus	182	Site Condition - Drainage - Septic/Sewage/ Wastewater Disposal Systems (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	School reports no issues with Septic/ Sewage/Wastewater Disposal Systems No visual evidence of system failure or issues observed	School reports septic/Sewage/ Wastewater Disposal Systems occasionally get clogged	Septic/Sewage/ Wastewater Disposal Systems release noxious odors or wastewater plumbing backups are reported by school	School reports Septic/Sewage/ Wastewater Disposal Systems have leaks and or signs of server corrosion	School reports Septic/ Sewage/Wastewater Disposal Systems are over capacity and in poor condition or nonfunctional
Campus	183	Site Condition - Drainage - Storm Sewer (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	No drainage issues exist Drainage issues are sporadic and addressed by standard routine maintenance	Some drainage issues exist but are sporadic and minor in scale	Localized drainage issues exist but do not pose concerns for operation of school Failure to address may create long term problems or escalate the condition to a major concern	Localized drainage issues create problems in school operation Flooding issues occur frequently	Site flooding occurs routinely due to poor drainage Entrance, walks or parking lots become unusable due to drainage problems Flooding issues create potential building intrusion by water

Level	Item	Full Question	GMO	Min	Mod	Maj	Replace
Campus	184	Site Condition - Utilities - Water Supply (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	School reports no issues with water supply	School reports water stains, sinks, toilets and drinking fountains	School reports issues with low water pressure. School reports issues with water odor, color or taste	Drinking fountains show signs or corrosion. School reports water supply pipes are corroded, cracked or have weld defects	School reports water supply fails water quality testing and or rusty water due to aging water supply piping
Campus	185	Site Condition - Utilities - Fuel Distribution (GMO, Min, Mod, Maj, Rep) (If Rep Please Explain)	School reports no issues with fuel distribution system	School reports minor pipe leaks, minor tank leaks, minor pump leaks and minor controls issues	School reports moderate pipe leaks, moderate tank leaks, moderate pump operational issues and moderate controls issues	School reports major pipe leaks, major tank leaks, major pump operational issues and major controls issues.	School reports distribution piping needs replacement. School reports tank needs replacement. School reports pumps are not operational. School reports controls are not operational



APPENDIX III

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ ABINGTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2004	P-P,K-2,3-4,5-8,9-12	3		362K	1	0.15	0.06	0.16	1	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.04	0.08	0.11	Average	2,137	2,076	103%
• Beaver Brook Elementary		K-2	1	1960	67K	3	0.56	0.22	0.59	2	0.37	0.37	0.62	0.34	0.10	0.25	0.18	Over	491	380	129%
• Woodsdale Elementary School		3-4	1	1958	56K	2	0.27	0.13	0.28	1	0.14	0.11	0.00	0.31	0.12	0.20	0.09	Average	372	324	115%
• 3 SCHOOLS: Abington High / Abington Middle / Abington Early Education		P-P,5-8,9-12	1	2017	239K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.09	Average	1,274	1,372	93%
▶ ACTON-BOXBOROUGH (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2023	P-P,K-6,7-8,9-12	6		1,105K	1	0.24	0.25	0.24	1	0.09	0.17	0.00	0.32	0.09	0.01	0.11	Average	4,934	5,998	82%
• 2 SCHOOLS: McCarthy-Towne School / Merriam School		K-6	1	2002	182K	1	0.24	0.20	0.24	1	0.08	0.17	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	826	1,116	74%
• 3 SCHOOLS:Paul P Gates / C.T. Douglas Elementary / Carol Huebner Early Childhood ..		P-P,K-6	1	2022	191K	1	0.19	0.20	0.19	1	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	788	924	85%
• Blanchard Memorial School		K-6	1	1991	92K	1	0.24	0.31	0.23	1	0.13	0.34	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.00	0.11	Average	469	564	83%
• Luther Conant School		K-6	1	1971	68K	2	0.31	0.34	0.31	1	0.13	0.12	0.05	0.44	0.20	0.00	0.11	Average	410	400	103%
• Raymond J Grey Junior High		7-8	1	2001	187K	1	0.22	0.26	0.21	1	0.10	0.29	0.00	0.30	0.10	0.03	0.11	Under	824	1,067	77%
• Acton-Boxborough Regional High		9-12	1	2004	386K	2	0.27	0.26	0.27	1	0.09	0.13	0.00	0.29	0.10	0.02	0.11	Average	1,617	1,927	84%
▶ ACUSHNET (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-4,5-8	2		215K	2	0.33	0.40	0.32	1	0.11	0.30	0.00	0.12	0.05	0.21	0.10	Average	920	1,156	80%
• Acushnet Elementary School		P-4	1	2002	106K	2	0.36	0.45	0.35	1	0.12	0.30	0.00	0.13	0.10	0.20	0.11	Average	517	584	89%
• Albert F Ford Middle School		5-8	1	2001	109K	2	0.30	0.34	0.29	1	0.11	0.30	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.22	0.09	Under	403	572	70%
▶ AGAWAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-P,K-4,5-6,7-8,9-12	6		426K	1	0.13	0.12	0.13	1	0.18	0.37	0.02	0.40	0.06	0.27	0.16	Average	2,301	2,827	81%
• Agawam Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Benjamin J Phelps		K-4	1	1998	57K	1	0.19	0.10	0.20	1	0.16	0.36	0.06	0.38	0.02	0.20	0.17	Average	304	324	94%
• Clifford M Granger		K-4	1	1998	50K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.22	0.36	0.05	0.36	0.08	0.40	0.24	Average	361	340	106%
• James Clark School		K-4	1	1998	49K	1	0.12	0.12	0.12	1	0.19	0.36	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.40	0.15	Average	297	324	92%
• Roberta G. Doering School		5-6	1	1989	89K	1	0.13	0.12	0.13	1	0.17	0.39	0.00	0.43	0.18	0.20	0.11	Average	522	504	104%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• William P. Sapelli Elementary		K-4	1	1960	50K	1	0.13	0.07	0.14	1	0.21	0.36	0.04	0.36	0.10	0.40	0.09	Under	290	372	78%
• Agawam Junior High		7-8	1	1973	131K	1	0.09	0.17	0.08	1	0.16	0.36	0.00	0.44	0.02	0.21	0.18	Under	527	963	55%
• Agawam High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► AMESBURY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		440K	1	0.16	0.06	0.17	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.11	0.01	0.05	0.11	Under	1,736	3,230	54%
• Charles C Cashman Elementary		3-5	1	2001	50K	1	0.22	0.07	0.23	1	0.07	0.31	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	413	548	75%
• Shay Elementary		P-2	1	2023	60K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	485	720	67%
• Amesbury Middle		6-8	1	1998	150K	1	0.20	0.07	0.21	1	0.08	0.31	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.12	0.11	Under	364	980	37%
• Amesbury High		9-12	1	2004	180K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.06	0.38	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	474	982	48%
► AMHERST (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6,K-6	1		91K	1	0.15	0.17	0.15	1	0.15	0.17	0.00	0.42	0.13	0.20	0.09	Average	353	440	80%
• Crocker Farm Elementary		P-6	1	2002	91K	1	0.15	0.17	0.15	1	0.15	0.17	0.00	0.42	0.13	0.20	0.09	Average	353	440	80%
• Fort River Elementary *		K-6			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Wildwood Elementary *		K-6			Null														Null	Null	Null
► AMHERST-PELHAM (RegIHS Dist, Reg 1)		7-8,9-12	2		456K	2	0.26	0.16	0.27	1	0.12	0.25	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.11	0.16	Under	1,176	2,310	51%
• Amherst Regional Middle School		7-8	1	1969	197K	2	0.26	0.08	0.27	1	0.15	0.20	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.22	0.20	Under	366	757	48%
• Amherst Regional High		9-12	1	1997	259K	2	0.26	0.22	0.26	1	0.11	0.28	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.02	0.13	Under	810	1,553	52%
► ANDOVER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2017	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	8		936K	1	0.21	0.09	0.22	1	0.06	0.24	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.01	0.11	Average	4,724	5,768	82%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Shawsheen School / West Elementary **		P-P,K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Bancroft Elementary		K-5	1	2014	68K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	522	684	76%
• Henry C Sanborn Elementary		K-5	1	1951	52K	2	0.26	0.12	0.27	1	0.06	0.25	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.20	Average	332	324	102%
• South Elementary		K-5	1	1995	66K	1	0.19	0.10	0.19	1	0.05	0.26	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	438	480	91%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• 2 SCHOOLS: Andover High Plain Elementary / Wood Hill Middle School		K-5,6-8	2	2002	176K	1	0.18	0.10	0.19	1	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	822	1,130	73%
• Andover West Middle		6-8	1	1956	106K	1	0.21	0.07	0.22	1	0.07	0.32	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	508	737	69%
• Doherty Middle		6-8	1	1972	125K	2	0.25	0.10	0.26	1	0.05	0.28	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	493	885	56%
• Andover High		9-12	1	1995	344K	1	0.21	0.09	0.22	1	0.06	0.29	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.02	0.11	Average	1,609	1,528	105%
▶ ARLINGTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2015	P-P,K-5,6-6,7-8,9-12	9		655K	1	0.21	0.15	0.21	1	0.09	0.24	0.01	0.24	0.00	0.08	0.10	Average	4,336	5,009	87%
• Menotomy Preschool **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Brackett		K-5	1	2002	58K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	1	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	394	400	99%
• Cyrus E Dallin		K-5	1	1999	58K	1	0.15	0.14	0.15	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	390	504	77%
• Gibbs School		6-6	1	2017	54K	1	0.10	0.38	0.08	1	0.04	0.14	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	529	436	121%
• Hardy		K-5	1	2021	63K	2	0.29	0.07	0.31	1	0.14	0.28	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.25	0.09	Under	382	504	76%
• John A Bishop		K-5	1	2000	54K	2	0.29	0.14	0.30	1	0.05	0.14	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	375	420	89%
• M Norcross Stratton		K-5	1	1960	68K	1	0.20	0.11	0.21	1	0.06	0.38	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	448	556	81%
• Peirce		K-5	1	2021	68K	1	0.15	0.13	0.16	1	0.04	0.14	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	318	352	90%
• Thompson		K-5	1	2013	59K	1	0.24	0.14	0.24	1	0.13	0.31	0.06	0.33	0.05	0.10	0.11	Average	537	480	112%
• Ottoson Middle		7-8	1	1997	174K	1	0.23	0.17	0.24	1	0.11	0.31	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.16	0.09	Under	963	1,357	71%
• Arlington High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ ASHBURNHAM-WESTMINSTER (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-1,P-5,2-5,6-8,9-12	5		459K	1	0.13	0.08	0.14	1	0.06	0.24	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	2,251	2,871	78%
• Briggs Elementary		P-5	1	2013	83K	1	0.03	0.02	0.03	1	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	461	520	89%
• Meetinghouse School		P-1	1	1993	35K	1	0.17	0.12	0.17	1	0.07	0.40	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	210	180	117%
• Westminster Elementary		2-5	1	2002	56K	1	0.16	0.12	0.17	1	0.07	0.39	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	375	464	81%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Overlook Middle School		6-8	1	1995	85K	1	0.11	0.07	0.12	1	0.06	0.32	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.02	0.09	Average	576	639	90%
• Oakmont Regional High School		9-12	1	2004	200K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	1	0.07	0.18	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	629	1,068	59%
► ASHLAND (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-P,K-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		546K	1	0.09	0.07	0.09	1	0.10	0.21	0.12	0.21	0.01	0.02	0.13	Average	2,823	3,240	87%
• William Pittaway Elementary **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• David Mindess		3-5	1	2023	105K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	670	600	112%
• Henry E Warren Elementary		K-2	1	1996	78K	1	0.14	0.10	0.14	2	0.33	0.29	0.80	0.28	0.00	0.05	0.19	Over	584	328	178%
• Ashland Middle		6-8	1	1997	161K	1	0.10	0.13	0.10	1	0.06	0.29	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.19	Under	685	1,163	59%
• Ashland High		9-12	1	2005	202K	1	0.11	0.06	0.11	1	0.07	0.18	0.00	0.31	0.03	0.02	0.09	Under	884	1,149	77%
► ASSABET VALLEY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 2)		9-12,Ch74	1		410K	1	0.23	0.20	0.23	1	0.08	0.20	0.00	0.18	0.05	0.05	0.11	Average	1,144	1,414	81%
• Assabet Valley Vocational High School		9-12,Ch74	1	2015	410K	1	0.23	0.20	0.23	1	0.08	0.20	0.00	0.18	0.05	0.05	0.11	Average	1,144	1,414	81%
► ATHOL-ROYALSTON (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2023	P-4,P-6,5-8,9-12	3		231K	1	0.23	0.19	0.23	1	0.09	0.21	0.00	0.24	0.01	0.10	0.10	Average	1,187	1,313	90%
• Athol Community Elementary School		P-4	1	2016	112K	1	0.24	0.22	0.24	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	589	592	99%
• Royalston Community School		P-6	1	1995	32K	1	0.23	0.13	0.24	1	0.14	0.38	0.00	0.40	0.02	0.20	0.09	Average	152	140	109%
• Athol-Royalston Middle School		5-8	1	1999	87K	1	0.21	0.16	0.21	1	0.14	0.32	0.00	0.36	0.02	0.20	0.09	Under	446	581	77%
• Athol High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► ATTLEBORO (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2024	P-P,K-4,5-8,7-12,9-..	9		1,240K	1	0.11	0.05	0.11	1	0.10	0.14	0.02	0.13	0.09	0.13	0.09	Average	6,178	6,910	89%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Early Learning Center / Peter Thacher Elementary School		P-P,K-4	1	1997	124K	1	0.16	0.04	0.17	1	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.22	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	711	632	113%
• A. Irvin Studley Elementary School		K-4	1	1991	77K	1	0.11	0.20	0.10	1	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.19	0.05	0.00	0.09	Average	372	368	101%
• Hill-Roberts Elementary School		K-4	1	1975	76K	1	0.18	0.11	0.18	1	0.11	0.29	0.00	0.22	0.32	0.00	0.09	Average	412	468	88%
• Hyman Fine Elementary School		K-4	1	1975	76K	1	0.17	0.17	0.17	1	0.15	0.27	0.25	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	472	400	118%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Thomas Willett Elementary School		K-4	1	1995	58K	1	0.08	0.04	0.08	1	0.10	0.12	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	373	336	111%
• Cyril K. Brennan Middle School		5-8	1	1997	109K	1	0.19	0.07	0.20	1	0.12	0.13	0.00	0.19	0.25	0.12	0.09	Under	599	808	74%
• Robert J. Coelho Middle School		5-8	1	2003	136K	1	0.22	0.04	0.24	1	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.19	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	574	810	71%
• Wamsutta Middle School		5-8	1	1997	109K	1	0.21	0.06	0.23	1	0.14	0.13	0.00	0.19	0.25	0.21	0.09	Under	593	875	68%
• 3 SCHOOLS: Attleboro High / Attleboro Community / Attleboro Virtual		7-12,9-12, Ch74	1	2022	475K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.08	0.14	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.24	0.09	Average	2,072	2,213	94%
► AUBURN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		K-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	5		378K	1	0.05	0.01	0.05	1	0.09	0.17	0.01	0.12	0.18	0.09	0.11	Under	2,495	3,933	63%
• Bryn Mawr		K-2	1	1948	25K	1	0.16	0.08	0.16	1	0.22	0.21	0.05	0.12	0.43	0.40	0.16	Under	241	432	56%
• Pakachoag School		K-2	1	1928	26K	1	0.22	0.09	0.23	1	0.24	0.07	0.05	0.34	0.38	0.40	0.17	Average	249	272	92%
• Swanson Road Intermediate School		3-5	1	2015	51K	1	0.10	0.00	0.11	1	0.12	0.06	0.05	0.24	0.00	0.20	0.15	Average	554	552	100%
• Auburn Middle		6-8	1	2015	102K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.06	0.18	0.00	0.04	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	628	1,138	55%
• Auburn Senior High		9-12	1	2006	175K	1	0.02	0.00	0.02	1	0.06	0.20	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.02	0.09	Under	823	1,539	53%
► AVON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-5,6-12	2		148K	1	0.15	0.10	0.15	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.17	0.14	0.13	Under	729	1,191	61%
• Ralph D Butler		P-5	1	2000	48K	1	0.18	0.05	0.20	1	0.15	0.11	0.00	0.09	0.02	0.40	0.21	Average	323	336	96%
• Avon Middle High School		6-12	1	2005	100K	1	0.13	0.13	0.13	1	0.07	0.08	0.00	0.12	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	406	855	47%
► AYER SHIRLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	4		412K	1	0.15	0.12	0.15	1	0.09	0.28	0.00	0.32	0.02	0.02	0.22	Under	1,723	2,217	78%
• Lura A. White Elementary School		K-5	1	1972	67K	2	0.28	0.21	0.29	1	0.14	0.36	0.00	0.44	0.12	0.00	0.38	Average	357	436	82%
• Page Hilltop Elementary School		P-5	1	1970	115K	1	0.24	0.16	0.24	1	0.11	0.34	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.29	Average	539	596	90%
• Ayer Shirley Regional Middle School		6-8	1	2003	85K	1	0.10	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.29	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.07	0.26	Under	410	542	76%
• Ayer Shirley Regional High School		9-12	1	2015	145K	1	0.05	0.06	0.04	1	0.06	0.18	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	417	643	65%
► BARNSTABLE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-P,K-3,4-5,6-7,8-12	8		1,030K	2	0.28	0.15	0.28	1	0.09	0.18	0.02	0.18	0.07	0.08	0.09	Under	4,520	6,290	72%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Enoch Cobb Early Learning Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Barnstable Community Innovation School		K-3	1	1950	55K	3	0.40	0.19	0.42	1	0.19	0.37	0.10	0.37	0.12	0.20	0.11	Average	293	292	100%
• Barnstable United Elementary School		4-5	1	1994	146K	2	0.34	0.19	0.35	1	0.15	0.19	0.00	0.31	0.13	0.20	0.11	Under	714	964	74%
• Centerville Elementary		K-3	1	1960	55K	3	0.43	0.47	0.43	1	0.11	0.35	0.00	0.23	0.25	0.00	0.09	Average	267	308	87%
• Hyannis West Elementary		K-3	1	1962	52K	2	0.31	0.20	0.32	1	0.14	0.35	0.18	0.38	0.02	0.00	0.09	Average	309	368	84%
• West Barnstable Elementary		K-3	1	1957	38K	1	0.20	0.22	0.20	1	0.17	0.34	0.15	0.26	0.27	0.10	0.09	Average	237	276	86%
• West Villages Elementary School		K-3	1	1989	64K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.10	0.21	0.00	0.22	0.27	0.00	0.09	Average	416	468	89%
• Barnstable Intermediate School		6-7	1	2000	199K	2	0.33	0.35	0.32	1	0.05	0.12	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	642	1,249	51%
• Barnstable High		8-12,Ch74	1	1994	421K	1	0.22	0.00	0.23	1	0.06	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.09	0.09	Under	1,642	2,365	69%
► BEDFORD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2023	P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		538K	1	0.18	0.03	0.20	1	0.10	0.25	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.16	0.09	Under	2,513	3,834	66%
• Lt Eleazer Davis		P-2	1	1999	98K	1	0.18	0.00	0.19	1	0.11	0.15	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	501	764	66%
• Lt Job Lane School		3-5	1	1989	88K	1	0.20	0.00	0.22	1	0.10	0.11	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	540	824	66%
• John Glenn Middle		6-8	1	2002	122K	1	0.14	0.14	0.14	1	0.08	0.37	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	604	825	73%
• Bedford High		9-12	1	2008	229K	1	0.20	0.00	0.22	1	0.12	0.27	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.21	0.09	Under	868	1,421	61%
► BELCHERTOWN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-K,1-3,4-6,7-8,9-12	5		446K	1	0.19	0.07	0.21	1	0.12	0.24	0.00	0.22	0.05	0.17	0.13	Under	2,035	2,679	76%
• Cold Spring		P-K	1	1954	31K	2	0.31	0.18	0.32	1	0.14	0.35	0.00	0.19	0.14	0.20	0.14	Average	188	168	112%
• Chestnut Hill Community School		4-6	1	1986	88K	1	0.19	0.08	0.20	1	0.06	0.12	0.00	0.19	0.02	0.00	0.13	Average	494	480	103%
• Swift River Elementary		1-3	1	1996	80K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.12	0.36	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	445	500	89%
• Jabish Middle School		7-8	1	1964	69K	3	0.41	0.14	0.44	1	0.19	0.38	0.00	0.33	0.25	0.20	0.18	Under	343	542	63%
• Belchertown High		9-12	1	2001	178K	1	0.11	0.02	0.12	1	0.11	0.18	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.22	0.11	Under	565	989	57%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► BELLINGHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2008	P-3,4-7,7-12,8-12	5		464K	1	0.15	0.13	0.15	1	0.08	0.14	0.03	0.21	0.00	0.04	0.19	Under	2,012	2,654	76%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Bellingham Early Childhood Center / Stall Brook		P-P,P-3	1	1973	74K	1	0.21	0.16	0.21	1	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.28	Average	280	320	88%
• Joseph F DiPietro Elementary School		P-3	1	1989	55K	1	0.14	0.10	0.15	1	0.15	0.34	0.08	0.24	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	385	424	91%
• Bellingham Memorial School		4-7	1	2002	130K	1	0.22	0.18	0.22	1	0.07	0.10	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.01	0.14	Average	586	730	80%
• Bellingham High School		8-12	1	2000	188K	1	0.07	0.07	0.07	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.19	Under	732	1,020	72%
• Keough Memorial Academy		7-12	1	1938	17K	1	0.23	0.28	0.22	1	0.13	0.12	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.30	0.11	Under	29	160	18%
► BELMONT (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2025	P-3,K-3,4-6,7-8,9-12	6		967K	1	0.22	0.13	0.23	1	0.08	0.17	0.01	0.16	0.05	0.08	0.10	Average	4,448	5,344	83%
• Daniel Butler		K-3	1	1900	57K	2	0.27	0.19	0.28	1	0.06	0.28	0.00	0.15	0.05	0.00	0.11	Average	261	276	95%
• Mary Lee Burbank		K-3	1	1931	85K	3	0.41	0.10	0.44	1	0.12	0.32	0.13	0.22	0.05	0.00	0.11	Under	238	316	75%
• Roger E Wellington		P-3	1	2011	88K	1	0.17	0.11	0.17	1	0.06	0.03	0.00	0.25	0.05	0.00	0.11	Under	426	716	59%
• Winn Brook		K-3	1	1934	103K	2	0.33	0.18	0.34	1	0.10	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.32	0.00	0.11	Average	304	300	101%
• Winthrop L Chenery Upper Elementary		4-6	1	1997	182K	3	0.41	0.35	0.42	1	0.08	0.12	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	1,023	1,020	100%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Belmont Middle School / Belmont High		7-8,9-12	1	2023	451K	1	0.08	0.03	0.09	1	0.08	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.18	0.09	Average	2,196	2,716	81%
► BERKLEY (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-4,5-8	1		60K	1	0.19	0.16	0.19	1	0.16	0.26	0.00	0.25	0.30	0.21	0.11	Under	374	474	79%
• Berkley Community School *		P-4			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Berkley Middle School		5-8	1	2001	60K	1	0.19	0.16	0.19	1	0.16	0.26	0.00	0.25	0.30	0.21	0.11	Under	374	474	79%
► BERKSHIRE HILLS (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-P,P-4,5-8,9-12	2		171K	1	0.14	0.03	0.15	1	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	691	1,076	64%
• Muddy Brook Regional Elementary School		P-4	1	2005	88K	1	0.12	0.03	0.13	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	365	544	67%
• W.E.B. Du Bois Regional Middle School		5-8	1	2005	83K	1	0.16	0.03	0.17	1	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	326	532	61%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Mountainside Preschool / Monument Mt Regional High **		P-P,9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► BERLIN-BOYLSTON (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2025	P-5,6-12	3		225K	1	0.07	0.05	0.08	1	0.10	0.13	0.00	0.21	0.09	0.10	0.18	Under	1,111	1,606	69%
• Berlin Memorial School		P-5	1	1999	64K	1	0.07	0.11	0.07	1	0.10	0.14	0.00	0.18	0.25	0.00	0.26	Average	242	296	82%
• Boylston Elementary School		P-5	1	1999	61K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.09	0.18	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.26	Under	347	508	68%
• Tahanto Regional High		6-12	1	2013	100K	1	0.02	0.00	0.03	1	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.17	0.05	0.22	0.09	Under	522	802	65%
► BEVERLY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,K-4,5-8,9-12	7		785K	1	0.19	0.10	0.20	1	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.11	Average	4,371	5,450	80%
• McKeown School **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Ayers/Ryal Side School		K-4	1	1960	63K	1	0.21	0.14	0.22	1	0.05	0.30	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	388	436	89%
• Centerville Elementary		K-4	1	2003	54K	1	0.22	0.15	0.23	1	0.05	0.30	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	327	368	89%
• Cove Elementary		K-4	1	2001	65K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	394	392	101%
• Hannah Elementary		K-4	1	2000	62K	1	0.20	0.09	0.21	1	0.05	0.28	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	312	380	82%
• North Beverly Elementary		K-4	1	1957	57K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.05	0.30	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	356	400	89%
• Beverly Middle School		5-8	1	2018	232K	1	0.21	0.09	0.22	1	0.08	0.20	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.12	0.11	Under	1,388	1,819	76%
• Beverly High		9-12	1	2010	252K	1	0.17	0.09	0.17	1	0.07	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.25	0.03	0.11	Under	1,206	1,655	73%
► BILLERICA (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2023	K-4,5-7,8-12	8		1,008K	3	0.43	0.29	0.45	1	0.12	0.29	0.01	0.29	0.10	0.03	0.18	Average	4,964	5,709	87%
• Frederick J Dutile		K-4	1	1965	48K	4	0.63	0.23	0.67	1	0.20	0.38	0.14	0.44	0.14	0.00	0.25	Average	310	276	112%
• Hajjar Elementary		K-4	1	1970	71K	4	0.65	0.45	0.67	1	0.17	0.36	0.05	0.51	0.13	0.00	0.30	Average	401	404	99%
• John F Kennedy		K-4	1	1966	54K	4	0.79	0.33	0.83	1	0.16	0.36	0.03	0.47	0.14	0.00	0.20	Over	330	264	125%
• Parker		K-4	1	2012	90K	1	0.09	0.17	0.09	1	0.08	0.14	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	446	592	75%
• Thomas Ditson		K-4	1	2001	105K	2	0.37	0.17	0.39	1	0.09	0.34	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	542	520	104%
• Locke Middle		5-7	1	1968	113K	4	0.92	0.69	0.94	2	0.26	0.54	0.00	0.43	0.38	0.20	0.30	Under	531	747	71%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Marshall Middle School		5-7	1	1972	166K	4	0.88	0.69	0.89	1	0.17	0.37	0.00	0.41	0.22	0.01	0.30	Under	596	857	70%
• Billerica Memorial High School		8-12	1	2019	363K	1	0.06	0.00	0.07	1	0.04	0.16	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.09	Average	1,808	2,049	88%
▶ BLACKSTONE VALLEY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 2)	2014	9-12,Ch74	1		282K	1	0.24	0.06	0.26	1	0.12	0.27	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.19	0.09	Average	1,243	1,368	91%
• Blackstone Valley		9-12,Ch74	1	2005	282K	1	0.24	0.06	0.26	1	0.12	0.27	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.19	0.09	Average	1,243	1,368	91%
▶ BLACKSTONE-MILLVILLE (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2020	P-3,4-7,8-12	4		311K	1	0.17	0.17	0.17	1	0.16	0.33	0.24	0.19	0.02	0.08	0.13	Under	946	1,696	56%
• Millville Elementary (Kennedy/Maloney Campus)		P-3	2	1987	115K	1	0.19	0.10	0.20	2	0.34	0.35	0.65	0.28	0.05	0.20	0.20	Under	0	472	0%
• Millville Elementary Campus (under repairs)		P-3	1	1990	78K	1	0.16	0.34	0.14	1	0.04	0.29	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	459	532	86%
• Frederick W. Hartnett Middle School		4-7	1	2003	119K	1	0.17	0.13	0.17	1	0.06	0.35	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	487	692	70%
• Blackstone Millville RHS *		8-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ BLUE HILLS REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 5)		9-12,Ch74	1		345K	1	0.04	0.07	0.04	1	0.11	0.06	0.00	0.15	0.05	0.27	0.09	Under	910	1,255	73%
• Blue Hills Regional Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	2019	345K	1	0.04	0.07	0.04	1	0.11	0.06	0.00	0.15	0.05	0.27	0.09	Under	910	1,255	73%
▶ BOSTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2023	P-1,P-2,P-3,P-5,P-6,..	116		9,787K	3	0.45	0.36	0.45	1	0.20	0.27	0.01	0.33	0.29	0.25	0.18	Under	44,104	79,101	56%
• Adams Elementary School		P-6	1	1910	41K	2	0.34	0.19	0.36	1	0.22	0.20	0.00	0.39	0.40	0.30	0.11	Under	237	588	40%
• Alighieri Dante Montessori School		P-6	1	2014	17K	2	0.30	0.10	0.31	2	0.29	0.35	0.00	0.29	0.88	0.40	0.11	Average	109	120	91%
• Baldwin Early Learning Pilot Academy		P-1	1	1926	28K	3	0.50	0.38	0.51	2	0.26	0.39	0.00	0.29	0.54	0.35	0.17	Under	165	280	59%
• Bates Elementary School		P-6	1	1929	28K	2	0.33	0.27	0.34	2	0.34	0.41	0.00	0.42	0.67	0.60	0.14	Average	281	260	108%
• Beethoven Elementary School		P-2	1	1925	37K	2	0.35	0.30	0.36	2	0.28	0.36	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.70	0.20	Under	287	436	66%
• Blackstone Elementary School		P-6	1	1975	194K	3	0.47	0.21	0.49	1	0.21	0.25	0.00	0.48	0.33	0.20	0.19	Under	582	1,052	55%
• Bradley Elementary School		P-6	1	1958	33K	3	0.58	0.60	0.57	3	0.40	0.39	0.00	0.43	1.00	0.60	0.17	Average	292	340	86%
• Channing Elementary School		P-6	1	1926	33K	2	0.28	0.46	0.26	2	0.31	0.42	0.00	0.39	0.55	0.50	0.19	Average	194	240	81%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Chittick Elementary School		P-6	1	1931	49K	3	0.54	0.10	0.58	2	0.32	0.43	0.09	0.35	0.49	0.45	0.24	Average	248	220	113%
• Clap Elementary School		P-6	1	1896	29K	3	0.47	0.38	0.47	2	0.25	0.43	0.00	0.36	0.53	0.30	0.15	Under	140	400	35%
• Conley Elementary School		P-6	1	1932	25K	1	0.21	0.18	0.21	2	0.30	0.37	0.00	0.28	0.68	0.50	0.24	Average	148	168	88%
• Dever Elementary School		P-6	1	1957	76K	3	0.51	0.39	0.52	1	0.22	0.39	0.00	0.29	0.17	0.40	0.17	Under	445	720	62%
• East Boston Early Education Center		P-1	1	1998	36K	3	0.43	0.00	0.47	1	0.17	0.13	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.40	0.24	Under	176	420	42%
• Edison K-8 School		P-6	1	2011	101K	3	0.51	0.35	0.52	1	0.14	0.19	0.00	0.42	0.29	0.00	0.18	Under	502	1,300	39%
• Ellis Elementary School		P-6	1	1932	51K	3	0.41	0.10	0.43	1	0.20	0.45	0.00	0.46	0.24	0.20	0.16	Under	391	528	74%
• Ellison-Parks Early Education School		P-3	1	1998	37K	1	0.20	0.20	0.20	1	0.19	0.11	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.40	0.21	Under	190	360	53%
• Everett Elementary School		P-6	1	1909	31K	3	0.47	0.19	0.49	2	0.37	0.41	0.02	0.50	0.29	0.80	0.20	Average	288	260	111%
• Grew Elementary School		P-6	1	1955	32K	3	0.46	0.39	0.47	1	0.22	0.11	0.05	0.37	0.20	0.30	0.24	Under	198	312	63%
• Guild Elementary School		P-6	1	1921	37K	2	0.26	0.07	0.27	1	0.24	0.20	0.00	0.36	0.24	0.50	0.11	Under	259	392	66%
• Hale Elementary School		P-6	1	1909	23K	3	0.50	0.13	0.53	2	0.33	0.49	0.00	0.36	0.63	0.55	0.16	Average	162	160	101%
• Harvard-Kent Elementary School		P-6	1	1972	93K	3	0.48	0.37	0.49	1	0.22	0.20	0.00	0.45	0.24	0.30	0.15	Under	334	908	37%
• Haynes Early Education Center		P-1	1	1998	36K	1	0.23	0.29	0.23	1	0.13	0.11	0.00	0.22	0.15	0.20	0.13	Under	168	492	34%
• Henderson K-12 Inclusion School Lower		P-1	1	1957	30K	3	0.46	0.34	0.47	2	0.26	0.37	0.00	0.29	0.43	0.40	0.30	Under	196	296	66%
• Higginson Inclusion K0-2 School		P-2	1	1922	23K	4	0.66	0.58	0.67	2	0.30	0.47	0.00	0.69	0.27	0.40	0.19	Under	154	336	46%
• Holmes Elementary School		P-6	1	1906	60K	2	0.28	0.12	0.30	1	0.20	0.43	0.00	0.36	0.42	0.20	0.14	Under	283	380	74%
• Kennedy John F Elementary School		P-6	1	1963	49K	2	0.36	0.24	0.37	2	0.29	0.14	0.00	0.17	0.83	0.45	0.20	Under	399	752	53%
• Kennedy Patrick J Elementary School		P-6	1	1926	36K	1	0.23	0.21	0.23	2	0.29	0.11	0.00	0.30	0.90	0.40	0.19	Under	279	412	68%
• Kenny Elementary School		P-6	1	1926	36K	3	0.51	0.74	0.49	2	0.33	0.42	0.00	0.46	0.74	0.45	0.14	Under	329	484	68%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• King Elementary School		P-6	1	1937	78K	3	0.59	0.36	0.61	1	0.18	0.38	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.20	0.14	Under	464	732	63%
• Lee Academy		P-3	1	2013	32K	3	0.57	0.61	0.56	1	0.24	0.37	0.00	0.31	0.65	0.20	0.24	Under	195	656	30%
• Manning Elementary School		P-6	1	1963	22K	3	0.45	0.33	0.46	2	0.35	0.34	0.00	0.49	0.46	0.70	0.19	Average	159	180	88%
• Mason Elementary School		P-5	1	1905	28K	3	0.47	0.32	0.48	2	0.33	0.36	0.00	0.53	0.49	0.60	0.14	Under	205	384	53%
• Mather Elementary School		P-6	1	2004	77K	4	0.73	0.26	0.78	2	0.35	0.57	0.00	0.40	0.62	0.50	0.30	Under	473	600	79%
• Mattahunt Elementary School		P-6	1	1977	171K	2	0.30	0.58	0.28	1	0.08	0.23	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	581	1,380	42%
• Mendell Elementary School		P-6	1	1904	32K	3	0.51	0.45	0.51	2	0.37	0.45	0.00	0.39	0.38	0.80	0.24	Average	309	280	110%
• Mozart Elementary School		P-6	1	1932	16K	3	0.40	0.21	0.42	2	0.33	0.43	0.00	0.45	0.32	0.70	0.18	Under	155	220	70%
• O'Donnell Elementary School		P-6	1	1931	25K	3	0.50	0.45	0.50	2	0.39	0.44	0.06	0.42	0.57	0.70	0.27	Average	256	300	85%
• Otis Elementary School		P-6	1	1905	35K	3	0.52	0.67	0.51	2	0.35	0.43	0.00	0.26	0.54	0.70	0.30	Average	408	440	93%
• Perkins Elementary School		P-6	1	1926	28K	3	0.44	0.46	0.43	2	0.29	0.18	0.00	0.34	0.47	0.55	0.19	Under	167	360	46%
• Perry Elementary School		P-6	1	1905	39K	2	0.33	0.21	0.34	1	0.22	0.40	0.00	0.41	0.22	0.35	0.11	Under	213	412	52%
• Philbrick Elementary School		P-6	1	1913	20K	1	0.22	0.28	0.22	2	0.28	0.43	0.00	0.33	0.24	0.60	0.19	Average	131	120	109%
• Quincy Elementary School		P-5	1	1976	212K	2	0.33	0.53	0.31	1	0.20	0.31	0.00	0.34	0.25	0.20	0.33	Under	731	980	75%
• Russell Elementary School		P-5	1	1903	54K	2	0.38	0.42	0.37	2	0.37	0.42	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.70	0.21	Average	356	440	81%
• Shaw-Taylor Elementary School		P-6	1	1931	51K	3	0.50	0.10	0.53	3	0.49	0.43	0.65	0.38	0.12	0.70	0.14	Under	0	492	0%
• Sumner Elementary School		P-6	1	1937	49K	3	0.42	0.34	0.43	2	0.36	0.46	0.00	0.23	0.98	0.50	0.23	Under	576	840	69%
• Trotter Elementary School		P-6	1	1969	80K	3	0.59	0.49	0.60	1	0.19	0.46	0.00	0.41	0.09	0.20	0.16	Under	352	700	50%
• Tynan Elementary School		P-6	1	1972	120K	3	0.48	0.23	0.50	1	0.13	0.06	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.20	0.14	Under	227	608	37%
• UP Academy Holland		P-6	1	2002	139K	3	0.41	0.18	0.43	1	0.15	0.25	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.20	0.16	Average	641	596	108%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Winship Elementary School		P-6	1	1901	41K	3	0.43	0.37	0.43	2	0.31	0.20	0.00	0.42	0.43	0.60	0.18	Under	353	592	60%
• Winthrop Elementary School		P-6	1	1911	43K	2	0.33	0.27	0.34	2	0.35	0.40	0.00	0.34	0.85	0.60	0.11	Under	226	372	61%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Hennigan K-8 School / West Zone Early Learning Center		P-1,K-8	1	1972	138K	3	0.49	0.44	0.49	1	0.21	0.20	0.00	0.44	0.24	0.25	0.24	Under	548	899	61%
• Boston Teachers Union K-8 Pilot		P-8	1	2002	40K	3	0.43	0.32	0.44	2	0.32	0.42	0.00	0.39	0.80	0.42	0.24	Under	249	485	51%
• Condon K-8 School		P-8	1	1975	140K	3	0.42	0.70	0.40	1	0.17	0.14	0.00	0.39	0.15	0.20	0.19	Under	518	1,482	35%
• Curley K-8 School		P-8	2	1970	157K	3	0.43	0.33	0.44	1	0.15	0.29	0.00	0.38	0.04	0.20	0.13	Under	902	1,721	52%
• Eliot K-8 Innovation School		P-8	3	2019	102K	2	0.29	0.52	0.27	1	0.20	0.23	0.00	0.35	0.42	0.20	0.13	Average	784	722	109%
• Gardner Pilot Academy		P-8	1	1906	59K	3	0.56	0.59	0.56	2	0.28	0.30	0.00	0.47	0.38	0.46	0.11	Under	364	920	40%
• Greenwood Sarah K-8 School		P-8	1	1925	58K	3	0.40	0.21	0.41	1	0.24	0.41	0.00	0.36	0.60	0.27	0.11	Average	357	415	86%
• Haley Pilot School		P-8	1	1971	46K	3	0.55	0.43	0.56	2	0.31	0.27	0.11	0.44	0.25	0.52	0.20	Under	360	582	62%
• Hernandez K-8 School		P-8	1	1923	64K	2	0.29	0.00	0.31	1	0.20	0.14	0.00	0.19	0.43	0.32	0.24	Average	372	395	94%
• Higginson-Lewis K-8 School		3-8	1	1926	76K	3	0.50	0.39	0.51	2	0.28	0.42	0.00	0.47	0.80	0.20	0.18	Under	213	527	40%
• Hurley K-8 School		P-8	1	1961	42K	3	0.55	0.34	0.57	2	0.33	0.36	0.00	0.37	0.99	0.40	0.14	Under	335	477	70%
• Kilmer K-8 School - 35 Baker St and 140 Russet Rd		P-8	2	2007	50K	1	0.20	0.35	0.18	2	0.29	0.22	0.03	0.52	0.24	0.52	0.13	Average	401	361	111%
• Lee K-8 School		P-8	1	2000	153K	3	0.49	0.42	0.49	2	0.27	0.21	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.62	0.24	Average	551	666	83%
• Lyndon K-8 School		P-8	1	1937	93K	3	0.49	0.47	0.49	1	0.18	0.14	0.00	0.40	0.63	0.00	0.13	Under	512	1,074	48%
• Lyon K-8 School		K-8	1	1999	13K	3	0.47	0.00	0.51	2	0.33	0.33	0.00	0.50	0.24	0.72	0.15	Under	143	212	67%
• Mario Umana Academy		P-8	1	1975	169K	3	0.51	0.31	0.53	1	0.18	0.28	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.32	0.24	Under	634	810	78%
• McKay K-8 School		P-8	1	1926	79K	3	0.49	0.54	0.49	1	0.23	0.46	0.00	0.32	0.05	0.42	0.26	Average	640	742	86%
• Mildred Avenue K-8 School		P-8	1	2002	214K	3	0.42	0.00	0.46	1	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.10	0.05	0.40	0.24	Under	601	872	69%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Murphy K-8 School		P-8	1	1973	148K	3	0.43	0.35	0.43	1	0.13	0.14	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.16	0.20	Average	855	1,008	85%
• Ohrenberger School		3-8	1	1972	112K	3	0.42	0.32	0.43	1	0.16	0.11	0.02	0.41	0.05	0.22	0.11	Under	477	902	53%
• Orchard Gardens K-8 School		P-8	1	2002	142K	2	0.29	0.24	0.29	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.01	0.11	Average	689	770	89%
• Roosevelt K-8 School		P-8	2	2007	55K	2	0.36	0.40	0.36	1	0.12	0.22	0.00	0.32	0.07	0.11	0.15	Under	364	649	56%
• Tobin K-8 School		P-8	1	1959	64K	3	0.41	0.49	0.40	2	0.31	0.36	0.00	0.44	0.83	0.32	0.30	Under	364	567	64%
• Warren-Prescott K-8 School		P-8	1	1963	59K	3	0.47	0.59	0.45	2	0.33	0.37	0.01	0.45	0.51	0.60	0.20	Under	507	1,040	49%
• Young Achievers K-8 School		P-8	1	1930	121K	3	0.48	0.43	0.48	1	0.22	0.14	0.00	0.37	0.50	0.20	0.24	Under	489	752	65%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Carter School / Frederick Pilot Middle School		P-12,7-8	1	2002	144K	1	0.22	0.24	0.22	1	0.11	0.13	0.00	0.31	0.25	0.01	0.11	Under	215	995	22%
• 2 SCHOOLS: : Madison Park Technical HS / O'Bryant Math & Science HS		7-12,9-12, Ch74	8	1980	1,083K	4	0.63	0.83	0.61	1	0.16	0.30	0.00	0.42	0.22	0.01	0.21	Under	2,599	5,765	45%
• Albert D Holland School of Technology		7-12	1	2008	202K	3	0.44	0.10	0.47	1	0.14	0.34	0.00	0.29	0.30	0.02	0.16	Under	387	1,235	31%
• Boston Latin Academy		7-12	1	1989	332K	3	0.53	0.24	0.55	1	0.18	0.19	0.00	0.12	0.29	0.28	0.19	Average	1,693	1,832	92%
• Boston Latin School		7-12	1	1989	337K	3	0.50	0.43	0.51	1	0.21	0.28	0.00	0.34	0.25	0.34	0.14	Average	2,424	2,226	109%
• Brighton High School		7-12	1	1930	216K	3	0.46	0.00	0.50	1	0.17	0.31	0.00	0.14	0.37	0.21	0.18	Under	658	1,581	42%
• Carter School *		8-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Charlestown High School		7-12	1	2014	120K	2	0.28	0.23	0.28	1	0.06	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.19	Under	702	1,295	54%
• Dearborn 6-12 STEM Academy		6-12	1	2018	202K	1	0.09	0.09	0.09	1	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.04	0.25	0.21	0.09	Under	565	938	60%
• East Boston High School		7-12	1	2000	213K	2	0.37	0.26	0.38	1	0.12	0.13	0.00	0.19	0.27	0.07	0.16	Under	1,235	1,944	64%
• English High School		7-12,Ch74	1	1973	226K	3	0.44	0.36	0.45	1	0.13	0.15	0.00	0.14	0.37	0.07	0.12	Under	710	1,906	37%
• Margarita Muniz Academy		7-12	1	1972	115K	2	0.37	0.31	0.38	1	0.15	0.22	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.26	0.24	Under	428	1,023	42%
• New Mission High School		7-12	1	1929	187K	3	0.44	0.38	0.45	1	0.14	0.36	0.00	0.26	0.39	0.02	0.14	Under	715	1,149	62%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Quincy Upper School *		6-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Ruth Batson Academy *		7-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• TechBoston Academy		7-12	1	1925	170K	3	0.41	0.37	0.41	1	0.20	0.34	0.00	0.37	0.34	0.25	0.16	Under	849	2,487	34%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Boston Collaborative High School / UP Academy Charter School of Boston		9-12	1	1936	108K	3	0.54	0.38	0.56	1	0.20	0.26	0.00	0.35	0.29	0.22	0.19	Under	206	1,069	19%
• Another Course To College		9-12	1	2016	51K	2	0.37	0.41	0.37	2	0.25	0.30	0.00	0.24	0.39	0.51	0.14	Under	253	391	65%
• Boston Adult Tech Academy		11-12	1	2013	18K	3	0.46	0.46	0.46	2	0.38	0.16	0.00	0.52	0.64	0.70	0.24	Average	227	235	97%
• Boston Arts Academy		9-12,Ch74	1	2022	154K	1	0.04	0.03	0.05	1	0.12	0.08	0.00	0.07	0.55	0.10	0.09	Under	498	2,682	19%
• Boston International High School & Newcomers Academy		9-12	1	1992	76K	3	0.44	0.38	0.44	2	0.26	0.40	0.00	0.40	0.67	0.27	0.18	Under	490	805	61%
• Community Academy		9-12	1	1902	20K	2	0.39	0.28	0.40	2	0.27	0.39	0.00	0.22	0.86	0.32	0.12	Under	58	297	20%
• Community Academy of Science and Health		9-12	1	1919	172K	3	0.48	0.00	0.53	2	0.26	0.39	0.00	0.28	0.42	0.41	0.24	Under	366	904	40%
• Excel High School		9-12	1	1901	160K	3	0.54	0.20	0.57	2	0.26	0.47	0.00	0.33	0.44	0.31	0.26	Under	300	772	39%
• Fenway High School		9-12	1	2015	71K	3	0.48	0.51	0.48	1	0.24	0.33	0.00	0.27	0.55	0.26	0.24	Under	356	728	49%
• Greater Egleston High School **		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Lyon High School		9-12	1	1923	47K	3	0.47	0.22	0.49	2	0.28	0.39	0.00	0.30	0.44	0.51	0.15	Under	95	382	25%
• Snowden International High School		9-12	1	1885	36K	3	0.42	0.20	0.44	2	0.35	0.19	0.00	0.25	0.62	0.71	0.24	Under	421	579	73%
• Henderson K-12 Inclusion School Upper		2-12	1	1932	97K	3	0.58	0.37	0.60	1	0.20	0.37	0.00	0.43	0.04	0.29	0.27	Average	618	719	86%
• Horace Mann School for the Deaf Hard of Hearing		P-12	1	1932	25K	2	0.38	0.20	0.39	1	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.12	0.11	Under	70	968	7%
• Melvin H. King South End Academy		1-12	3	1959	115K	3	0.57	0.18	0.60	2	0.31	0.20	0.00	0.48	0.62	0.42	0.20	Under	123	844	15%
▸ BOSTON GREEN ACADEMY HORACE MANN CHARTER SCHOOL (Charter Dist, Reg 5)		7-12,Ch74			103K	3	0.58	0.50	0.58	2	0.27	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.34	0.49	0.14	Under	413	773	53%
• Boston Green Academy Horace Mann Charter School		7-12,Ch74	1	1939	103K	3	0.58	0.50	0.58	2	0.27	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.34	0.49	0.14	Under	413	773	53%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ BOURNE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		441K	1	0.19	0.28	0.18	1	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.13	Under	1,510	2,560	59%
• Bourne Intermediate School		3-5	1	2019	73K	1	0.03	0.08	0.03	1	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	359	460	78%
• Bournedale Elementary School		P-2	1	2009	68K	1	0.10	0.20	0.09	1	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	425	460	92%
• Bourne Middle School		6-8	1	2000	150K	2	0.25	0.28	0.25	1	0.05	0.30	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	394	796	49%
• Bourne High School		9-12	1	1991	150K	1	0.24	0.42	0.22	1	0.07	0.33	0.00	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.21	Under	332	844	39%
▶ BOXFORD (MuniElem Dist, Reg 3)		P-2,3-6	2		145K	1	0.13	0.05	0.14	1	0.12	0.30	0.00	0.31	0.02	0.20	0.09	Average	778	900	86%
• Harry Lee Cole		P-2	1	1996	71K	1	0.15	0.01	0.17	1	0.13	0.33	0.00	0.33	0.05	0.20	0.09	Average	367	400	92%
• Spofford Pond		3-6	1	1963	74K	1	0.11	0.10	0.11	1	0.12	0.26	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	411	500	82%
▶ BRAINTREE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2006	K-4,5-8,9-12	9		975K	1	0.19	0.08	0.20	1	0.07	0.16	0.02	0.12	0.06	0.02	0.11	Average	5,208	6,516	80%
• Archie T Morrison		K-4	1	1968	52K	1	0.20	0.05	0.21	1	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.11	Average	327	388	84%
• Donald Ross		K-4	1	1951	35K	2	0.30	0.31	0.30	1	0.15	0.42	0.00	0.25	0.54	0.00	0.14	Average	182	224	81%
• Highlands		K-4	1	1930	45K	3	0.48	0.22	0.51	1	0.11	0.19	0.05	0.16	0.18	0.00	0.18	Average	350	344	102%
• Hollis		K-4	1	1955	54K	2	0.34	0.29	0.34	1	0.11	0.36	0.00	0.11	0.39	0.00	0.14	Average	365	420	87%
• Liberty		K-4	1	1963	43K	1	0.24	0.07	0.25	1	0.20	0.15	0.45	0.21	0.02	0.00	0.14	Average	367	296	124%
• Mary E Flaherty School		K-4	1	1953	39K	1	0.19	0.13	0.20	1	0.09	0.28	0.06	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.14	Over	290	220	132%
• East Middle School		5-8	1	2020	184K	1	0.09	0.13	0.09	1	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	999	1,436	70%
• South Middle School		5-8	1	2024	142K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	635	986	64%
• Braintree High		9-12	1	1972	381K	1	0.23	0.01	0.25	1	0.05	0.19	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.11	Under	1,693	2,202	77%
▶ BREWSTER (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-2,3-5	2		117K	2	0.25	0.22	0.25	1	0.09	0.42	0.00	0.17	0.10	0.00	0.13	Under	439	680	65%
• Eddy Elementary		3-5	1	1996	21K	1	0.09	0.26	0.07	1	0.06	0.31	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	197	288	68%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Stony Brook Elementary		P-2	1	1989	96K	2	0.28	0.21	0.29	1	0.09	0.44	0.00	0.16	0.13	0.00	0.14	Under	242	392	62%
▸ BRIDGEWATER-RAYNHAM (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-2,K-1,2-4,3-5,5-8,..	7		1,059K	1	0.08	0.02	0.09	1	0.08	0.28	0.02	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.09	Average	5,664	6,318	90%
• Laliberte Elementary School		2-4	1	2002	43K	1	0.13	0.12	0.13	1	0.16	0.22	0.40	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.09	Over	543	424	128%
• Merrill Elementary School		K-1	1	2003	46K	1	0.04	0.25	0.02	1	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	310	284	109%
• Mitchell Elementary School		P-2	1	2022	135K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.09	0.13	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.20	0.09	Average	931	820	114%
• Williams Intermediate School		3-5	1	2006	151K	1	0.13	0.02	0.14	1	0.08	0.30	0.00	0.12	0.25	0.00	0.09	Average	863	940	92%
• Raynham Middle School		5-8	1	2001	167K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.04	0.20	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	710	934	76%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Bridgewater Middle School / Therapeutic Day School		6-8,10-12	1	1972	182K	1	0.17	0.00	0.19	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.13	0.11	Average	838	907	92%
• Bridgewater-Raynham Regional		9-12	1	2007	335K	1	0.04	0.00	0.05	1	0.07	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.13	0.02	0.09	Under	1,469	2,009	73%
▸ BRIMFIELD (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		59K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.16	0.34	0.00	0.34	0.02	0.30	0.11	Average	258	272	95%
• Brimfield Elementary		P-6	1	1995	59K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.16	0.34	0.00	0.34	0.02	0.30	0.11	Average	258	272	95%
▸ BRISTOL COUNTY AGRICULTURAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 6)	2025	9-12,Ch74	12		460K	1	0.07	0.00	0.08	1	0.13	0.25	0.00	0.11	0.25	0.22	0.09	Under	631	1,219	52%
• Bristol County Agricultural High		9-12,Ch74	12	2022	460K	1	0.07	0.00	0.08	1	0.13	0.25	0.00	0.11	0.25	0.22	0.09	Under	631	1,219	52%
▸ BRISTOL-PLYMOUTH REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 6)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Bristol-Plymouth Vocational Technical *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
▸ BROCKTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-P,P-5,K-5,K-8,5-1..	20		1,758K	2	0.25	0.10	0.27	1	0.16	0.31	0.09	0.32	0.07	0.16	0.13	Average	11,126	12,190	91%
• Barrett Russell Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Brookfield		P-5	1	1998	68K	2	0.26	0.07	0.28	1	0.12	0.47	0.03	0.45	0.03	0.00	0.11	Average	532	612	87%
• Downey		P-5	1	1971	119K	1	0.21	0.07	0.22	1	0.16	0.18	0.03	0.46	0.05	0.20	0.11	Average	602	540	111%
• Dr W Arnone Community School		P-5	1	2002	96K	1	0.20	0.15	0.21	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	763	816	94%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Gilmore Elementary School		P-5	1	1965	51K	2	0.25	0.09	0.27	1	0.20	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.14	0.40	0.11	Average	444	452	98%
• Hancock		P-5	1	1969	68K	1	0.20	0.04	0.22	1	0.10	0.34	0.01	0.34	0.00	0.05	0.14	Average	680	632	108%
• John F Kennedy		K-5	1	1965	47K	2	0.28	0.09	0.30	1	0.20	0.27	0.11	0.44	0.05	0.20	0.14	Average	539	496	109%
• Louis F Angelo Elementary		K-5	1	1999	96K	2	0.26	0.06	0.28	1	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.28	0.05	0.05	0.14	Over	875	680	129%
• Manthala George Jr. School		P-5	1	2009	116K	1	0.13	0.02	0.14	1	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	838	768	109%
• Mary E. Baker School		K-5	1	2008	116K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.07	0.12	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	769	848	91%
• Oscar F Raymond		K-5	1	1974	123K	2	0.28	0.14	0.30	2	0.29	0.42	0.50	0.60	0.05	0.00	0.15	Over	864	688	126%
• Edgar B Davis		K-8	1	1974	123K	2	0.26	0.07	0.28	3	0.41	0.46	0.72	0.36	0.00	0.32	0.20	Over	1,009	455	222%
• Ashfield Middle School		6-8	1	1965	63K	1	0.20	0.07	0.21	1	0.18	0.34	0.06	0.32	0.00	0.32	0.11	Average	474	581	82%
• East Middle School		6-8	1	1958	97K	2	0.28	0.07	0.30	1	0.18	0.40	0.00	0.19	0.37	0.24	0.11	Under	421	689	61%
• North Middle School		6-8	1	1959	92K	2	0.35	0.13	0.36	1	0.14	0.39	0.00	0.30	0.17	0.12	0.18	Under	427	609	70%
• Plouffe Middle School		6-8	1	1998	96K	2	0.30	0.07	0.32	1	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.32	0.11	Under	627	868	72%
• South Middle School		6-8	1	1955	104K	2	0.29	0.11	0.30	1	0.11	0.36	0.00	0.25	0.13	0.07	0.14	Under	398	670	59%
• West Middle School		6-8	1	1952	110K	2	0.32	0.13	0.33	1	0.10	0.39	0.00	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.18	Under	533	728	73%
• Therapeutic Day School		5-12	1	1896	69K	2	0.30	0.37	0.29	1	0.19	0.37	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.52	0.14	Under	30	213	14%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Brockton High / Edison Evening Academy *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• 2 SCHOOLS: Champion HS / Brockton Virtual		9-12	1	1916	39K	2	0.26	0.18	0.27	2	0.30	0.37	0.00	0.50	0.25	0.65	0.11	Under	163	499	33%
• PROMISE College and Career Academy		9-11	1	1896	67K	2	0.30	0.18	0.31	1	0.20	0.39	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.32	0.11	Under	138	346	40%
▸ BROOKFIELD (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		85K	2	0.28	0.19	0.29	1	0.15	0.28	0.00	0.42	0.20	0.10	0.11	Under	289	596	48%
• Brookfield Elementary		P-6	1	1994	85K	2	0.28	0.19	0.29	1	0.15	0.28	0.00	0.42	0.20	0.10	0.11	Under	289	596	48%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► BROOKLINE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-P,P-8,K-8,9-12	11		1,415K	1	0.05	0.09	0.05	1	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.30	0.04	0.14	0.09	Under	6,273	8,274	76%
• Brookline Early Education Program at Beacon **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Brookline Early Education Program at Putterham **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• The Lynch Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Edith C Baker		K-8	1	2000	105K	1	0.23	0.31	0.22	1	0.11	0.25	0.00	0.34	0.13	0.06	0.11	Average	665	647	103%
• Florida Ruffin Ridley School		P-8	1	2018	138K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.06	0.07	0.00	0.26	0.05	0.01	0.09	Under	857	1,133	76%
• John D Runkle		P-8	1	2012	107K	1	0.01	0.07	0.00	1	0.10	0.12	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.21	0.09	Under	476	682	70%
• Lawrence		K-8	1	2004	106K	1	0.22	0.42	0.20	1	0.12	0.17	0.00	0.38	0.08	0.11	0.09	Average	630	703	90%
• Michael Driscoll		P-8	1	2022	158K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.11	0.15	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.21	0.09	Under	567	994	57%
• Pierce *		K-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Roland Hayes		P-8	1	2012	105K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.13	0.12	0.00	0.23	0.18	0.21	0.09	Average	418	484	86%
• William H Lincoln		K-8	1	1992	88K	1	0.03	0.22	0.01	1	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.30	0.13	0.06	0.09	Under	494	712	69%
• Brookline High		9-12	4	2022	607K	1	0.03	0.03	0.03	1	0.11	0.19	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.16	0.09	Under	2,166	2,919	74%
► BURLINGTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2017	K-5,6-8,9-12	5		853K	1	0.16	0.11	0.16	1	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.02	0.12	Under	3,072	4,436	69%
• Fox Hill *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Francis Wyman Elementary		K-5	1	1996	180K	1	0.11	0.06	0.11	1	0.07	0.17	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	514	648	79%
• Memorial		K-5	1	2011	84K	1	0.01	0.04	0.00	1	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	372	452	82%
• Pine Glen Elementary		K-5	1	1963	63K	1	0.18	0.21	0.17	1	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.27	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	320	448	71%
• Marshall Simonds Middle		6-8	1	2012	155K	1	0.14	0.10	0.15	1	0.03	0.08	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	848	1,189	71%
• Burlington High		9-12	1	1971	370K	1	0.22	0.14	0.23	1	0.06	0.20	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.04	0.14	Under	1,018	1,699	60%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ CAMBRIDGE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2024	P-5,P-8,6-8,9-12	13		1,272K	1	0.20	0.07	0.21	1	0.10	0.16	0.02	0.21	0.05	0.09	0.16	Under	7,004	9,030	78%
• Cambridgeport		P-5	1	1920	59K	2	0.36	0.07	0.39	1	0.23	0.22	0.40	0.28	0.13	0.00	0.19	Average	263	304	87%
• Fletcher/Maynard Academy		P-5	1	1929	98K	2	0.29	0.07	0.31	1	0.12	0.17	0.00	0.29	0.27	0.00	0.28	Under	249	520	48%
• Graham and Parks		P-5	1	1930	116K	2	0.35	0.08	0.37	1	0.14	0.17	0.00	0.27	0.05	0.20	0.21	Average	367	440	83%
• Haggerty		P-5	1	1995	50K	1	0.14	0.06	0.14	1	0.11	0.09	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.20	0.14	Average	250	260	96%
• John M Tobin		P-5	1	1930	76K	2	0.28	0.12	0.30	1	0.19	0.11	0.00	0.33	0.12	0.40	0.14	Under	291	424	69%
• Maria L. Baldwin		P-5	1	1995	45K	1	0.07	0.07	0.07	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.17	Average	360	392	92%
• Morse		P-5	1	1995	84K	1	0.24	0.10	0.25	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.18	0.03	0.20	0.16	Under	328	476	69%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Kennedy-Longfellow / Vassal Lane Upper School		P-5,6-8	1	1971	122K	2	0.30	0.13	0.31	1	0.08	0.15	0.00	0.22	0.05	0.00	0.15	Under	513	773	66%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Peabody / Rindge Avenue Upper School		P-5,6-8	1	2001	146K	2	0.27	0.08	0.29	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.13	Average	606	629	96%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Putnam Avenue Upper School / Martin Luther King Jr.		P-5,6-8	1	2015	67K	1	0.02	0.07	0.02	1	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	623	603	103%
• 2 SCHOOLSL: Cambridge Street Upper School / King Open		P-5,6-8	1	2019	120K	1	0.01	0.02	0.01	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	692	857	81%
• Amigos School		P-8	1	1939	57K	2	0.29	0.07	0.31	1	0.12	0.33	0.00	0.28	0.12	0.02	0.19	Average	413	493	84%
• Cambridge Rindge and Latin		9-12,Ch74	1	1920	232K	1	0.07	0.04	0.08	1	0.07	0.22	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.07	0.10	Under	2,049	2,859	72%
▶ CANTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2017	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	4		434K	1	0.20	0.12	0.21	1	0.07	0.22	0.02	0.16	0.02	0.03	0.13	Under	2,471	3,224	77%
• Rodman Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Dean S Luce		K-5	1	2000	67K	1	0.19	0.15	0.20	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.25	0.13	0.00	0.13	Average	509	476	107%
• John F Kennedy		K-5	1	1964	55K	1	0.22	0.10	0.23	1	0.10	0.30	0.13	0.20	0.02	0.00	0.11	Average	462	488	95%
• Lt Peter M Hansen		K-5	1	1969	64K	1	0.22	0.20	0.22	1	0.11	0.37	0.00	0.30	0.02	0.10	0.13	Average	538	556	97%
• Wm H Galvin Middle *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Canton High		9-12	1	2006	248K	1	0.19	0.10	0.20	1	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.13	Under	962	1,704	56%
▶ CAPE COD REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 6)		9-12,Ch74	1		220K	1	0.13	0.03	0.14	1	0.13	0.17	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.34	0.14	Under	682	932	73%
• Cape Cod Region Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	2020	220K	1	0.13	0.03	0.14	1	0.13	0.17	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.34	0.14	Under	682	932	73%
▶ CARLISLE (MuniElem Dist, Reg 4)		P-8	1		138K	1	0.18	0.28	0.17	1	0.12	0.13	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.21	0.19	Under	612	882	69%
• Carlisle School		P-8	1	2012	138K	1	0.18	0.28	0.17	1	0.12	0.13	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.21	0.19	Under	612	882	69%
▶ CARVER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-5,6-12	2		278K	1	0.12	0.04	0.13	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.03	0.13	Under	1,473	2,655	55%
• Carver Elementary School		P-5	1	2018	112K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.04	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.10	Average	788	828	95%
• Carver Middle/High School		6-12	1	1987	165K	1	0.19	0.07	0.21	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.02	0.14	Under	685	1,827	37%
▶ CENTRAL BERKSHIRE (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		395K	1	0.14	0.04	0.15	1	0.06	0.28	0.00	0.17	0.02	0.01	0.13	Under	1,537	2,130	72%
• Becket Washington School		P-5	1	2004	47K	1	0.22	0.08	0.23	1	0.06	0.34	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	91	140	65%
• Craneville		K-5	1	1990	66K	2	0.25	0.05	0.27	1	0.08	0.37	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.24	Average	458	472	97%
• Kittredge		P-5	1	1998	56K	1	0.19	0.06	0.21	1	0.09	0.34	0.00	0.22	0.13	0.00	0.21	Average	185	200	93%
• Nessacus Regional Middle School		6-8	1	1998	103K	1	0.17	0.04	0.18	1	0.07	0.32	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	353	594	59%
• Wahconah Regional High		9-12	1	2021	123K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.14	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	450	724	62%
▶ CHELMSFORD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2016	P-P,K-4,5-6,7-8,9-12	6		727K	1	0.17	0.12	0.17	1	0.10	0.29	0.05	0.16	0.02	0.10	0.11	Average	4,132	4,927	84%
• Community Education Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Byam School		K-4	1	1968	60K	1	0.17	0.20	0.17	1	0.08	0.29	0.10	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	510	444	115%
• Center Elementary School		K-4	1	1999	56K	1	0.19	0.16	0.19	1	0.19	0.13	0.09	0.35	0.08	0.30	0.14	Over	480	384	125%
• Charles D Harrington		K-4	1	1967	111K	1	0.18	0.08	0.18	1	0.18	0.29	0.09	0.16	0.00	0.40	0.11	Average	468	520	90%
• Col Moses Parker School *		5-6			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• South Row		K-4	1	1963	48K	2	0.27	0.13	0.28	1	0.11	0.33	0.16	0.16	0.02	0.00	0.14	Average	464	468	99%
• McCarthy Middle School		7-8	1	1959	152K	1	0.19	0.15	0.20	1	0.07	0.33	0.03	0.13	0.02	0.03	0.11	Under	808	1,223	66%
• Chelmsford High		9-12	1	1973	300K	1	0.13	0.08	0.14	1	0.06	0.30	0.00	0.13	0.02	0.02	0.11	Under	1,402	1,888	74%
► CHELSEA (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-1,1-4,1-5,5-8,8-1..	6		925K	1	0.02	0.00	0.02	1	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.11	0.01	0.30	0.09	Average	6,034	6,318	96%
• 4 SCHOOLS: Berkowitz / Hooks / Kelly / Sokolowski Elem		1-4,1-5	1	1996	225K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	1,884	1,816	104%
• Shurtleff Early Childhood		P-1	1	1996	105K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.19	0.08	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.60	0.09	Average	779	840	93%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Eugene Wright Science and Technology Academy / Joseph A. Browne Sch..		5-8	2	1996	204K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.09	0.08	0.00	0.09	0.05	0.20	0.09	Under	923	1,196	77%
• Clark Avenue School		5-8	1	2018	101K	1	0.00	0.02	0.00	1	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.21	0.09	Average	673	816	82%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Chelsea High / Chelsea Opportunity Academy		9-12	1	2002	291K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.13	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.38	0.09	Average	1,775	1,650	108%
► CHESTERFIELD-GOSHEN (RegIElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		33K	1	0.24	0.13	0.25	1	0.08	0.36	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	126	200	63%
• New Hingham Regional Elementary		P-6	1	1998	33K	1	0.24	0.13	0.25	1	0.08	0.36	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	126	200	63%
► CHICOPEE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2005	P-P,P-2,P-5,K-5,6-8,..	14		1,546K	1	0.06	0.09	0.05	1	0.14	0.11	0.00	0.16	0.23	0.25	0.13	Under	6,174	8,861	70%
• Szetela Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Barry *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Belcher		P-2	1	1969	34K	1	0.15	0.13	0.15	2	0.33	0.13	0.16	0.22	0.53	0.60	0.18	Average	245	304	81%
• Bowe		K-5	2	1970	147K	1	0.08	0.19	0.07	1	0.15	0.10	0.00	0.24	0.34	0.20	0.15	Average	411	456	90%
• Bowie		P-5	1	1953	39K	1	0.18	0.29	0.17	1	0.19	0.08	0.00	0.23	0.67	0.20	0.15	Under	260	432	60%
• Fairview Elementary		P-5	1	1995	116K	1	0.01	0.12	0.00	1	0.17	0.20	0.00	0.26	0.25	0.30	0.17	Average	387	472	82%
• Gen John J Stefanik		K-5	1	1970	70K	1	0.03	0.00	0.04	1	0.15	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.40	0.16	Average	392	448	88%
• Lambert-Lavoie		P-5	1	1969	38K	1	0.06	0.16	0.06	1	0.24	0.08	0.00	0.24	0.61	0.40	0.19	Average	246	244	101%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Litwin		K-5	1	1967	69K	1	0.07	0.18	0.06	1	0.22	0.08	0.00	0.23	0.49	0.40	0.16	Average	343	360	95%
• Streiber Memorial School		K-5	1	1958	36K	2	0.28	0.31	0.28	2	0.27	0.07	0.00	0.26	0.53	0.50	0.21	Under	229	316	72%
• Bellamy Middle		6-8	1	1970	168K	1	0.20	0.12	0.21	1	0.18	0.13	0.00	0.26	0.25	0.31	0.19	Average	776	794	98%
• Dupont Middle		6-8	1	2015	166K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.16	0.06	0.00	0.07	0.25	0.41	0.09	Under	683	961	71%
• Chicopee Academy		7-12	1	1957	36K	1	0.06	0.43	0.03	1	0.19	0.08	0.00	0.13	0.35	0.42	0.17	Under	92	394	23%
• Chicopee Comprehensive High School		9-12,Ch74	1	2006	365K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	1,192	1,454	82%
• Chicopee High		9-12	1	2004	262K	1	0.03	0.06	0.02	1	0.09	0.11	0.00	0.22	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	918	2,226	41%
► CLARKSBURG (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-8	1		21K	1	0.21	0.14	0.21	2	0.32	0.36	0.25	0.44	0.02	0.52	0.24	Average	204	173	118%
• Clarksburg Elementary		P-8	1	1952	21K	1	0.21	0.14	0.21	2	0.32	0.36	0.25	0.44	0.02	0.52	0.24	Average	204	173	118%
► CLINTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2018	P-4,5-8,9-12	2		271K	1	0.15	0.14	0.14	1	0.09	0.28	0.00	0.21	0.10	0.04	0.11	Under	1,452	1,997	73%
• Clinton Elementary		P-4	1	2003	120K	1	0.09	0.04	0.09	1	0.06	0.33	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.14	Average	870	960	91%
• Clinton Middle School *		5-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Clinton Senior High		9-12	1	1998	151K	1	0.19	0.22	0.18	1	0.10	0.25	0.00	0.19	0.18	0.07	0.09	Under	582	1,037	56%
► COHASSET (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2019	P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	3		370K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.08	0.25	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.13	0.10	Average	1,397	1,691	83%
• Deer Hill		3-5	1	2001	69K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.07	0.29	0.00	0.28	0.02	0.00	0.11	Average	347	412	84%
• Joseph Osgood		P-2	1	1998	73K	1	0.21	0.09	0.22	1	0.05	0.11	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	369	408	90%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Cohasset High School / Cohasset Middle School		6-8,9-12	1	2001	229K	1	0.14	0.07	0.15	1	0.10	0.29	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.21	0.09	Under	681	871	78%
► CONCORD (MuniElem Dist, Reg 4)	2024	P-5,6-8	4		393K	1	0.15	0.17	0.15	1	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.02	0.10	Under	1,914	2,519	76%
• Alcott		P-5	1	2004	82K	2	0.27	0.22	0.27	1	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	438	528	83%
• Thoreau		P-5	1	2006	84K	1	0.24	0.40	0.23	1	0.08	0.09	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.05	0.11	Average	401	448	90%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Willard		P-5	1	2009	84K	1	0.19	0.20	0.18	1	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.11	Under	432	560	77%
• Concord Middle		6-8	1	2025	143K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.03	0.10	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	643	983	65%
▶ CONCORD-CARLISLE (RegIHS Dist, Reg 4)		9-12	1		430K	1	0.23	0.27	0.22	1	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.07	0.11	Average	1,187	1,461	81%
• Concord Carlisle High		9-12	1	2015	430K	1	0.23	0.27	0.22	1	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.07	0.11	Average	1,187	1,461	81%
▶ CONWAY (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)	2025	P-6	1		26K	1	0.10	0.04	0.11	1	0.11	0.17	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	152	172	88%
• Conway Grammar		P-6	1	1990	26K	1	0.10	0.04	0.11	1	0.11	0.17	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	152	172	88%
▶ DANVERS (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	1998	P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	7		1,246K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.08	0.14	0.04	0.14	Under	3,229	4,499	72%
• Great Oak		K-5	1	1997	46K	1	0.24	0.21	0.25	1	0.10	0.34	0.00	0.17	0.27	0.00	0.11	Average	291	304	96%
• Highlands		K-5	1	1956	55K	1	0.21	0.07	0.22	1	0.14	0.33	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.15	0.11	Average	385	404	95%
• Ivan G Smith		K-5	1	2021	83K	1	0.00	0.04	0.00	1	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	389	508	77%
• Riverside		P-5	1	1996	56K	1	0.22	0.07	0.23	1	0.10	0.40	0.00	0.25	0.13	0.00	0.13	Average	350	328	107%
• Willis E Thorpe		K-5	1	1966	53K	2	0.31	0.09	0.33	1	0.10	0.37	0.00	0.35	0.10	0.00	0.14	Average	294	288	102%
• Holten Richmond Middle School		6-8	1	2005	150K	1	0.18	0.09	0.19	1	0.11	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.25	0.17	0.11	Under	745	1,236	60%
• Danvers High		9-12	1	2013	802K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.05	0.13	0.02	0.15	Under	775	1,431	54%
▶ DARTMOUTH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2017	P-P,P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		718K	2	0.34	0.15	0.35	1	0.12	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.16	0.08	0.18	Under	3,289	4,810	68%
• Andrew B. Cushman School		P-P	1	2010	31K	2	0.27	0.09	0.29	1	0.22	0.37	0.00	0.18	0.35	0.40	0.15	Under	92	140	66%
• George H Potter		P-5	1	1955	54K	1	0.19	0.04	0.20	1	0.13	0.13	0.01	0.36	0.13	0.10	0.15	Average	407	480	85%
• James M. Quinn School		K-5	1	1967	122K	1	0.16	0.14	0.16	1	0.15	0.35	0.00	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.14	Average	703	660	107%
• Joseph Demello		K-5	1	1965	53K	1	0.19	0.20	0.19	1	0.17	0.37	0.01	0.36	0.19	0.20	0.13	Average	392	480	82%
• Dartmouth Middle		6-8	1	1956	193K	3	0.48	0.09	0.51	1	0.13	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.14	0.01	0.26	Under	801	1,373	58%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Dartmouth High		9-12	1	2001	265K	2	0.38	0.22	0.39	1	0.08	0.36	0.00	0.07	0.13	0.01	0.15	Under	894	1,677	53%
► DEDHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-K,1-5,6-8,9-12	6		678K	2	0.29	0.18	0.30	1	0.16	0.29	0.03	0.35	0.20	0.12	0.20	Under	2,394	3,768	64%
• Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	2019	51K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.21	0.15	0.35	0.39	0.03	0.00	0.30	Under	285	372	77%
• Avery		1-5	1	2012	61K	1	0.05	0.10	0.05	1	0.07	0.23	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.19	Average	321	344	93%
• Greenlodge		1-5	1	1950	51K	3	0.55	0.27	0.57	2	0.33	0.33	0.00	0.52	0.14	0.70	0.30	Average	320	336	95%
• Oakdale *		1-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Riverdale		1-5	1	1920	37K	4	0.62	0.27	0.65	1	0.22	0.32	0.00	0.55	0.24	0.20	0.30	Average	206	256	80%
• Dedham Middle School		6-8	1	2006	170K	1	0.16	0.08	0.16	1	0.09	0.26	0.00	0.22	0.25	0.00	0.10	Under	555	1,108	50%
• Dedham High		9-12	1	1959	307K	2	0.37	0.26	0.38	1	0.17	0.33	0.00	0.37	0.25	0.12	0.21	Under	707	1,352	52%
► DEERFIELD (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)	2025	P-6	1		62K	1	0.10	0.04	0.10	1	0.11	0.17	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	301	372	81%
• Deerfield Elementary		P-6	1	1992	62K	1	0.10	0.04	0.10	1	0.11	0.17	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	301	372	81%
► DENNIS-YARMOUTH (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-3,K-3,4-5,6-7,8-12	4		537K	1	0.10	0.08	0.11	1	0.08	0.21	0.01	0.15	0.01	0.12	0.16	Under	2,740	3,933	70%
• Ezra H Baker Innovation School		P-3	1	1931	68K	1	0.16	0.00	0.17	1	0.16	0.31	0.10	0.32	0.02	0.20	0.19	Average	385	340	113%
• Marguerite E Small Elementary *		P-3			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Station Avenue Elementary		K-3	1	1995	56K	2	0.37	0.20	0.39	1	0.08	0.32	0.00	0.16	0.05	0.00	0.19	Average	406	380	107%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Dennis-Yarmouth Intermediate School / Dennis-Yarmouth Middle School		4-5,6-7	1	2023	189K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.11	Average	968	1,130	86%
• Dennis-Yarmouth Regional High		8-12	1	2006	224K	1	0.11	0.13	0.11	1	0.12	0.31	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.21	0.19	Under	981	2,083	47%
► DIGHTON-REHOBOTH (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-4,5-8,9-12	4		551K	1	0.07	0.05	0.07	1	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.06	0.09	Under	2,062	3,398	61%
• Dighton Elementary *		P-4			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Palmer River		P-4	1	1998	96K	1	0.08	0.05	0.08	1	0.11	0.35	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.25	0.09	Under	618	780	79%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Dighton Middle School		5-8	1	1993	92K	1	0.10	0.10	0.11	1	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	355	464	77%
• Dorothy L Beckwith		5-8	1	1968	98K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	479	963	50%
• Dighton-Rehoboth Regional High School		9-12,Ch74	1	2004	265K	1	0.07	0.04	0.08	1	0.03	0.30	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	610	1,191	51%
► DOUGLAS (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-1,2-5,6-8,9-12	4		342K	1	0.13	0.08	0.13	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	1,110	2,178	51%
• Douglas Elementary School		2-5	1	2013	83K	1	0.06	0.03	0.06	1	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	340	472	72%
• Douglas Primary School		P-1	1	1979	36K	2	0.30	0.16	0.31	1	0.08	0.12	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.20	Average	222	276	80%
• Douglas Middle School		6-8	1	2014	89K	1	0.14	0.11	0.15	1	0.07	0.14	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	284	611	46%
• Douglas High School		9-12	1	2003	134K	1	0.12	0.07	0.12	1	0.05	0.17	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	264	819	32%
► DOVER (MuniElem Dist, Reg 4)		P-5	1		84K	1	0.03	0.02	0.03	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.27	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	492	624	79%
• Chickering		P-5	1	2001	84K	1	0.03	0.02	0.03	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.27	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	492	624	79%
► DOVER-SHERBORN (RegIHS Dist, Reg 4)		6-8,9-12	4		198K	1	0.03	0.04	0.03	1	0.11	0.22	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.17	0.09	Under	1,081	1,640	66%
• Dover-Sherborn Regional Middle School		6-8	2	2003	90K	1	0.01	0.00	0.02	1	0.08	0.21	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.12	0.09	Under	471	981	48%
• Dover-Sherborn Regional High		9-12	2	2003	108K	1	0.04	0.08	0.04	1	0.12	0.23	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.22	0.09	Average	610	659	93%
► DRACUT (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		599K	1	0.09	0.01	0.10	1	0.08	0.29	0.02	0.13	0.03	0.07	0.10	Average	2,920	3,422	85%
• Brookside Elementary		P-5	1	1968	90K	1	0.13	0.00	0.14	1	0.06	0.33	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	487	504	97%
• George H. Englesby Elementary School		K-5	1	1963	88K	1	0.04	0.00	0.04	1	0.06	0.35	0.07	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	520	524	99%
• Greenmont Avenue		K-5	1	1935	45K	3	0.52	0.10	0.56	1	0.20	0.35	0.14	0.32	0.39	0.00	0.18	Average	211	244	86%
• Joseph A Campbell Elementary *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Justus C. Richardson Middle School		6-8	1	1999	138K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.12	0.31	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.27	0.09	Average	916	1,002	91%
• Dracut Senior High		9-12	1	2014	238K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.05	0.24	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	786	1,148	68%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ DUDLEY-CHARLTON REG (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-1,2-4,5-8,9-12	7		752K	2	0.30	0.32	0.30	1	0.15	0.23	0.05	0.37	0.08	0.12	0.16	Under	3,472	4,585	76%
• Charlton Elementary		P-1	1	1988	54K	2	0.28	0.46	0.26	2	0.36	0.34	0.65	0.31	0.13	0.20	0.18	Over	333	236	141%
• Dudley Elementary		2-4	1	2000	86K	1	0.10	0.23	0.09	1	0.11	0.37	0.00	0.42	0.13	0.00	0.09	Under	315	636	50%
• Heritage School		2-4	1	1989	87K	3	0.40	0.75	0.37	1	0.18	0.13	0.00	0.38	0.25	0.20	0.14	Under	425	580	73%
• Mason Road School		P-1	1	1999	36K	2	0.31	0.21	0.32	1	0.20	0.32	0.00	0.46	0.25	0.20	0.24	Average	290	272	107%
• Charlton Middle School		5-8	1	1999	204K	2	0.30	0.21	0.30	1	0.13	0.11	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.21	0.11	Under	631	1,101	57%
• Dudley Middle School		5-8	1	1999	99K	2	0.37	0.23	0.38	1	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.42	0.13	0.09	0.14	Average	540	602	90%
• Shepherd Hill Regional High		9-12	1	1973	185K	2	0.31	0.29	0.31	1	0.11	0.34	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.02	0.24	Average	938	1,158	81%
▶ DUXBURY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	2		460K	1	0.19	0.09	0.19	1	0.06	0.16	0.00	0.12	0.04	0.05	0.11	Under	2,066	2,854	72%
• Alden School *		3-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Chandler Elementary		P-2	1	2003	138K	1	0.20	0.12	0.21	1	0.08	0.41	0.00	0.17	0.13	0.00	0.11	Average	665	784	85%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Duxbury High / Duxbury Middle		6-8,9-12	1	2014	322K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.05	0.06	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.07	0.11	Under	1,401	2,070	68%
▶ EAST BRIDGEWATER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2000	P-2,3-6,7-12	2		355K	1	0.10	0.07	0.11	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.15	Average	1,493	1,870	80%
• Central *		P-2			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Gordon W. Mitchell School		3-6	1	1997	141K	1	0.23	0.08	0.25	1	0.12	0.13	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.20	0.19	Average	612	728	84%
• East Bridgewater JR./SR. High School		7-12	1	2013	214K	1	0.02	0.07	0.02	1	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.13	Under	881	1,142	77%
▶ EAST LONGMEADOW (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2013	P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		298K	1	0.22	0.16	0.23	1	0.13	0.26	0.03	0.29	0.02	0.15	0.12	Average	1,689	2,116	80%
• Mapleshade		3-5	1	1955	43K	1	0.22	0.08	0.23	1	0.18	0.36	0.09	0.29	0.10	0.20	0.21	Average	297	324	92%
• Meadow Brook		P-2	1	2004	74K	2	0.25	0.44	0.23	1	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	516	576	90%
• Mountain View		3-5	1	1960	49K	2	0.27	0.08	0.29	1	0.12	0.23	0.00	0.20	0.05	0.20	0.09	Under	286	360	79%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Birchland Park		6-8	1	2000	132K	1	0.19	0.07	0.20	1	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.21	0.11	Under	590	856	69%
• East Longmeadow High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► EASTHAM (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-5	1		57K	1	0.23	0.11	0.24	1	0.09	0.35	0.00	0.23	0.13	0.00	0.14	Under	177	332	53%
• Eastham Elementary		P-5	1	1936	57K	1	0.23	0.11	0.24	1	0.09	0.35	0.00	0.23	0.13	0.00	0.14	Under	177	332	53%
► EASTHAMPTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-8,9-12	2		288K	1	0.03	0.08	0.03	1	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.10	0.09	Under	1,368	1,824	75%
• Mountain View School		P-8	1	2022	177K	1	0.01	0.06	0.01	1	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.12	0.09	Average	991	1,210	82%
• Easthampton High		9-12,Ch74	1	2013	110K	1	0.07	0.11	0.07	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.06	0.09	Under	377	614	61%
► EASTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		664K	1	0.16	0.12	0.16	1	0.09	0.26	0.00	0.22	0.14	0.04	0.11	Under	3,350	4,390	76%
• Blanche A. Ames Elementary School		P-2	1	2022	148K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.07	0.09	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.15	0.13	Average	762	956	80%
• Richardson Olmsted School		3-5	1	1995	147K	1	0.16	0.10	0.17	1	0.06	0.34	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	755	848	89%
• Easton Middle School		6-8	1	2008	95K	1	0.17	0.04	0.18	1	0.08	0.29	0.00	0.10	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	798	1,051	76%
• Oliver Ames High		9-12	1	2008	274K	1	0.23	0.22	0.24	1	0.13	0.30	0.00	0.41	0.25	0.02	0.11	Under	1,035	1,535	67%
► EDGARTOWN (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-8	1		85K	1	0.17	0.10	0.17	1	0.06	0.30	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	357	566	63%
• Edgartown Elementary		P-8	1	2002	85K	1	0.17	0.10	0.17	1	0.06	0.30	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	357	566	63%
► ERVING (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		64K	1	0.23	0.06	0.25	1	0.04	0.15	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	113	192	59%
• Erving Elementary		P-6	1	2002	64K	1	0.23	0.06	0.25	1	0.04	0.15	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	113	192	59%
► ESSEX NORTH SHORE AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNICAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (Vocational Dis..	2018	9-12,Ch74	16		538K	1	0.03	0.04	0.03	1	0.16	0.13	0.05	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.09	Average	1,830	1,601	114%
• Essex North Shore Agricultural and Technical School		9-12,Ch74	16	2024	538K	1	0.03	0.04	0.03	1	0.16	0.13	0.05	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.09	Average	1,830	1,601	114%
► EVERETT (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,K-5,K-8,K-12,9-..	7		573K	1	0.09	0.10	0.09	1	0.15	0.10	0.03	0.13	0.06	0.38	0.10	Average	4,627	5,491	84%
• Adams School **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Webster Extension **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Webster School		K-5	1	1965	44K	2	0.28	0.09	0.30	2	0.33	0.10	0.19	0.13	0.51	0.65	0.09	Under	351	444	79%
• George Keverian School		K-8	1	2001	87K	1	0.06	0.13	0.05	1	0.18	0.09	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.62	0.09	Average	873	874	100%
• Lafayette School		K-8	1	1999	126K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.12	0.11	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.30	0.09	Average	998	1,071	93%
• Madeline English School		K-8	1	2001	126K	1	0.07	0.13	0.07	1	0.05	0.08	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.06	0.09	Under	760	1,405	54%
• Parlin School		K-8	1	1910	91K	1	0.17	0.22	0.16	1	0.15	0.10	0.00	0.11	0.04	0.40	0.11	Average	992	861	115%
• Sumner G. Whittier School		K-8	1	2012	70K	1	0.09	0.00	0.10	1	0.18	0.10	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.52	0.09	Average	615	702	88%
• Everett High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Devens School		K-12	1	2012	29K	1	0.12	0.07	0.13	2	0.35	0.19	0.35	0.17	0.29	0.52	0.30	Under	38	134	28%
► FAIRHAVEN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-5,6-8,9-12	4		386K	1	0.15	0.05	0.16	1	0.14	0.29	0.00	0.25	0.08	0.26	0.12	Under	1,726	2,465	70%
• East Fairhaven		P-5	1	2007	74K	1	0.04	0.02	0.04	1	0.13	0.31	0.00	0.35	0.08	0.20	0.09	Under	316	420	75%
• Leroy Wood		P-5	1	2013	74K	1	0.03	0.02	0.04	1	0.12	0.25	0.00	0.30	0.05	0.20	0.09	Average	428	512	84%
• Hastings Middle		6-8	1	1963	90K	1	0.17	0.06	0.18	1	0.17	0.27	0.00	0.18	0.02	0.44	0.14	Under	415	708	59%
• Fairhaven High		9-12	1	1906	148K	2	0.25	0.07	0.27	1	0.14	0.31	0.00	0.21	0.14	0.22	0.14	Under	567	825	69%
► FALL RIVER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-P,P-5,P-8,K-5,K-8,..	17		2,180K	1	0.11	0.04	0.12	1	0.08	0.16	0.03	0.06	0.14	0.08	0.10	Average	10,840	12,977	84%
• Early Learning Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• FRPS Early Learning Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Carlton M. Viveiros Elementary School		K-5	1	2008	121K	1	0.08	0.00	0.08	1	0.03	0.11	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	712	724	98%
• Frank M. Silvia Elementary		P-5	1	2004	116K	1	0.09	0.02	0.09	1	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.09	0.05	0.00	0.09	Average	655	696	94%
• James Tansey		K-5	1	1952	27K	1	0.20	0.18	0.20	1	0.16	0.34	0.34	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.09	Over	280	212	132%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Letourneau Elementary School		K-5	1	2008	109K	1	0.11	0.09	0.11	1	0.03	0.11	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	566	532	106%
• Mary Fonseca Elementary School		P-5	1	2008	96K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.05	0.19	0.00	0.03	0.18	0.00	0.09	Average	598	552	108%
• Samuel Watson		K-5	1	1904	45K	1	0.03	0.11	0.02	1	0.10	0.16	0.00	0.02	0.09	0.25	0.09	Average	287	244	118%
• Spencer Borden		P-5	1	2003	110K	1	0.18	0.03	0.20	1	0.04	0.19	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	575	612	94%
• Westall Elementary		K-5	1	1907	46K	2	0.28	0.06	0.30	1	0.11	0.33	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.20	0.19	Average	276	268	103%
• William S Greene		P-5	1	2003	139K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.04	0.12	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.09	Average	733	676	108%
• Henry Lord Community School		K-8	1	1992	122K	1	0.16	0.12	0.16	1	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.32	0.09	Average	817	779	105%
• John J Doran		P-8	1	2001	77K	1	0.13	0.05	0.13	2	0.27	0.19	0.65	0.07	0.23	0.00	0.09	Over	525	398	132%
• Matthew J Kuss Middle		6-8	1	2009	178K	1	0.04	0.00	0.04	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.04	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	646	1,071	60%
• Morton Middle		6-8	1	2013	130K	1	0.08	0.08	0.08	1	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.25	0.06	0.14	Under	751	1,034	73%
• Talbot Innovation School		6-8	1	1971	122K	2	0.33	0.13	0.34	1	0.11	0.19	0.00	0.06	0.39	0.01	0.14	Average	582	684	85%
• Resiliency Preparatory Academy		7-12	1	1927	190K	2	0.30	0.04	0.32	1	0.18	0.34	0.00	0.09	0.59	0.20	0.14	Under	171	875	20%
• B M C Durfee High		9-12,Ch74	1	2021	503K	1	0.01	0.00	0.02	1	0.05	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.10	0.09	Under	2,610	3,297	79%
• Stone PK-12 School		2-12	1	1966	50K	2	0.27	0.08	0.28	1	0.15	0.12	0.00	0.14	0.07	0.32	0.20	Under	56	323	17%
▶ FALMOUTH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-4,K-4,5-6,7-8,9-12	7		792K	1	0.24	0.08	0.25	1	0.08	0.22	0.01	0.26	0.01	0.02	0.17	Under	2,859	4,265	67%
• East Falmouth Elementary		P-4	1	1958	54K	2	0.25	0.00	0.27	1	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.16	Under	303	404	75%
• Morse Pond School		5-6	1	1961	103K	2	0.31	0.00	0.33	1	0.07	0.32	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.17	Average	472	476	99%
• Mullen-Hall		K-4	1	2002	85K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.05	0.36	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.16	Average	364	412	88%
• North Falmouth Elementary		K-4	1	1990	51K	3	0.48	0.19	0.50	1	0.09	0.37	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.18	Average	303	372	81%
• Teaticket		K-4	1	1967	49K	2	0.32	0.00	0.35	1	0.09	0.34	0.05	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.18	Under	236	356	66%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Lawrence		7-8	1	1953	145K	3	0.55	0.20	0.58	1	0.12	0.29	0.00	0.36	0.04	0.02	0.26	Under	474	904	52%
• Falmouth High		9-12,Ch74	1	2002	306K	1	0.08	0.08	0.08	1	0.08	0.09	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.04	0.14	Under	707	1,341	53%
► FARMINGTON RIVER REG (RegIElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		35K	1	0.14	0.18	0.14	1	0.10	0.14	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.20	0.14	Under	124	404	31%
• Farmington River Elementary		P-6	1	1998	35K	1	0.14	0.18	0.14	1	0.10	0.14	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.20	0.14	Under	124	404	31%
► FITCHBURG (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2016	P-K,1-5,6-8,9-12	6		805K	1	0.24	0.16	0.25	1	0.16	0.26	0.02	0.22	0.15	0.26	0.12	Average	3,844	4,221	91%
• South Street Early Learning Center		P-K	1	1992	136K	2	0.28	0.09	0.30	1	0.14	0.33	0.10	0.22	0.02	0.15	0.11	Over	570	324	176%
• Crocker Elementary *		1-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• McKay Elementary School **		1-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Reingold Elementary		1-5	1	1969	81K	1	0.24	0.07	0.25	1	0.10	0.35	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.15	0.11	Average	679	640	106%
• Arthur M Longsjo Middle School		6-8	1	1936	194K	1	0.22	0.20	0.22	1	0.18	0.30	0.00	0.35	0.27	0.25	0.11	Average	614	738	83%
• Memorial Middle School		6-8	1	1967	125K	2	0.29	0.18	0.30	1	0.19	0.37	0.02	0.15	0.27	0.32	0.20	Under	601	761	79%
• Fitchburg High		9-12	1	2000	249K	1	0.19	0.17	0.19	1	0.13	0.11	0.00	0.17	0.08	0.28	0.09	Under	1,220	1,611	76%
• Goodrich Academy		9-12	1	1891	19K	3	0.46	0.26	0.47	3	0.44	0.34	0.00	0.38	0.85	0.92	0.14	Average	160	147	109%
► FLORIDA (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-8	1		23K	1	0.19	0.07	0.21	1	0.23	0.38	0.00	0.39	0.02	0.52	0.21	Under	83	153	54%
• Abbott Memorial		P-8	1	1951	23K	1	0.19	0.07	0.21	1	0.23	0.38	0.00	0.39	0.02	0.52	0.21	Under	83	153	54%
► FOXBOROUGH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-4,K-4,5-8,9-12	5		581K	1	0.08	0.03	0.09	1	0.06	0.23	0.00	0.11	0.09	0.02	0.10	Under	2,500	4,066	61%
• Charles Taylor Elementary		K-4	1	1964	39K	1	0.18	0.02	0.19	1	0.05	0.33	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	278	376	74%
• Mabelle M Burrell		P-4	1	2021	61K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.03	0.13	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	339	388	87%
• Vincent M Igo Elementary		K-4	1	1994	70K	1	0.18	0.11	0.19	1	0.08	0.30	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.10	0.18	Under	379	488	78%
• John J Ahern		5-8	1	2001	208K	1	0.08	0.02	0.09	1	0.05	0.17	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	772	1,402	55%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Foxborough High		9-12	1	2011	203K	1	0.06	0.04	0.06	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.10	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	732	1,412	52%
▸ FRAMINGHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2015	P-P,P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	12		1,398K	1	0.15	0.13	0.15	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.09	0.02	0.09	0.16	Average	8,340	8,628	97%
• Juniper Hill School **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Barbieri Elementary		K-5	1	1974	112K	1	0.15	0.16	0.15	1	0.08	0.21	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.16	Average	671	624	108%
• Brophy		K-5	1	1968	68K	1	0.24	0.00	0.26	1	0.08	0.28	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.30	Average	504	424	119%
• Charlotte A Dunning		K-5	1	1965	62K	2	0.26	0.08	0.27	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	436	396	110%
• Harmony Grove Elementary		K-5	1	1998	40K	1	0.05	0.10	0.05	1	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.16	Under	453	580	78%
• Hemenway *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• King Elementary School		K-5	1	1957	50K	2	0.26	0.00	0.28	1	0.11	0.22	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.20	0.19	Average	385	348	111%
• Mary E Stapleton Elementary		K-5	1	1956	60K	1	0.13	0.02	0.13	1	0.05	0.12	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.00	0.24	Average	359	360	100%
• Miriam F McCarthy School		P-5	1	1966	95K	1	0.24	0.22	0.24	1	0.04	0.12	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.19	Average	490	492	100%
• Potter Road		K-5	1	1966	64K	1	0.21	0.04	0.22	1	0.08	0.30	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.17	Average	540	572	94%
• Cameron Middle School		6-8	1	2000	114K	1	0.16	0.16	0.16	1	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.16	0.17	Average	592	661	90%
• Fuller Middle		6-8	1	2021	137K	1	0.06	0.02	0.06	1	0.12	0.05	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.32	0.17	Average	592	592	100%
• Walsh Middle		6-8	1	1969	201K	1	0.13	0.07	0.14	1	0.05	0.31	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.17	Under	785	1,123	70%
• Framingham High School		9-12	1	1961	396K	1	0.13	0.25	0.11	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.11	Average	2,533	2,456	103%
▸ FRANKLIN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2014	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		940K	1	0.07	0.03	0.07	1	0.04	0.16	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.09	Average	4,600	5,057	91%
• J F Kennedy Memorial		K-5	1	1964	57K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.05	0.21	0.00	0.11	0.05	0.00	0.09	Average	341	344	99%
• Parmenter		K-5	1	1988	51K	1	0.01	0.03	0.00	1	0.04	0.23	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	309	304	102%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Annie Sullivan Middle School / Helen Keller Elementary		K-5,6-8	1	2002	180K	1	0.08	0.00	0.09	1	0.05	0.25	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.02	0.09	Average	882	944	93%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• 2 SCHOOLS: Jefferson Elementary / Remington Middle		K-5,6-8	1	1996	153K	2	0.28	0.00	0.30	1	0.07	0.27	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	679	870	78%
• 3 SCHOOLS: Oak Street Elem / Horace Mann / Franklin Early Childhood		P-P,K-5,6-8	1	2004	196K	1	0.02	0.14	0.00	1	0.03	0.22	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.09	Average	947	821	115%
• Franklin High		9-12	1	2014	304K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.02	0.09	Average	1,442	1,774	81%
▶ FRANKLIN COUNTY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 1)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Franklin County Technical *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ FREETOWN-LAKEVILLE (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2024	P-3,K-3,4-5,6-8,9-12	5		615K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.07	0.27	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	2,598	3,283	79%
• Assawompset Elementary School		K-3	1	1988	83K	1	0.22	0.19	0.22	1	0.06	0.32	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.11	Over	431	344	125%
• Freetown Elementary School		P-3	1	2001	94K	2	0.30	0.14	0.31	1	0.08	0.32	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	371	532	70%
• George R Austin Intermediate School		4-5	1	2004	110K	1	0.13	0.05	0.14	1	0.07	0.34	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	462	424	109%
• Freetown-Lakeville Middle School		6-8	1	2002	149K	1	0.12	0.04	0.13	1	0.06	0.12	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	699	943	74%
• Apponequet Regional High		9-12	1	1999	179K	1	0.15	0.08	0.16	1	0.08	0.30	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	635	1,040	61%
▶ FRONTIER (RegIHS Dist, Reg 1)		7-12	1		167K	1	0.12	0.11	0.12	1	0.04	0.23	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	582	1,559	37%
• Frontier Regional		7-12	1	1998	167K	1	0.12	0.11	0.12	1	0.04	0.23	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	582	1,559	37%
▶ GARDNER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-4,5-7,8-12,9-12	4		596K	1	0.15	0.06	0.16	1	0.11	0.33	0.00	0.36	0.05	0.10	0.13	Average	2,528	2,998	84%
• Gardner Elementary School		P-4	1	2021	193K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.06	0.28	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	1,087	1,020	107%
• Gardner Middle School		5-7	1	1997	127K	1	0.21	0.08	0.22	1	0.09	0.31	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	514	702	73%
• Gardner High		8-12	1	1976	190K	1	0.21	0.08	0.22	1	0.11	0.39	0.00	0.44	0.02	0.02	0.11	Under	804	1,155	70%
• Gardner Academy for Learning and Technology		9-12	1	1926	86K	2	0.26	0.15	0.27	2	0.28	0.36	0.00	0.44	0.30	0.51	0.28	Average	123	121	102%
▶ GATEWAY (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-5,6-12	3		237K	1	0.12	0.05	0.12	1	0.12	0.32	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.22	0.10	Under	705	1,491	47%
• Chester Elementary		P-5	1	2003	30K	1	0.08	0.07	0.09	1	0.07	0.36	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	114	160	71%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Littleville Elementary School		P-5	1	2003	40K	1	0.10	0.04	0.10	1	0.14	0.36	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.30	0.09	Average	260	284	92%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Gateway Regional High / Gateway Regional Middle School		6-8,6-12, Ch74	1	2004	167K	1	0.13	0.04	0.14	1	0.13	0.30	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.24	0.11	Under	331	1,047	32%
► GEORGETOWN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,K-6,7-8,9-12	2		228K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.27	0.23	0.01	0.15	Under	1,129	1,869	60%
• Perley Elementary **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Penn Brook		K-6	1	2015	99K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.07	0.08	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.14	Average	674	776	87%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Georgetown High School / Georgetown Middle School		7-8,9-12	1	1996	129K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.12	0.24	0.00	0.22	0.40	0.01	0.16	Under	455	1,093	42%
► GILL-MONTAGUE (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2021	P-1,K-6,2-5,6-8,9-12	4		320K	1	0.17	0.08	0.18	1	0.15	0.34	0.00	0.38	0.04	0.23	0.16	Under	856	1,590	54%
• Gill Elementary		K-6	1	1955	18K	1	0.19	0.12	0.20	2	0.27	0.38	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.60	0.30	Under	110	160	69%
• Hillcrest Elementary School		P-1	1	1958	34K	2	0.35	0.24	0.36	2	0.28	0.38	0.00	0.48	0.10	0.60	0.21	Under	122	172	71%
• Sheffield Elementary School		2-5	1	1988	78K	1	0.23	0.15	0.23	1	0.24	0.38	0.00	0.45	0.10	0.50	0.11	Under	199	284	70%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Great Falls Middle /Turners Fall High		6-8,9-12	1	2004	190K	1	0.11	0.02	0.12	1	0.09	0.31	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.02	0.16	Under	425	974	44%
► GLOUCESTER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		673K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.10	0.21	0.02	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.14	Under	2,730	3,967	69%
• Gloucester PreSchool **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Beeman Memorial		K-5	1	1960	41K	1	0.21	0.07	0.22	2	0.26	0.24	0.19	0.33	0.15	0.40	0.21	Under	292	372	78%
• East Veterans Elementary School		K-5	1	2023	133K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.02	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	451	516	87%
• Plum Cove School		K-5	1	1966	27K	1	0.24	0.09	0.25	2	0.37	0.27	0.23	0.44	0.34	0.60	0.24	Average	217	232	94%
• West Parish		K-5	1	2016	41K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.00	0.09	Average	382	420	91%
• Ralph B O'Maley Middle		6-8	1	1972	183K	2	0.27	0.07	0.29	1	0.11	0.30	0.00	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.20	Under	619	1,039	60%
• Gloucester High		9-12,Ch74	1	1939	247K	2	0.26	0.18	0.27	1	0.07	0.22	0.00	0.14	0.09	0.04	0.12	Under	769	1,388	55%
► GOSNOLD (MuniElem, Reg 6)		Null			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Cuttyhunk Elementary **		Null			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ GRAFTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-1,2-6,7-8,9-12	6		549K	1	0.22	0.04	0.23	1	0.14	0.18	0.03	0.10	0.15	0.28	0.13	Average	3,074	3,459	89%
• Millbury Street Elementary School		2-6	1	2002	86K	1	0.17	0.01	0.18	1	0.14	0.30	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.40	0.09	Average	585	556	105%
• North Grafton Elementary		P-1	1	1955	45K	1	0.11	0.12	0.11	1	0.16	0.31	0.00	0.14	0.02	0.40	0.19	Average	257	252	102%
• North Street Elementary School		2-6	1	1969	72K	2	0.32	0.13	0.33	1	0.13	0.31	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.20	0.15	Average	575	636	90%
• South Grafton Elementary		P-1	1	1975	53K	1	0.17	0.00	0.19	1	0.20	0.30	0.28	0.17	0.02	0.20	0.17	Over	280	216	130%
• Grafton Middle		7-8	1	1995	107K	2	0.38	0.00	0.42	1	0.16	0.10	0.00	0.08	0.25	0.32	0.15	Under	478	642	74%
• Grafton High School		9-12	1	2012	186K	1	0.14	0.04	0.15	1	0.12	0.06	0.00	0.08	0.25	0.22	0.09	Under	899	1,157	78%
▶ GRANBY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-6,7-12	2		156K	1	0.23	0.10	0.24	1	0.13	0.25	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.23	0.25	Under	665	996	67%
• East Meadow		P-6	1	1965	69K	1	0.02	0.10	0.01	1	0.10	0.08	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	375	412	91%
• Granby Jr Sr High School		7-12	1	1961	87K	3	0.40	0.10	0.42	1	0.16	0.39	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.25	0.29	Under	290	584	50%
▶ GREATER FALL RIVER REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 6)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Diman Regional Vocational Technical High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ GREATER LAWRENCE REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 3)	2017	9-12,Ch74	1		450K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.31	0.11	Average	1,837	1,902	97%
• Gr Lawrence Regional Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	2004	450K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.31	0.11	Average	1,837	1,902	97%
▶ GREATER LOWELL REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 2)		9-12,Ch74	1		550K	1	0.21	0.10	0.22	1	0.15	0.61	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.15	Under	2,297	3,793	61%
• Gr Lowell Regional Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	2015	550K	1	0.21	0.10	0.22	1	0.15	0.61	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.15	Under	2,297	3,793	61%
▶ GREATER NEW BEDFORD REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Re..		9-12,Ch74	1		515K	2	0.39	0.35	0.40	2	0.25	0.19	0.00	0.38	0.13	0.53	0.14	Under	2,151	2,800	77%
• Gr New Bedford Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	2014	515K	2	0.39	0.35	0.40	2	0.25	0.19	0.00	0.38	0.13	0.53	0.14	Under	2,151	2,800	77%
▶ GREENFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2023	P-P,K-4,5-7,8-12	5		423K	1	0.20	0.11	0.20	1	0.05	0.26	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.09	Under	1,273	2,583	49%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• The Academy of Early Learning at North Parish **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Discovery School at Four Corners		K-4	1	1955	37K	2	0.26	0.04	0.28	1	0.05	0.33	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	185	304	61%
• Federal Street School		K-4	1	1910	62K	2	0.27	0.20	0.28	1	0.06	0.34	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	195	340	57%
• Newton School		K-4	1	1991	33K	1	0.18	0.17	0.18	1	0.05	0.34	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	174	272	64%
• Greenfield Middle		5-7	1	2001	121K	2	0.25	0.13	0.26	1	0.07	0.26	0.00	0.19	0.02	0.02	0.09	Under	325	787	41%
• Greenfield High		8-12	1	2015	170K	1	0.12	0.08	0.12	1	0.04	0.19	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	394	880	45%
► GROTON-DUNSTABLE (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2008	P-P,K-4,5-8,9-12	4		414K	1	0.22	0.20	0.23	1	0.08	0.27	0.00	0.28	0.05	0.01	0.10	Under	1,600	2,173	74%
• Boutwell School **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Florence Roche School *		K-4			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Swallow/Union School		K-4	1	1995	61K	2	0.28	0.19	0.29	1	0.12	0.16	0.00	0.38	0.25	0.00	0.16	Average	210	200	105%
• Groton Dunstable Regional Middle		5-8	2	2004	188K	1	0.22	0.16	0.22	1	0.08	0.31	0.00	0.26	0.02	0.01	0.10	Under	739	1,086	68%
• Groton Dunstable Regional		9-12	1	2003	165K	1	0.21	0.24	0.21	1	0.07	0.28	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	651	887	73%
► HADLEY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2020	P-6,7-12	2		123K	1	0.13	0.05	0.13	1	0.09	0.14	0.00	0.29	0.04	0.05	0.20	Under	518	903	57%
• Hadley Elementary		P-6	1	1996	61K	1	0.11	0.05	0.11	1	0.10	0.13	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.10	0.19	Under	315	420	75%
• Hopkins Academy		7-12	1	1954	62K	1	0.14	0.05	0.15	1	0.09	0.14	0.00	0.28	0.08	0.01	0.21	Under	203	483	42%
► HALIFAX (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		K-6	1		79K	1	0.18	0.16	0.18	1	0.10	0.22	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.05	0.11	Average	531	664	80%
• Halifax Elementary		K-6	1	1959	79K	1	0.18	0.16	0.18	1	0.10	0.22	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.05	0.11	Average	531	664	80%
► HAMILTON-WENHAM (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	4		306K	1	0.24	0.19	0.25	1	0.17	0.33	0.00	0.30	0.23	0.24	0.13	Average	1,423	1,690	84%
• Bessie Buker Elementary		K-5	1	1953	45K	2	0.38	0.24	0.39	1	0.21	0.32	0.00	0.39	0.33	0.20	0.28	Average	255	260	98%
• Cutler School *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Winthrop School		P-5	1	1959	44K	1	0.20	0.60	0.17	1	0.15	0.36	0.00	0.35	0.05	0.20	0.19	Average	329	380	87%
• 2 SCHOOLS: : Hamilton-Wenham Regional High / Miles River Middle		6-8,9-12	2	1999	217K	1	0.22	0.09	0.23	1	0.17	0.33	0.00	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.09	Average	839	1,050	80%
► HAMPDEN-WILBRAHAM (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2016	P-1,P-5,2-3,4-5,6-8,..	6		540K	1	0.12	0.07	0.12	1	0.11	0.36	0.00	0.26	0.02	0.15	0.10	Average	2,874	3,419	84%
• Green Meadows Elementary		P-5	1	2003	57K	1	0.08	0.04	0.08	1	0.12	0.35	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	285	312	91%
• Mile Tree Elementary		P-1	1	1999	44K	1	0.11	0.02	0.11	1	0.11	0.24	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	355	300	118%
• Soule Road		4-5	1	1970	54K	1	0.23	0.02	0.25	1	0.16	0.46	0.00	0.35	0.10	0.20	0.18	Average	321	312	103%
• Stony Hill School		2-3	1	2000	36K	1	0.16	0.02	0.18	1	0.16	0.14	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.40	0.11	Average	317	292	109%
• Wilbraham Middle		6-8	1	1968	100K	1	0.20	0.23	0.20	1	0.15	0.46	0.00	0.28	0.08	0.21	0.11	Under	613	789	78%
• Minnechaug Regional High		9-12,Ch74	1	2012	249K	1	0.06	0.04	0.06	1	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.07	0.09	Under	983	1,414	70%
► HAMPSHIRE (RegIHS Dist, Reg 1)	2017	7-12	1		206K	1	0.22	0.20	0.22	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	716	1,303	55%
• Hampshire Regional High		7-12	1	2003	206K	1	0.22	0.20	0.22	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	716	1,303	55%
► HANCOCK (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		9K	1	0.14	0.00	0.15	1	0.21	0.51	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.50	0.17	Under	48	92	52%
• Hancock Elementary		P-6	1	1956	9K	1	0.14	0.00	0.15	1	0.21	0.51	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.50	0.17	Under	48	92	52%
► HANOVER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-1,2-4,5-8,9-12	4		423K	1	0.19	0.12	0.20	1	0.08	0.17	0.09	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.09	Average	2,550	2,921	87%
• Cedar Elementary		P-1	1	1963	81K	2	0.28	0.16	0.29	1	0.20	0.29	0.47	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.09	Over	479	344	139%
• Center Elementary		2-4	1	1953	97K	1	0.09	0.10	0.09	1	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	582	648	90%
• Hanover Middle		5-8	1	1972	134K	2	0.30	0.15	0.31	1	0.07	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.02	0.09	Average	826	1,006	82%
• Hanover High		9-12	1	2011	110K	1	0.07	0.07	0.07	1	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	663	923	72%
► HARVARD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-5,6-8,9-12	2		266K	1	0.14	0.12	0.14	1	0.07	0.21	0.00	0.19	0.07	0.02	0.14	Average	1,023	1,240	83%
• Hildreth Elementary School		P-5	1	2021	85K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.07	0.14	0.00	0.17	0.10	0.00	0.26	Average	451	472	96%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• 2 SCHOOLS: The Bromfield High School / The Bromfield Middle School		6-8,9-12	1	1962	181K	1	0.20	0.18	0.20	1	0.08	0.24	0.00	0.20	0.05	0.03	0.09	Under	572	768	74%
▶ HATFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-6,7-12	2		114K	1	0.22	0.14	0.23	1	0.11	0.13	0.00	0.27	0.06	0.06	0.33	Under	310	687	45%
• Hatfield Elementary		P-6	1	2003	55K	1	0.22	0.13	0.23	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.10	0.32	Under	201	312	64%
• Smith Academy		7-12	1	1980	59K	1	0.22	0.15	0.23	1	0.11	0.14	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.01	0.34	Under	109	375	29%
▶ HAVERHILL (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,P-K,K-3,K-4,K-5,..	13		1,101K	1	0.16	0.06	0.16	1	0.14	0.24	0.05	0.32	0.11	0.14	0.14	Average	6,287	6,934	91%
• Moody		P-K	1	1914	27K	1	0.22	0.05	0.24	1	0.23	0.41	0.00	0.44	0.04	0.50	0.14	Under	167	260	64%
• Moody Preschool Extension **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Bradford Elementary		K-4	1	1997	74K	1	0.16	0.10	0.17	1	0.10	0.34	0.00	0.40	0.08	0.00	0.14	Average	443	428	104%
• Golden Hill		K-4	1	1993	74K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.18	0.20	0.31	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	502	420	120%
• Pentucket Lake Elementary		K-5	1	1997	74K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.13	0.29	0.14	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.14	Average	527	440	120%
• Silver Hill Elementary School		K-4	1	1993	74K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	1	0.10	0.30	0.00	0.37	0.10	0.00	0.14	Average	481	440	109%
• Tilton		K-4	1	1891	68K	2	0.27	0.08	0.28	1	0.20	0.40	0.00	0.47	0.65	0.05	0.09	Over	471	352	134%
• Walnut Square		K-3	1	1898	17K	2	0.31	0.05	0.33	3	0.41	0.46	0.16	0.44	0.98	0.45	0.24	Over	161	120	134%
• Caleb Dustin Hunking School		K-8	1	2017	148K	1	0.05	0.00	0.06	1	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.30	0.08	0.17	0.09	Average	1,062	1,044	102%
• Consentino Middle School *		5-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Dr Paul Nettle		5-8	1	2001	101K	1	0.15	0.02	0.17	1	0.09	0.19	0.00	0.34	0.02	0.02	0.21	Under	503	660	76%
• John G Whittier *		5-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Gateway Academy **		7-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Greenleaf Academy		6-12	1	1888	38K	3	0.44	0.14	0.47	3	0.48	0.56	0.35	0.59	0.47	0.52	0.39	Under	34	203	17%
• Haverhill High		9-12,Ch74	2	1972	385K	1	0.13	0.06	0.14	1	0.10	0.18	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.17	0.11	Under	1,896	2,485	76%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Bartlett School and Assessment Center		1-12	1	1907	22K	1	0.23	0.14	0.24	3	0.55	0.50	0.35	0.64	0.75	0.72	0.35	Under	40	82	49%
▶ HAWLEMONT (RegIElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		30K	1	0.21	0.07	0.23	1	0.12	0.21	0.00	0.18	0.22	0.10	0.21	Under	71	208	34%
• Hawlemont Regional		P-6	1	2000	30K	1	0.21	0.07	0.23	1	0.12	0.21	0.00	0.18	0.22	0.10	0.21	Under	71	208	34%
▶ HINGHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2025	P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		703K	1	0.13	0.06	0.14	1	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.02	0.11	Under	3,208	4,298	75%
• East Elementary School		P-5	1	2008	163K	1	0.04	0.00	0.05	1	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	502	584	86%
• Plymouth River		K-5	1	1968	66K	1	0.19	0.00	0.21	1	0.10	0.08	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.10	0.18	Average	352	420	84%
• South Elementary		K-5	1	1998	81K	1	0.23	0.25	0.23	1	0.06	0.12	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	496	624	79%
• Wm L Foster Elementary *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Hingham Middle School		6-8	1	2014	176K	1	0.01	0.08	0.01	1	0.03	0.13	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	798	1,216	66%
• Hingham High		9-12	1	1964	218K	1	0.24	0.05	0.26	1	0.08	0.25	0.00	0.26	0.05	0.01	0.12	Under	1,060	1,454	73%
▶ HOLBROOK (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-5,6-12	1		217K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.08	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.22	0.09	Average	1,344	1,317	102%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Holbrook Middle High School / John F Kennedy		P-5,6-12	1	2018	217K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.08	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.22	0.09	Average	1,344	1,317	102%
▶ HOLLAND (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		34K	1	0.18	0.06	0.19	1	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.43	0.02	0.40	0.11	Average	212	260	82%
• Holland Elementary		P-6	1	1964	34K	1	0.18	0.06	0.19	1	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.43	0.02	0.40	0.11	Average	212	260	82%
▶ HOLLISTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		466K	1	0.16	0.14	0.16	1	0.05	0.37	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	2,685	4,240	63%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Miller School / Placentino Elementary		P-2,3-5	2	1999	108K	1	0.15	0.05	0.16	1	0.05	0.36	0.00	0.09	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	1,254	1,752	72%
• Robert H. Adams Middle School		6-8	1	2000	135K	1	0.19	0.17	0.19	1	0.05	0.36	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	626	1,151	54%
• Holliston High		9-12	1	2001	223K	1	0.15	0.17	0.15	1	0.05	0.38	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	805	1,337	60%
▶ HOLYOKE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2023	P-5,6-8,9-12	10		936K	2	0.30	0.37	0.29	1	0.16	0.09	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.30	0.14	Under	4,795	7,082	68%
• E N White Elementary		P-5	1	1989	64K	2	0.38	0.47	0.37	1	0.18	0.17	0.00	0.26	0.13	0.30	0.24	Under	453	580	78%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• H.B. Lawrence School		P-5	1	1930	59K	3	0.47	0.56	0.47	1	0.14	0.11	0.00	0.28	0.04	0.20	0.18	Under	305	468	65%
• Kelly Elementary		P-5	1	1975	86K	1	0.22	0.52	0.19	1	0.14	0.08	0.00	0.18	0.30	0.20	0.11	Under	464	632	73%
• Lt Elmer J McMahon Elementary		P-5	1	1961	35K	2	0.26	0.17	0.27	1	0.15	0.11	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.30	0.21	Under	317	664	48%
• Maurice A Donahue Elementary		P-5	1	1989	71K	3	0.59	0.60	0.59	1	0.16	0.18	0.00	0.31	0.18	0.20	0.11	Under	388	620	63%
• Morgan Full Service Community School		P-5	1	1963	62K	2	0.28	0.49	0.26	1	0.16	0.11	0.00	0.24	0.14	0.30	0.14	Under	334	440	76%
• Holyoke STEM Academy		6-8	1	1987	212K	1	0.15	0.30	0.14	1	0.14	0.11	0.00	0.17	0.25	0.21	0.13	Under	289	991	29%
• Joseph Metcalf School		6-8	1	1911	35K	4	0.60	0.56	0.60	1	0.22	0.13	0.00	0.25	0.30	0.42	0.11	Under	213	278	77%
• Lt Clayre Sullivan Elementary		6-8	1	1961	112K	2	0.33	0.53	0.31	1	0.20	0.06	0.00	0.25	0.05	0.52	0.14	Under	463	660	70%
• Holyoke High		9-12,Ch74	1	1964	200K	2	0.25	0.10	0.26	1	0.15	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.25	0.34	0.11	Average	1,569	1,749	90%
► HOOSAC VALLEY REGIONAL (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-3,4-7,8-12	2		263K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.12	0.42	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.14	0.11	Under	998	1,272	78%
• Hoosac Valley Elementary School		P-3	1	1923	88K	1	0.20	0.07	0.21	1	0.09	0.40	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.14	Average	398	404	99%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Hoosac Valley High School / Hoosac Valley Middle School		4-7,8-12	1	2012	174K	1	0.19	0.10	0.19	1	0.14	0.42	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.21	0.09	Under	600	868	69%
► HOPEDALE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-P,K-6,7-12	2		156K	1	0.20	0.22	0.20	1	0.11	0.07	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.23	0.11	Under	1,011	1,314	77%
• Park Street School **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Memorial		K-6	1	1995	62K	1	0.18	0.26	0.17	1	0.12	0.07	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.11	Average	558	552	101%
• Hopedale Jr Sr High		7-12	1	2001	94K	1	0.22	0.19	0.22	1	0.10	0.08	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.21	0.11	Under	453	762	59%
► HOPKINTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-P,K-1,2-3,4-5,6-8,..	4		539K	1	0.09	0.05	0.09	1	0.07	0.22	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.09	Under	3,575	4,751	75%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Marathon Elementary School / Hopkinton Pre-School		P-P,K-1	1	2018	98K	1	0.04	0.00	0.05	1	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.10	0.09	Average	634	704	90%
• Elmwood *		2-3			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Hopkins Elementary School		4-5	1	1998	83K	1	0.12	0.16	0.11	1	0.08	0.20	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.09	Average	682	624	109%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Hopkinton Middle School		6-8	1	1996	160K	1	0.13	0.04	0.14	1	0.08	0.31	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.17	0.09	Under	996	1,464	68%
• Hopkinton High		9-12	1	2001	198K	1	0.07	0.04	0.07	1	0.06	0.22	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.07	0.09	Under	1,263	1,959	64%
▶ HUDSON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2025	P-4,5-7,8-12	5		515K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.08	0.19	0.01	0.29	0.01	0.01	0.16	Under	2,322	3,314	70%
• C A Farley		P-4	1	1998	76K	2	0.26	0.13	0.27	1	0.09	0.17	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.18	Average	474	468	101%
• Forest Avenue Elementary		P-4	1	1975	60K	2	0.37	0.16	0.39	1	0.10	0.25	0.00	0.34	0.05	0.00	0.22	Under	269	352	76%
• Mulready Elementary		P-4	1	1963	37K	1	0.24	0.28	0.23	1	0.11	0.21	0.11	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.18	Average	249	224	111%
• David J. Quinn Middle School		5-7	1	2013	120K	1	0.06	0.02	0.06	1	0.05	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	546	877	62%
• Hudson High		8-12	1	2003	223K	1	0.19	0.07	0.20	1	0.08	0.23	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.02	0.15	Under	784	1,393	56%
▶ HULL (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-7,8-12	3		286K	1	0.17	0.08	0.18	1	0.14	0.28	0.15	0.14	0.07	0.13	0.11	Under	712	2,005	36%
• Lillian M Jacobs		P-7	1	2008	116K	1	0.18	0.09	0.18	1	0.08	0.26	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.11	Under	434	723	60%
• Memorial Middle (Vacant)		Null	1	2002	68K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	2	0.37	0.31	0.65	0.15	0.29	0.30	0.11	Under	0	609	0%
• Hull High		8-12	1	1957	102K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.05	0.27	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	278	673	41%
▶ IPSWICH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-5,6-8,9-12	3		451K	2	0.26	0.14	0.27	1	0.10	0.30	0.00	0.30	0.01	0.07	0.14	Average	1,190	1,314	91%
• Paul F Doyon Memorial *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Winthrop		P-5	1	1957	49K	4	0.63	0.31	0.66	1	0.20	0.31	0.01	0.46	0.10	0.20	0.30	Average	373	460	81%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Ipswich Middle School / Ipswich High School		6-8,9-12	2	1999	402K	1	0.21	0.12	0.22	1	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.06	0.12	Average	817	854	96%
▶ KENNEDY ACADEMY FOR HEALTH CAREERS (Charter Dist, Reg 5)		9-12,Ch74	1		36K	3	0.43	0.51	0.42	3	0.41	0.13	0.17	0.33	0.53	0.83	0.11	Average	383	332	115%
• Edward M. Kennedy Academy for Health Careers Horace Mann Charter		9-12,Ch74	1	2011	36K	3	0.43	0.51	0.42	3	0.41	0.13	0.17	0.33	0.53	0.83	0.11	Average	383	332	115%
▶ KING PHILIP (RegIHS Dist, Reg 5)	2019	7-8,9-12	2		401K	1	0.15	0.16	0.15	1	0.09	0.23	0.00	0.18	0.15	0.06	0.09	Under	1,816	2,526	72%
• King Philip Middle School		7-8	1	2005	166K	1	0.09	0.20	0.08	1	0.07	0.13	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.09	Under	706	931	76%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• King Philip Regional High		9-12	1	2006	235K	1	0.20	0.14	0.20	1	0.11	0.30	0.00	0.23	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	1,110	1,595	70%
▶ KINGSTON (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		K-2,3-6	2		367K	1	0.23	0.10	0.24	1	0.06	0.23	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	1,251	1,108	113%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Kingston Elementary / Silver Lake Integrated Preschool		P-P,K-2	1	2000	224K	1	0.24	0.13	0.25	1	0.05	0.19	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.13	Average	606	492	123%
• Kingston Intermediate		3-6	1	2000	143K	1	0.22	0.05	0.23	1	0.07	0.29	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	645	616	105%
▶ LAWRENCE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-K,P-2,P-5,K-4,K-8,..	19		2,280K	1	0.22	0.11	0.23	1	0.16	0.21	0.00	0.26	0.11	0.29	0.11	Average	11,926	14,248	84%
• John Breen School		P-K	1	1910	39K	2	0.36	0.09	0.39	2	0.27	0.45	0.00	0.28	0.24	0.60	0.14	Average	262	324	81%
• Lawlor Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	1931	19K	2	0.27	0.09	0.29	2	0.31	0.46	0.00	0.26	0.21	0.80	0.14	Average	205	180	114%
• Lawrence Family Public Academy		P-K	1	1920	30K	2	0.26	0.12	0.28	2	0.28	0.43	0.00	0.38	0.27	0.60	0.13	Under	202	272	74%
• Rollins Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	1890	34K	1	0.23	0.12	0.24	2	0.26	0.45	0.00	0.32	0.12	0.60	0.11	Under	157	280	56%
• Francis M Leahy *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• James F Hennessey		P-2	1	1969	44K	2	0.25	0.08	0.27	2	0.25	0.19	0.00	0.32	0.25	0.50	0.21	Average	300	320	94%
• John K Tarbox		1-5	1	1888	51K	2	0.27	0.12	0.28	1	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.31	0.47	0.25	0.11	Under	281	376	75%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Arlington Elementary / Arlington Middle School		K-4,5-8	1	1987	154K	2	0.25	0.07	0.27	1	0.18	0.21	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.37	0.10	Average	1,101	1,174	94%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Edward F. Parthum / Parthum Middle School		K-4,5-8	1	2002	187K	1	0.16	0.09	0.17	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.23	0.13	0.12	0.11	Average	1,241	1,302	95%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Frost Middle School / Robert Frost		K-4,5-8	1	1986	147K	1	0.16	0.09	0.17	1	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.17	0.09	Average	1,076	953	113%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Gerard A. Guilmette / Guilmette Middle School		1-4,5-8	1	2001	184K	2	0.25	0.17	0.26	1	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.11	0.09	Average	942	1,170	81%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Oliver Elementary School / Oliver Middle School *		1-5,6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• 2 SCHOOLS: South Lawrence East Elementary School / Spark Academy		1-5,6-8	1	1994	190K	1	0.18	0.09	0.19	1	0.17	0.19	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.37	0.11	Average	1,109	1,154	96%
• Alexander B Bruce		3-8	1	1954	60K	1	0.19	0.07	0.20	2	0.27	0.08	0.00	0.32	0.39	0.62	0.11	Average	462	483	96%
• Emily G Wetherbee		K-8	1	2003	139K	1	0.17	0.09	0.17	1	0.10	0.12	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.12	0.19	Under	480	739	65%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Leonard Middle School		6-8	1	1926	35K	1	0.23	0.10	0.24	1	0.20	0.43	0.00	0.27	0.29	0.32	0.11	Under	310	473	66%
• RISE Academy		8-12	1	1865	30K	1	0.20	0.20	0.20	2	0.35	0.13	0.00	0.39	0.29	0.92	0.11	Under	74	332	22%
• High School Learning Center		9-12	1	1960	78K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	2	0.28	0.14	0.00	0.28	0.25	0.72	0.11	Under	198	254	78%
• Lawrence High School		9-12	1	1960	565K	2	0.27	0.13	0.29	1	0.09	0.19	0.00	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.11	Average	3,418	3,827	89%
• School for Exceptional Studies		1-12	2	1960	295K	1	0.18	0.10	0.19	1	0.23	0.40	0.00	0.32	0.12	0.52	0.12	Under	108	635	17%
► LEE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-6,7-12	2		256K	1	0.11	0.13	0.11	1	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.15	0.13	0.21	0.26	Under	658	1,242	53%
• Lee Elementary		P-6	1	2001	111K	1	0.09	0.13	0.09	1	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.26	Under	331	520	64%
• Lee Middle/High School		7-12	1	2002	145K	1	0.13	0.13	0.13	1	0.14	0.32	0.00	0.15	0.13	0.21	0.26	Under	327	722	45%
► LEICESTER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2024	P-P,K-4,5-8,9-12	2		154K	2	0.27	0.31	0.27	1	0.10	0.31	0.00	0.24	0.02	0.08	0.15	Under	906	1,308	69%
• Leicester Elementary		K-4	1	1972	57K	3	0.45	0.31	0.46	1	0.16	0.36	0.00	0.34	0.05	0.20	0.24	Average	467	404	116%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Leicester Integrated Preschool / Leicester Middle		P-P,5-8	1	1995	97K	1	0.16	0.30	0.15	1	0.07	0.28	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	439	904	49%
• Leicester High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► LENOX (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2025	P-5,6-12	1		60K	1	0.09	0.00	0.09	1	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.15	Average	332	400	83%
• Morris		P-5	1	1995	60K	1	0.09	0.00	0.09	1	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.15	Average	332	400	83%
• Lenox Memorial High *		6-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► LEOMINSTER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-P,K-K,K-5,1-5,6-8,..	8		787K	1	0.08	0.08	0.08	1	0.09	0.23	0.01	0.19	0.04	0.11	0.09	Average	5,393	6,281	86%
• Bennett **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Fall Brook *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Frances Drake School		K-5	1	1970	83K	1	0.10	0.07	0.10	1	0.17	0.31	0.06	0.51	0.05	0.20	0.09	Average	512	588	87%
• Johnny Appleseed		K-5	1	1990	92K	1	0.06	0.08	0.06	1	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	692	684	101%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Northwest		1-5	1	1992	78K	1	0.03	0.11	0.03	1	0.07	0.27	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	665	620	107%
• Priest Street		K-K	1	1894	21K	1	0.11	0.09	0.11	1	0.20	0.33	0.10	0.09	0.04	0.50	0.09	Average	151	160	94%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Lincoln School / Samoset School		P-P,6-8	1	1995	94K	2	0.25	0.17	0.26	1	0.15	0.31	0.00	0.27	0.25	0.20	0.09	Average	541	679	80%
• Sky View Middle School		6-8	1	2003	138K	1	0.03	0.04	0.03	1	0.10	0.31	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.22	0.09	Average	869	978	89%
• 4 SCHOOLS: : Leominster High School & Leominster Academy / Center for Technical E..		9-12,10-12,Ch74	2	2023	280K	1	0.07	0.05	0.07	1	0.03	0.18	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	1,963	2,572	76%
► LEVERETT (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		43K	1	0.20	0.17	0.20	1	0.13	0.39	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.20	0.14	Average	137	140	98%
• Leverett Elementary		P-6	1	2000	43K	1	0.20	0.17	0.20	1	0.13	0.39	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.20	0.14	Average	137	140	98%
► LEXINGTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	8		788K	1	0.15	0.07	0.16	1	0.05	0.15	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.13	Under	4,273	6,124	70%
• Lexington Children's Place **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Bowman		K-5	1	2012	73K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.20	Under	429	544	79%
• Bridge		K-5	1	2014	63K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	1	0.05	0.32	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.15	Under	345	532	65%
• Fiske		K-5	1	2007	81K	1	0.15	0.07	0.16	1	0.04	0.12	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.13	Under	324	488	66%
• Harrington		K-5	1	2005	75K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.13	Under	374	576	65%
• Joseph Estabrook		K-5	1	2014	69K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.17	0.02	0.00	0.13	Average	490	608	81%
• Maria Hastings		K-5	1	2020	110K	1	0.06	0.04	0.06	1	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.13	Under	579	732	79%
• Jonas Clarke Middle		6-8	1	2017	147K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.08	0.34	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.07	0.11	Under	796	1,163	68%
• Wm Diamond Middle		6-8	1	2017	169K	1	0.15	0.07	0.15	1	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.15	0.02	0.01	0.11	Under	936	1,481	63%
• Lexington High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► LINCOLN (MuniElem Dist, Reg 4)	2016	P-8	2		330K	1	0.05	0.11	0.04	1	0.07	0.23	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.11	0.10	Under	1,039	1,683	62%
• Hanscom School		P-8	1	2016	165K	1	0.08	0.12	0.07	1	0.10	0.24	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.21	0.11	Under	493	841	59%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Lincoln School		P-8	1	2022	165K	1	0.02	0.10	0.01	1	0.05	0.22	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	546	842	65%
► LINCOLN-SUDBURY (RegIHS Dist, Reg 4)		9-12	1		385K	1	0.19	0.15	0.19	1	0.08	0.16	0.00	0.27	0.03	0.02	0.11	Under	1,441	2,082	69%
• Lincoln-Sudbury Regional High		9-12	1	2004	385K	1	0.19	0.15	0.19	1	0.08	0.16	0.00	0.27	0.03	0.02	0.11	Under	1,441	2,082	69%
► LITTLETON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	3		270K	1	0.16	0.05	0.17	1	0.11	0.23	0.00	0.32	0.12	0.08	0.10	Under	1,229	1,778	69%
• Russell St Elementary		3-5	1	2010	70K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.13	0.14	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	375	424	88%
• Shaker Lane Elementary *		P-2			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Littleton Middle School		6-8	1	2006	75K	1	0.19	0.05	0.21	1	0.07	0.24	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	373	570	65%
• Littleton High School		9-12	1	2000	125K	1	0.14	0.04	0.15	1	0.12	0.28	0.00	0.30	0.25	0.06	0.09	Under	481	784	61%
► LONGMEADOW (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		560K	1	0.21	0.13	0.22	1	0.12	0.22	0.01	0.38	0.01	0.14	0.12	Under	2,437	3,103	79%
• Blueberry Hill		K-5	1	2003	71K	1	0.11	0.13	0.11	1	0.14	0.17	0.00	0.37	0.08	0.20	0.11	Average	362	392	92%
• Center		K-5	1	1995	83K	2	0.33	0.07	0.35	1	0.18	0.25	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.30	0.17	Average	412	472	87%
• Wolf Swamp Road		P-5	1	2002	74K	1	0.21	0.08	0.22	1	0.15	0.12	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	429	508	84%
• Glenbrook Middle *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Williams Middle		6-8	1	1959	95K	3	0.49	0.33	0.50	1	0.12	0.36	0.04	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	337	502	67%
• Longmeadow High		9-12,Ch74	1	2013	235K	1	0.08	0.08	0.08	1	0.09	0.20	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.10	0.09	Under	897	1,229	73%
► LOWELL (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2018	P-P,P-4,P-8,P-12,K-..	24		1,862K	2	0.39	0.26	0.40	1	0.20	0.26	0.02	0.34	0.20	0.29	0.14	Average	10,820	12,126	89%
• Cardinal O'Connell Early Learning Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Abraham Lincoln		P-4	1	1993	59K	3	0.48	0.30	0.49	1	0.16	0.17	0.00	0.32	0.02	0.25	0.20	Average	492	488	101%
• Charles W Morey		P-4	1	2009	49K	2	0.38	0.41	0.38	1	0.17	0.19	0.06	0.40	0.02	0.20	0.14	Average	497	444	112%
• Charlotte M Murkland Elementary		P-4	1	1992	55K	3	0.48	0.38	0.48	1	0.17	0.15	0.00	0.31	0.25	0.20	0.14	Average	448	464	97%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Dr Gertrude Bailey		P-4	1	1992	65K	2	0.33	0.08	0.35	1	0.14	0.22	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.25	0.09	Average	454	436	104%
• Greenhalge		P-4	1	1993	55K	3	0.44	0.20	0.46	1	0.13	0.16	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.20	0.14	Under	480	708	68%
• John J Shaughnessy		P-4	1	1990	65K	2	0.35	0.23	0.36	1	0.16	0.18	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.15	Average	485	480	101%
• Joseph McAvinnue		P-4	1	1993	55K	3	0.40	0.23	0.41	1	0.10	0.16	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	447	476	94%
• Moody Elementary		P-4	1	1888	49K	3	0.43	0.19	0.45	2	0.34	0.46	0.22	0.33	0.63	0.35	0.21	Average	248	212	117%
• Pawtucketville Memorial		P-4	1	2002	71K	2	0.35	0.32	0.35	1	0.14	0.15	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	463	508	91%
• Peter W Reilly		P-4	1	1959	45K	1	0.18	0.02	0.19	1	0.15	0.40	0.00	0.32	0.02	0.20	0.24	Average	471	416	113%
• S Christa McAuliffe Elementary		P-4	1	1993	60K	2	0.27	0.31	0.27	1	0.13	0.17	0.03	0.30	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	501	452	111%
• Washington		P-4	1	1910	40K	2	0.38	0.25	0.39	2	0.27	0.42	0.09	0.35	0.75	0.20	0.11	Over	252	196	129%
• Bartlett Community Partnership		P-8	1	1950	120K	3	0.44	0.38	0.45	1	0.14	0.32	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.11	0.18	Under	408	684	60%
• Pyne Arts		P-8	1	2001	76K	2	0.27	0.00	0.30	1	0.12	0.16	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.21	0.11	Average	462	498	93%
• Rogers STEM Academy		K-8	1	1994	120K	2	0.39	0.24	0.41	1	0.17	0.37	0.09	0.28	0.00	0.21	0.15	Average	892	768	116%
• B.F. Butler Middle School		5-8	1	1992	75K	2	0.38	0.16	0.40	1	0.17	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.42	0.09	Under	516	728	71%
• Dr An Wang School		5-8	1	1991	108K	2	0.31	0.25	0.31	1	0.15	0.21	0.00	0.44	0.13	0.17	0.09	Average	663	570	116%
• Henry J Robinson Middle		5-8	1	1991	120K	2	0.29	0.33	0.29	1	0.14	0.31	0.00	0.15	0.05	0.28	0.18	Under	600	865	69%
• James S Daley Middle School		5-8	1	1990	120K	3	0.42	0.25	0.44	1	0.23	0.20	0.00	0.33	0.25	0.44	0.09	Average	669	618	108%
• James Sullivan Middle School		5-8	1	1992	117K	2	0.34	0.12	0.36	1	0.18	0.21	0.00	0.22	0.25	0.33	0.09	Average	625	611	102%
• Kathryn P. Stoklosa Middle School		5-8	1	2004	105K	2	0.38	0.29	0.39	1	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.24	0.11	Average	613	749	82%
• Laura Lee Therapeutic Day School		6-8	1	1900	183K	3	0.55	0.40	0.56	3	0.45	0.46	0.00	0.56	0.93	0.72	0.24	Under	15	149	10%
• Leblanc Therapeutic Day School		9-12	1	1935	25K	3	0.54	0.41	0.55	2	0.30	0.19	0.00	0.32	0.39	0.62	0.14	Under	36	290	12%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Lowell High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• The Career Academy		9-12	1	1900	25K	3	0.56	0.25	0.58	2	0.36	0.47	0.00	0.27	0.88	0.52	0.32	Under	83	316	26%
• Dr. Janice Adie Day School **		P-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► LUDLOW (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-1,2-5,6-8,9-12	4		545K	1	0.15	0.06	0.16	1	0.08	0.21	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.04	0.19	Under	2,206	2,954	75%
• East Street Elementary School		P-1	1	1989	73K	1	0.23	0.06	0.24	1	0.09	0.24	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.28	Average	368	408	90%
• Harris Brook Elementary School		2-5	1	2021	115K	1	0.06	0.00	0.06	1	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.12	Average	593	600	99%
• Paul R Baird Middle		6-8	1	2000	127K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.07	0.26	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.02	0.19	Under	513	693	74%
• Ludlow Senior High		9-12,Ch74	1	1972	230K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.09	0.22	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.09	0.19	Under	732	1,253	58%
► LUNENBURG (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		352K	3	0.40	0.24	0.42	1	0.17	0.24	0.16	0.30	0.00	0.13	0.20	Under	1,553	2,175	71%
• Lunenburg Primary School		P-2	1	2004	62K	2	0.34	0.22	0.35	1	0.09	0.25	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.14	Average	374	464	81%
• Turkey Hill Elementary School		3-5	1	1969	68K	1	0.10	0.23	0.09	1	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.19	Under	345	444	78%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Lunenburg High / Lunenburg Middle School		6-8,9-12	1	2016	167K	3	0.47	0.18	0.50	1	0.09	0.15	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.07	0.14	Under	834	1,224	68%
• Advanced Community Experience Program		SP	1	1952	55K	4	0.64	0.50	0.65	4	0.62	0.42	1.00	0.49	0.03	0.60	0.45	Under	0	43	0%
► LYNN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,P-5,K-5,1-5,6-8,..	26		2,313K	2	0.30	0.31	0.30	1	0.23	0.23	0.03	0.30	0.24	0.40	0.20	Average	15,356	17,319	89%
• Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• A Drewicz Elementary		K-5	1	1978	48K	2	0.33	0.38	0.33	2	0.25	0.22	0.00	0.30	0.38	0.45	0.21	Average	488	432	113%
• Aborn		K-5	1	1895	25K	3	0.43	0.32	0.43	3	0.40	0.18	0.00	0.43	1.00	0.65	0.24	Average	224	240	93%
• Brickett Elementary		K-5	1	1911	23K	3	0.46	0.45	0.46	3	0.41	0.38	0.00	0.44	0.91	0.70	0.24	Average	318	324	98%
• Capt William G Shoemaker		P-5	1	1950	52K	2	0.31	0.25	0.31	1	0.21	0.10	0.00	0.34	0.20	0.40	0.24	Under	361	672	54%
• Cobbet Elementary		P-5	1	1874	70K	2	0.32	0.11	0.34	2	0.26	0.41	0.05	0.23	0.43	0.40	0.24	Average	616	552	112%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• E J Harrington		P-5	1	1990	83K	2	0.39	0.42	0.38	2	0.30	0.34	0.00	0.37	0.57	0.50	0.24	Average	687	588	117%
• Edward A Sisson		P-5	1	1953	67K	2	0.35	0.35	0.35	2	0.35	0.10	0.00	0.48	0.32	0.80	0.24	Average	449	476	94%
• Hood		P-5	1	1961	46K	2	0.27	0.31	0.26	1	0.20	0.12	0.10	0.41	0.00	0.30	0.21	Average	541	624	87%
• Ingalls		K-5	1	1951	77K	2	0.38	0.40	0.38	1	0.20	0.31	0.01	0.41	0.34	0.20	0.21	Under	719	936	77%
• Julia F Callahan		P-5	1	1952	62K	3	0.47	0.22	0.49	2	0.26	0.34	0.00	0.44	0.53	0.30	0.28	Under	373	616	61%
• Lincoln-Thomson		K-5	1	1913	31K	2	0.30	0.37	0.30	2	0.38	0.18	0.00	0.44	1.00	0.60	0.11	Average	219	264	83%
• Lynn Woods		K-5	1	1921	15K	3	0.41	0.40	0.41	1	0.23	0.12	0.00	0.46	0.54	0.20	0.21	Under	153	204	75%
• Robert L Ford		1-5	1	1980	48K	3	0.44	0.37	0.45	1	0.24	0.10	0.02	0.41	0.43	0.30	0.30	Average	410	400	103%
• Sewell-Anderson		P-5	1	1991	38K	1	0.16	0.17	0.16	3	0.44	0.37	0.65	0.27	0.04	0.60	0.19	Over	300	180	167%
• Tracy		1-5	1	1898	37K	2	0.38	0.12	0.41	3	0.41	0.37	0.04	0.38	1.00	0.60	0.30	Average	397	380	104%
• Washington Elementary School		K-5	1	1952	43K	2	0.30	0.39	0.29	3	0.43	0.22	0.65	0.39	0.60	0.20	0.24	Over	453	300	151%
• William R Fallon		K-5	1	1895	25K	3	0.45	0.10	0.48	2	0.27	0.17	0.00	0.38	0.32	0.50	0.24	Under	30	264	11%
• Wm P Connery		K-5	1	1991	63K	2	0.34	0.40	0.33	1	0.21	0.36	0.00	0.33	0.45	0.20	0.24	Average	563	560	101%
• Breed Middle School		6-8	1	1976	208K	1	0.23	0.07	0.24	1	0.12	0.25	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.25	0.23	Average	1,265	1,388	91%
• Pickering Middle *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Thurgood Marshall Mid		6-8	1	2016	144K	1	0.19	0.25	0.18	1	0.09	0.11	0.00	0.19	0.25	0.02	0.11	Under	1,310	1,687	78%
• Fecteau-Leary Junior/Senior High School		6-12	1	1909	81K	3	0.52	0.49	0.52	2	0.25	0.46	0.00	0.39	0.25	0.32	0.39	Under	94	678	14%
• Lynn Vocational Technical Institute		8-12,Ch74	3	2015	591K	1	0.19	0.34	0.18	2	0.26	0.18	0.00	0.27	0.18	0.68	0.11	Average	1,529	1,799	85%
• Classical High		9-12	1	2010	207K	2	0.37	0.44	0.37	1	0.14	0.11	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.21	Average	1,795	1,694	106%
• Fredrick Douglass Collegiate Academy **		9-11			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Lynn English High		9-12	1	2000	229K	2	0.36	0.28	0.37	1	0.15	0.32	0.05	0.33	0.02	0.13	0.24	Average	2,062	2,061	100%
▶ LYNNFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2020	P-P,K-4,5-8,9-12	4		320K	1	0.11	0.07	0.11	1	0.11	0.17	0.00	0.10	0.07	0.24	0.10	Under	2,178	2,779	78%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Lynnfield Preschool / Summer Street		P-P,K-4	1	2004	70K	1	0.17	0.14	0.17	1	0.18	0.22	0.00	0.08	0.30	0.40	0.11	Average	476	516	92%
• Huckleberry Hill		K-4	1	2004	64K	1	0.14	0.14	0.14	1	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	416	520	80%
• Lynnfield Middle School		5-8	1	2003	80K	1	0.06	0.02	0.06	1	0.08	0.27	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.17	0.11	Under	698	908	77%
• Lynnfield High		9-12	1	1964	106K	1	0.10	0.04	0.10	1	0.08	0.06	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.22	0.09	Under	588	835	70%
▶ MA ACADEMY FOR MATH AND SCIENCE (Vocational Dist, Reg 2)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Ma Academy for Math and Science School **		11-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ MALDEN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,K-8,9-12	6		1,241K	1	0.15	0.12	0.16	1	0.08	0.09	0.03	0.14	0.02	0.11	0.10	Average	6,104	7,479	82%
• Malden Early Learning Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Beebe		K-8	1	2000	159K	1	0.16	0.16	0.16	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.14	0.09	0.17	0.11	Average	921	935	99%
• Ferryway		K-8	1	2000	138K	1	0.16	0.08	0.17	1	0.15	0.09	0.24	0.18	0.00	0.12	0.11	Average	920	783	117%
• Forestdale		K-8	1	1999	115K	1	0.23	0.13	0.24	1	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	539	730	74%
• Linden		K-8	1	1999	138K	1	0.12	0.09	0.13	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.12	0.11	Average	813	911	89%
• Salemwood		K-8	1	1999	213K	1	0.18	0.10	0.19	1	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.22	0.11	Average	1,033	1,295	80%
• Malden High		9-12	1	2011	477K	1	0.13	0.13	0.14	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.13	0.02	0.07	0.09	Under	1,878	2,825	66%
▶ MANCHESTER ESSEX REGIONAL (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2023	P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	2		237K	1	0.14	0.09	0.14	1	0.12	0.22	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.22	0.09	Under	975	1,288	76%
• Essex Elementary *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Manchester Memorial Elementary		P-5	1	2021	75K	1	0.02	0.02	0.01	1	0.04	0.16	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	304	432	70%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Manchester Essex Regional High School / Manchester Essex Regional Mid..		6-8,9-12	1	2009	162K	1	0.19	0.12	0.20	1	0.15	0.24	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.32	0.09	Under	671	856	78%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ MANSFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2023	P-P,K-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		583K	1	0.15	0.06	0.16	1	0.06	0.32	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.01	0.11	Under	3,161	4,455	71%
• Roland Green School **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Everett W Robinson		K-2	1	1996	92K	1	0.14	0.04	0.15	1	0.06	0.33	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.11	Average	664	716	93%
• Jordan/Jackson Elementary		3-5	1	1991	147K	1	0.15	0.06	0.15	1	0.04	0.28	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	760	1,052	72%
• Harold L Qualters Middle		6-8	1	1999	152K	1	0.22	0.12	0.23	1	0.07	0.34	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.14	Under	750	1,220	61%
• Mansfield High		9-12	1	2002	192K	1	0.10	0.04	0.10	1	0.08	0.34	0.03	0.04	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	987	1,467	67%
▶ MARBLEHEAD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2015	P-3,4-6,7-8,9-12	5		580K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.06	0.27	0.00	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.12	Under	2,564	3,937	65%
• Glover		P-3	1	2014	33K	1	0.17	0.07	0.17	1	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.13	Under	318	424	75%
• Lucretia and Joseph Brown School		P-3	1	2021	86K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.03	0.08	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	445	516	86%
• Village School		4-6	1	2010	115K	1	0.19	0.07	0.20	1	0.09	0.29	0.00	0.17	0.25	0.00	0.12	Under	529	856	62%
• Marblehead Veterans Middle School		7-8	1	1912	131K	1	0.22	0.09	0.24	1	0.08	0.32	0.00	0.26	0.02	0.02	0.11	Under	411	805	51%
• Marblehead High		9-12	1	2001	215K	1	0.23	0.07	0.25	1	0.06	0.31	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.13	Under	861	1,336	64%
▶ MARION (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-6	1		125K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.08	0.06	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	369	500	74%
• Sippican		P-6	1	2000	125K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.08	0.06	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	369	500	74%
▶ MARLBOROUGH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2006	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		890K	1	0.09	0.07	0.09	1	0.08	0.14	0.03	0.22	0.05	0.05	0.09	Under	3,924	6,504	60%
• Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Charles Jaworek School		K-5	1	2000	90K	1	0.04	0.02	0.04	1	0.09	0.05	0.00	0.22	0.13	0.10	0.09	Average	631	664	95%
• Francis J Kane		K-5	1	1968	62K	1	0.04	0.10	0.04	1	0.08	0.31	0.08	0.15	0.07	0.00	0.09	Average	575	476	121%
• Goodnow Brothers Elementary School		K-5	1	2020	111K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.04	0.21	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	781	744	105%
• Richer *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• 1 LT Charles W. Whitcomb School		6-8	1	1999	300K	1	0.16	0.17	0.16	1	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	965	1,515	64%
• Marlborough Alternative High School		8-12	1	1931	30K	1	0.19	0.02	0.21	3	0.45	0.11	0.65	0.38	0.02	0.70	0.09	Under	0	293	0%
• Marlborough High		9-12	1	1976	297K	1	0.07	0.00	0.08	1	0.07	0.18	0.00	0.20	0.09	0.02	0.09	Under	972	2,812	35%
► MARSHFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2016	P-P,P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	7		747K	1	0.18	0.10	0.18	1	0.08	0.20	0.00	0.19	0.04	0.07	0.15	Under	3,552	4,804	74%
• Jeffrey W. Granatino Early Education Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Daniel Webster		P-5	1	1965	58K	1	0.24	0.12	0.25	1	0.08	0.25	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.21	Average	313	368	85%
• Eames Way School		K-5	1	1994	41K	1	0.20	0.16	0.20	1	0.10	0.32	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.10	0.16	Average	249	268	93%
• Gov Edward Winslow		K-5	1	1969	66K	2	0.25	0.06	0.26	1	0.09	0.34	0.00	0.25	0.02	0.00	0.28	Average	357	400	89%
• Martinson Elementary		K-5	1	1999	93K	2	0.38	0.14	0.41	1	0.07	0.34	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.14	Average	445	512	87%
• South River		K-5	1	1952	63K	2	0.30	0.10	0.32	1	0.15	0.17	0.00	0.27	0.47	0.00	0.28	Average	272	324	84%
• Furnace Brook Middle		6-8	1	1999	159K	1	0.17	0.13	0.17	1	0.10	0.23	0.00	0.35	0.02	0.06	0.13	Under	799	1,187	67%
• Marshfield High		9-12,Ch74	1	2015	267K	1	0.05	0.07	0.05	1	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.16	0.09	Under	1,117	1,745	64%
► MARTHA'S VINEYARD (RegIHS, Reg 6)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Martha's Vineyard Regional High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► MASCONOMET (RegIHS Dist, Reg 3)		7-8,9-12	2		371K	3	0.48	0.43	0.48	1	0.11	0.31	0.00	0.37	0.13	0.02	0.09	Under	1,476	3,048	48%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Masconomet Regional High School / Masconomet Regional Middle School		7-8,9-12	2	2001	371K	3	0.48	0.43	0.48	1	0.11	0.31	0.00	0.37	0.13	0.02	0.09	Under	1,476	3,048	48%
► MASHPEE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2024	P-2,3-6,7-12	3		396K	1	0.11	0.13	0.11	1	0.07	0.24	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.05	0.18	Under	1,386	2,090	66%
• Kenneth Coombs School		P-2	1	1991	82K	1	0.12	0.10	0.12	1	0.10	0.14	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.20	0.21	Under	387	520	74%
• Quashnet School		3-6	1	1978	132K	1	0.12	0.00	0.13	1	0.07	0.28	0.00	0.13	0.04	0.00	0.28	Average	399	500	80%
• Mashpee Middle-High School		7-12	1	1996	182K	1	0.10	0.24	0.09	1	0.05	0.26	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	600	1,070	56%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► MATTAPOISETT (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-3,4-6	2		159K	1	0.04	0.05	0.03	1	0.03	0.10	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	381	492	77%
• Center		P-3	1	2001	85K	1	0.04	0.03	0.05	1	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	227	292	78%
• Old Hammondtown		4-6	1	2001	74K	1	0.03	0.06	0.02	1	0.03	0.13	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	154	200	77%
► MAYNARD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-3,4-8,9-12	2		417K	1	0.16	0.05	0.17	1	0.07	0.20	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.04	0.10	Under	760	1,099	69%
• Green Meadow *		P-3			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Fowler School		4-8	1	2000	260K	1	0.21	0.08	0.22	1	0.08	0.26	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.01	0.11	Average	451	427	106%
• Maynard High		9-12,Ch74	1	2013	157K	1	0.07	0.02	0.08	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.07	0.09	Under	309	672	46%
► MEDFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-1,P-3,4-5,6-8,9-12	4		428K	1	0.16	0.06	0.16	1	0.09	0.25	0.00	0.21	0.18	0.04	0.09	Under	2,091	3,486	60%
• Dale Street *		4-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Memorial School		P-1	1	2003	54K	1	0.23	0.02	0.25	1	0.07	0.31	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.05	0.09	Under	412	572	72%
• Ralph Wheelock School		P-3	1	1968	65K	2	0.36	0.07	0.38	1	0.07	0.29	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	404	392	103%
• Thomas Blake Middle		6-8	1	1960	122K	1	0.13	0.13	0.13	1	0.09	0.24	0.00	0.21	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	574	983	58%
• Medfield Senior High		9-12	1	1966	186K	1	0.08	0.03	0.09	1	0.10	0.22	0.00	0.20	0.25	0.07	0.09	Under	701	1,539	46%
► MEDFORD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12,10..	6		588K	1	0.16	0.12	0.16	1	0.07	0.14	0.00	0.17	0.03	0.03	0.11	Under	2,975	4,919	60%
• Brooks School		P-5	1	2003	95K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.05	0.13	Average	556	640	87%
• Milton Fuller Roberts		P-5	1	2003	92K	1	0.18	0.06	0.19	1	0.08	0.13	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.12	Average	586	680	86%
• Missituk Elementary School		P-5	1	2003	92K	1	0.19	0.08	0.20	1	0.04	0.12	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	418	660	63%
• 2 SCHOOLS: John J McGlynn Elementary School/John J. McGlynn Middle School		K-5,6-8	1	2001	186K	1	0.05	0.18	0.04	1	0.04	0.19	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	969	1,818	53%
• Madeleine Dugger Andrews		6-8	1	2001	104K	1	0.21	0.10	0.22	1	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.01	0.09	Under	435	925	47%
• Curtis-Tufts		10-12	1	1939	19K	3	0.53	0.31	0.55	2	0.37	0.09	0.00	0.64	0.52	0.62	0.35	Under	11	196	6%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Medford High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► MEDWAY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-1,2-4,5-8,9-12	5		627K	1	0.08	0.14	0.08	1	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.09	Under	2,158	3,178	68%
• Burke/Memorial Elementary School		2-4	2	1997	106K	1	0.20	0.26	0.19	1	0.11	0.33	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.25	0.10	Average	506	556	91%
• John D Mc Govern Elementary		P-1	1	1967	54K	1	0.02	0.00	0.03	1	0.03	0.30	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	353	356	99%
• Medway Middle		5-8	1	2012	257K	1	0.08	0.23	0.07	1	0.04	0.29	0.00	0.06	0.08	0.01	0.09	Under	677	1,341	50%
• Medway High		9-12	1	2004	210K	1	0.04	0.00	0.05	1	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	622	925	67%
► MELROSE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2025	P-K,K-5,6-8,9-12	8		611K	2	0.37	0.28	0.38	1	0.13	0.18	0.01	0.30	0.06	0.16	0.12	Under	3,815	5,195	73%
• Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	1964	45K	4	0.63	0.13	0.68	1	0.24	0.13	0.00	0.40	0.23	0.40	0.18	Under	246	612	40%
• Herbert Clark Hoover		K-5	1	1965	32K	1	0.23	0.13	0.24	2	0.25	0.33	0.06	0.24	0.33	0.50	0.11	Under	260	412	63%
• Horace Mann		K-5	1	1949	14K	1	0.13	0.10	0.13	1	0.19	0.30	0.00	0.29	0.56	0.20	0.09	Average	254	240	106%
• Lincoln		K-5	1	2000	64K	1	0.19	0.17	0.19	1	0.11	0.14	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	404	444	91%
• Roosevelt		K-5	1	2002	65K	2	0.31	0.24	0.32	1	0.07	0.15	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	406	500	81%
• Winthrop		K-5	1	1926	43K	3	0.53	0.22	0.56	1	0.20	0.34	0.04	0.40	0.26	0.20	0.14	Average	392	400	98%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Melrose Middle / High School		6-8,9-12	2	2007	348K	2	0.38	0.36	0.38	1	0.11	0.16	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.12	0.13	Under	1,853	2,587	72%
► MENDON-UPTON (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2023	P-4,5-8,9-12	4		470K	1	0.22	0.15	0.22	1	0.16	0.27	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.32	0.11	Under	2,066	2,844	73%
• Henry P Clough		P-4	1	2003	83K	1	0.18	0.20	0.18	1	0.18	0.12	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.40	0.11	Average	398	380	105%
• Memorial School		P-4	1	2003	97K	1	0.18	0.12	0.19	1	0.13	0.36	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	493	532	93%
• Miscoe Hill School		5-8	1	1972	143K	2	0.26	0.14	0.27	1	0.18	0.36	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.37	0.14	Under	647	966	67%
• Nipmuc Regional High		9-12	1	1997	147K	1	0.22	0.17	0.22	1	0.15	0.21	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.32	0.09	Under	528	966	55%
► METHUEN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-K,K-8,9-12	6		1,186K	2	0.29	0.09	0.30	1	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.14	0.03	0.18	0.17	Under	6,463	8,649	75%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	1989	56K	1	0.24	0.09	0.26	1	0.15	0.13	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.40	0.14	Under	163	356	46%
• Comprehensive Grammar School		K-8	1	1987	165K	2	0.25	0.10	0.27	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.21	Under	1,046	1,365	77%
• Donald P Timony Grammar		K-8	1	1998	225K	2	0.35	0.09	0.38	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.12	0.21	Under	1,172	1,601	73%
• Marsh Grammar School		K-8	1	1996	185K	2	0.33	0.12	0.34	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.04	0.15	Average	996	1,222	82%
• Tenney Grammar School		K-8	1	1999	215K	2	0.27	0.08	0.28	1	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.12	0.11	Under	1,246	1,671	75%
• Methuen High		9-12,Ch74	1	2014	340K	2	0.26	0.09	0.28	1	0.13	0.09	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.33	0.18	Under	1,840	2,434	76%
► MIDDLEBOROUGH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-K,1-5,6-8,9-12	5		556K	1	0.06	0.05	0.06	1	0.05	0.15	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.04	0.12	Under	2,863	4,463	64%
• Memorial Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	2007	87K	1	0.01	0.04	0.01	1	0.04	0.10	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.12	Under	273	504	54%
• Henry B. Burkland Elementary School		1-5	1	1990	86K	1	0.17	0.14	0.17	1	0.06	0.28	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.17	Average	545	596	91%
• Mary K. Goode Elementary School		1-5	1	1955	68K	1	0.14	0.19	0.14	1	0.13	0.31	0.00	0.18	0.02	0.25	0.19	Average	590	680	87%
• John T. Nichols Middle		6-8	1	2000	150K	1	0.05	0.00	0.05	1	0.05	0.11	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	688	1,111	62%
• Middleborough High		9-12	1	2022	165K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.02	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	767	1,572	49%
► MIDDLETON (MuniElem Dist, Reg 3)		P-6,K-2	2		146K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.05	0.15	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.12	Under	730	980	74%
• Fuller Meadow		K-2	1	1997	61K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.07	0.26	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	269	432	62%
• Howe-Manning		P-6	1	2011	86K	1	0.15	0.07	0.16	1	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.13	Average	461	548	84%
► MILFORD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2024	P-P,K-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		419K	1	0.08	0.02	0.08	1	0.09	0.17	0.01	0.14	0.12	0.08	0.15	Average	3,038	3,413	89%
• Brookside		K-2	1	2007	78K	1	0.08	0.05	0.08	1	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.22	0.13	0.00	0.19	Average	578	680	85%
• Memorial		K-2	1	1959	64K	1	0.10	0.05	0.11	1	0.07	0.31	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.19	Average	435	524	83%
• Woodland		3-5	1	2016	135K	1	0.06	0.00	0.06	1	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.20	0.11	Average	1,036	1,012	102%
• Stacy Middle		6-8	1	1995	142K	1	0.08	0.00	0.08	1	0.10	0.25	0.00	0.16	0.27	0.06	0.16	Average	989	1,197	83%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• 2 SCHOOLS: Shining Star Early Childhood Center / Milford High **		P-P,9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► MILLBURY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2019	P-2,3-6,7-12	3		300K	1	0.21	0.21	0.22	1	0.05	0.12	0.00	0.11	0.03	0.01	0.09	Under	1,626	2,567	63%
• Elmwood Street		P-2	1	1968	82K	2	0.27	0.21	0.27	1	0.05	0.12	0.00	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.09	Under	455	944	48%
• Raymond E. Shaw Elementary		3-6	1	2022	90K	1	0.00	0.02	0.00	1	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	454	492	92%
• Millbury Junior/Senior High		7-12	1	1953	128K	2	0.33	0.35	0.33	1	0.07	0.15	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	717	1,131	63%
► MILLIS (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2014	P-5,6-8,9-12	3		217K	1	0.22	0.15	0.22	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.15	Under	1,156	1,717	67%
• Clyde F Brown		P-5	1	2019	90K	1	0.02	0.00	0.02	1	0.04	0.17	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	603	636	95%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Millis High School / Millis Middle		6-8,9-12	1	1999	125K	2	0.36	0.26	0.37	1	0.07	0.12	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.02	0.20	Under	553	1,022	54%
• TIES		SP	1	2020	2K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	3	0.44	0.05	0.65	0.17	0.05	0.80	0.09	Under	0	59	0%
► MILTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2017	P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		655K	1	0.13	0.09	0.13	1	0.07	0.21	0.01	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.11	Average	3,746	4,237	88%
• Collicot		K-5	1	2000	84K	1	0.14	0.06	0.15	1	0.12	0.27	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	623	556	112%
• Cunningham School *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Glover		K-5	1	2003	75K	1	0.15	0.10	0.15	1	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	593	544	109%
• Tucker		P-5	1	2004	75K	1	0.10	0.14	0.10	1	0.10	0.30	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	454	440	103%
• Charles S Pierce Middle		6-8	1	2004	168K	1	0.16	0.13	0.17	1	0.08	0.19	0.00	0.10	0.25	0.01	0.11	Under	1,002	1,275	79%
• Milton High		9-12	1	2005	253K	1	0.11	0.06	0.11	1	0.06	0.21	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	1,074	1,422	76%
► MINUTEMAN REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 4)		9-12,Ch74	1		289K	1	0.14	0.06	0.15	1	0.04	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	669	1,142	59%
• Minuteman Regional High		9-12,Ch74	1	2019	289K	1	0.14	0.06	0.15	1	0.04	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	669	1,142	59%
► MOHAWK TRAIL (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2012	P-6,7-12	4		274K	1	0.21	0.10	0.22	1	0.11	0.27	0.00	0.32	0.21	0.01	0.19	Under	805	1,698	47%
• Buckland-Shelburne Regional		P-6	1	1999	63K	1	0.21	0.13	0.22	1	0.12	0.24	0.00	0.35	0.25	0.00	0.21	Under	270	352	77%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Colrain Central		P-6	1	1996	27K	2	0.25	0.14	0.26	1	0.09	0.37	0.00	0.26	0.02	0.00	0.21	Under	94	192	49%
• Sanderson Academy		P-6	1	1997	37K	1	0.24	0.12	0.25	1	0.12	0.32	0.00	0.27	0.34	0.00	0.13	Under	125	180	69%
• Mohawk Trail Regional School		7-12	1	1998	147K	1	0.19	0.07	0.20	1	0.11	0.26	0.00	0.34	0.20	0.02	0.19	Under	316	974	32%
▶ MONOMOY REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2024	P-4,5-7,8-12	6		825K	1	0.08	0.02	0.09	1	0.07	0.24	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.11	0.09	Under	1,721	2,287	75%
• Chatham Elementary School		P-4	1	1955	61K	1	0.11	0.00	0.12	1	0.06	0.30	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	146	332	44%
• Harwich Elementary School		P-4	1	2003	144K	1	0.13	0.05	0.14	1	0.08	0.31	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.05	0.09	Average	449	516	87%
• Monomoy Regional Middle School		5-7	2	1963	259K	1	0.15	0.00	0.16	1	0.09	0.24	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.12	0.09	Under	395	633	62%
• Monomoy Regional High School		8-12	2	2014	361K	1	0.01	0.02	0.01	1	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.14	0.09	Average	731	806	91%
▶ MONSON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-K,1-6,7-12	3		293K	1	0.14	0.13	0.14	1	0.11	0.38	0.00	0.30	0.10	0.07	0.10	Under	793	1,431	55%
• Quarry Hill Community School		P-K	1	1992	113K	1	0.13	0.13	0.13	1	0.10	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.10	0.00	0.11	Under	120	224	54%
• Granite Valley School		1-6	1	2003	90K	1	0.20	0.13	0.20	1	0.14	0.38	0.00	0.28	0.10	0.20	0.09	Average	400	472	85%
• Monson High School		7-12	1	2001	90K	1	0.10	0.13	0.10	1	0.09	0.37	0.00	0.28	0.10	0.02	0.09	Under	273	735	37%
▶ MONTACHUSETT REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 2)	2020	9-12,Ch74	1		310K	2	0.30	0.13	0.31	1	0.14	0.27	0.00	0.19	0.02	0.24	0.18	Average	1,417	1,308	108%
• Montachusett Regional Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	2000	310K	2	0.30	0.13	0.31	1	0.14	0.27	0.00	0.19	0.02	0.24	0.18	Average	1,417	1,308	108%
▶ MOUNT GREYLOCK (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-6,7-12	3		278K	1	0.18	0.13	0.18	1	0.07	0.17	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	1,175	1,798	65%
• Lanesborough Elementary		P-6	1	2001	56K	1	0.21	0.09	0.22	1	0.10	0.36	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	231	300	77%
• Williamstown Elementary		P-6	1	2001	89K	1	0.24	0.12	0.25	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	407	612	67%
• Mt Greylock Regional High		7-12	1	2018	133K	1	0.12	0.15	0.12	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	537	886	61%
▶ NAHANT (MuniElem Dist, Reg 3)		P-6	1		53K	1	0.20	0.13	0.21	1	0.04	0.37	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	163	196	83%
• Johnson		P-6	1	2007	53K	1	0.20	0.13	0.21	1	0.04	0.37	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	163	196	83%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ NANTUCKET (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2022	P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	2		150K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.20	0.18	0.36	0.09	0.09	0.16	0.19	Average	1,718	1,799	95%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Nantucket Elementary / Nantucket Intermediate School		P-2,3-5	1	2016	87K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	2	0.29	0.07	0.62	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.19	Over	765	572	134%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Cyrus Peirce / Nantucket High		6-8,9-12	1	1955	63K	1	0.02	0.00	0.03	1	0.08	0.33	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.16	0.19	Under	953	1,227	78%
▶ NARRAGANSETT (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-4,5-7,8-12	2		271K	1	0.14	0.03	0.16	1	0.07	0.29	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.10	Average	1,436	1,772	81%
• Templeton Elementary School		P-4	1	2019	93K	1	0.01	0.02	0.01	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	581	612	95%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Narragansett Middle / Narragansett Regional High		5-7,8-12	1	1999	178K	1	0.21	0.04	0.23	1	0.08	0.38	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	855	1,160	74%
▶ NASHOBA (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-5,P-8,6-8,9-12	6		581K	1	0.22	0.21	0.22	1	0.19	0.11	0.32	0.33	0.00	0.07	0.11	Average	2,184	2,046	107%
• Center School		P-5	1	2011	100K	1	0.05	0.16	0.04	1	0.05	0.11	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	485	544	89%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Nashoba Luther Burbank MS / Mary Rowlandson ES		P-5,6-8	2	2001	290K	1	0.21	0.25	0.20	2	0.29	0.12	0.65	0.33	0.00	0.11	0.10	Over	718	345	208%
• Florence Sawyer School		P-8	2	1997	110K	2	0.31	0.18	0.33	1	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.06	0.13	Average	734	702	105%
• Hale		6-8	1	1998	81K	2	0.33	0.16	0.35	1	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	247	455	54%
• Nashoba Regional *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ NASHOBA VALLEY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 2)	2022	9-12,Ch74	3		225K	1	0.20	0.15	0.21	1	0.08	0.40	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.03	0.11	Under	767	1,297	59%
• Nashoba Valley Technical High School		9-12,Ch74	3	1979	225K	1	0.20	0.15	0.21	1	0.08	0.40	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.03	0.11	Under	767	1,297	59%
▶ NATICK (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2020	K-4,5-8,9-12	6		781K	1	0.08	0.07	0.08	1	0.11	0.25	0.01	0.19	0.00	0.18	0.09	Under	4,842	7,045	69%
• Bennett-Hemenway		K-4	1	1999	80K	2	0.28	0.17	0.28	1	0.14	0.34	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.25	0.09	Average	504	544	93%
• Brown		K-4	1	1950	69K	1	0.24	0.20	0.24	1	0.19	0.30	0.07	0.35	0.00	0.35	0.09	Under	494	680	73%
• Lilja Elementary		K-4	1	1955	61K	1	0.12	0.34	0.10	1	0.16	0.32	0.10	0.34	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	445	544	82%
• Memorial *		K-4			Null														Null	Null	Null
• J F Kennedy Middle School		5-8	1	2021	182K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.09	0.24	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.22	0.09	Under	911	1,726	53%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Wilson Middle		5-8	1	2003	134K	1	0.07	0.02	0.07	1	0.09	0.41	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.12	0.09	Under	727	1,559	47%
• Natick High		9-12	1	2012	255K	1	0.03	0.03	0.03	1	0.08	0.13	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.13	0.09	Average	1,761	1,992	88%
▶ NAUSET (RegIHS Dist, Reg 6)		6-8,9-12	1		170K	2	0.30	0.09	0.32	1	0.05	0.24	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.24	Under	455	939	48%
• Nauset Regional Middle		6-8	1	1991	170K	2	0.30	0.09	0.32	1	0.05	0.24	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.24	Under	455	939	48%
• Nauset Regional High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ NEEDHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2023	P-5,K-5,6-6,7-8,9-12	7		825K	1	0.09	0.10	0.09	1	0.07	0.09	0.03	0.21	0.03	0.04	0.09	Average	4,626	5,609	82%
• Broadmeadow		K-5	1	2002	103K	1	0.11	0.04	0.12	1	0.04	0.05	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	502	504	100%
• High Rock School		6-6	1	2009	73K	1	0.11	0.07	0.11	1	0.13	0.07	0.27	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.09	Over	441	352	125%
• John Eliot		K-5	1	2003	71K	1	0.14	0.30	0.12	1	0.06	0.07	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	397	780	51%
• Newman Elementary		P-5	1	2012	140K	1	0.12	0.07	0.12	1	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	696	984	71%
• Sunita L. Williams Elementary		K-5	1	2019	90K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	533	552	97%
• William Mitchell		K-5	1	1948	64K	1	0.18	0.16	0.18	1	0.15	0.21	0.11	0.30	0.37	0.00	0.14	Average	424	496	85%
• Pollard Middle *		7-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Needham High		9-12	1	2009	285K	1	0.06	0.10	0.05	1	0.08	0.09	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.12	0.09	Average	1,633	1,941	84%
▶ NEW BEDFORD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-5,K-5,6-8,6-12,7-..	22		2,541K	2	0.29	0.07	0.31	1	0.14	0.32	0.00	0.17	0.20	0.16	0.17	Under	11,920	19,572	61%
• Abraham Lincoln		K-5	1	2009	94K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.14	0.31	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.30	0.09	Average	687	688	100%
• Alfred J Gomes		P-5	1	1977	137K	2	0.31	0.10	0.32	1	0.11	0.56	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.19	Under	623	792	79%
• Betsey B Winslow		K-5	1	1920	38K	3	0.57	0.14	0.61	2	0.30	0.52	0.00	0.31	0.50	0.40	0.36	Average	227	252	90%
• Carlos Pacheco		K-5	1	1907	62K	3	0.54	0.12	0.57	1	0.24	0.44	0.00	0.19	0.29	0.40	0.28	Under	242	460	53%
• Casimir Pulaski		P-5	1	1974	130K	2	0.27	0.16	0.28	1	0.09	0.22	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.17	Average	551	664	83%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Charles S Ashley *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Elizabeth Carter Brooks		K-5	1	1956	35K	1	0.06	0.05	0.06	1	0.15	0.32	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.40	0.19	Average	261	240	109%
• Ellen R Hathaway		K-5	1	1962	35K	1	0.24	0.00	0.27	1	0.18	0.37	0.04	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.24	Under	201	400	50%
• Elwyn G Campbell		P-5	1	1966	47K	2	0.29	0.06	0.31	1	0.21	0.41	0.00	0.22	0.15	0.40	0.28	Under	267	440	61%
• Hayden/McFadden		P-5	1	1975	81K	2	0.28	0.10	0.30	1	0.13	0.47	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	748	872	86%
• Irwin M. Jacobs Elementary School		P-5	1	2017	76K	1	0.10	0.01	0.11	1	0.05	0.28	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	388	760	51%
• James B Congdon		K-5	1	1907	50K	3	0.45	0.20	0.47	2	0.28	0.47	0.00	0.30	0.70	0.30	0.24	Under	299	392	76%
• Jireh Swift		P-5	1	1909	28K	3	0.54	0.14	0.58	2	0.31	0.22	0.14	0.30	0.50	0.40	0.24	Under	204	280	73%
• John Avery Parker		P-5	1	1966	54K	1	0.13	0.05	0.14	1	0.12	0.30	0.00	0.14	0.10	0.20	0.21	Under	289	584	49%
• John B Devalles *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Sgt Wm H Carney Academy		P-5	1	1977	124K	1	0.22	0.08	0.23	1	0.10	0.52	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.21	Under	618	944	65%
• Thomas R Rodman		K-5	1	1907	31K	2	0.39	0.05	0.42	2	0.31	0.47	0.00	0.12	0.57	0.60	0.24	Average	200	240	83%
• William H Taylor		P-5	1	2006	63K	1	0.12	0.07	0.13	1	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.18	0.25	0.00	0.09	Average	267	332	80%
• Keith Middle School		6-8	1	2006	232K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.06	0.19	0.00	0.03	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	949	2,225	43%
• Normandin Middle School		6-8	1	2003	217K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.09	0.29	0.00	0.12	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	990	1,783	56%
• Roosevelt Middle School		6-8	1	2001	215K	1	0.11	0.07	0.12	1	0.14	0.25	0.00	0.17	0.25	0.22	0.09	Under	759	2,187	35%
• Trinity Day Academy		6-12	1	1933	45K	3	0.40	0.10	0.42	1	0.23	0.31	0.00	0.09	0.25	0.52	0.24	Under	93	278	33%
• Whaling City Junior/Senior High School		7-12	1	1934	185K	3	0.47	0.15	0.50	1	0.21	0.38	0.00	0.26	0.32	0.30	0.19	Under	200	572	35%
• New Bedford High		9-12,Ch74	1	1972	563K	3	0.51	0.05	0.55	1	0.14	0.25	0.00	0.11	0.25	0.15	0.21	Under	2,857	4,187	68%
► NEW SALEM-WENDELL (RegIElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		35K	1	0.22	0.25	0.22	1	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	134	160	84%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Swift River		P-6	1	1989	35K	1	0.22	0.25	0.22	1	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	134	160	84%
▸ NEWBURYPORT (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2023	P-3,4-5,6-8,9-12	3		488K	1	0.13	0.04	0.15	1	0.08	0.16	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.14	0.09	Under	2,105	2,775	76%
• Francis T Bresnahan Elementary		P-3	1	2014	112K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.08	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.20	0.09	Under	584	804	73%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Edward G. Molin Elementary School / Rupert A Nock Middle		4-5,6-8	1	2014	162K	1	0.14	0.00	0.15	1	0.10	0.25	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.22	0.09	Average	780	775	101%
• Newburyport High		9-12	1	2002	215K	1	0.19	0.07	0.21	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.05	0.13	0.06	0.09	Under	741	1,196	62%
▸ NEWTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2011	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	20		2,087K	1	0.17	0.11	0.17	1	0.10	0.19	0.01	0.30	0.06	0.06	0.14	Average	11,054	13,796	80%
• Newton Early Childhood Program **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• A E Angier Elementary School		K-5	1	2016	77K	1	0.00	0.02	0.00	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	383	444	86%
• Bowen Elementary School		K-5	1	1952	70K	2	0.38	0.06	0.40	1	0.16	0.34	0.00	0.31	0.08	0.20	0.22	Average	325	356	91%
• C C Burr Elementary School		K-5	1	1956	55K	1	0.22	0.10	0.23	1	0.11	0.35	0.04	0.33	0.08	0.00	0.13	Average	350	352	99%
• Cabot Elementary School		K-5	1	2019	85K	1	0.04	0.04	0.04	1	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	414	456	91%
• Countryside *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Franklin Elementary School		K-5	1	1939	63K	2	0.30	0.13	0.32	1	0.12	0.30	0.00	0.36	0.13	0.00	0.26	Average	320	312	103%
• Horace Mann Elementary School		K-5	1	1965	44K	1	0.14	0.10	0.14	1	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.13	Average	351	300	117%
• John Ward Elementary School		K-5	1	1965	38K	2	0.28	0.17	0.29	1	0.16	0.38	0.00	0.42	0.42	0.00	0.19	Average	186	212	88%
• Lincoln-Eliot Elementary School		K-5	1	1939	51K	2	0.29	0.11	0.30	1	0.12	0.32	0.00	0.41	0.09	0.00	0.23	Average	336	340	99%
• Mason-Rice Elementary School		K-5	1	1959	43K	1	0.21	0.10	0.22	1	0.11	0.30	0.00	0.38	0.08	0.00	0.25	Average	321	324	99%
• Memorial Spaulding		K-5	1	1954	69K	2	0.34	0.17	0.35	1	0.18	0.36	0.00	0.38	0.20	0.20	0.15	Average	342	412	83%
• Peirce Elementary School		K-5	1	1951	36K	2	0.30	0.07	0.32	1	0.15	0.32	0.07	0.36	0.08	0.10	0.17	Average	249	244	102%
• Underwood Ekementary School		K-5	1	1924	43K	2	0.32	0.11	0.34	1	0.12	0.30	0.00	0.43	0.12	0.00	0.22	Average	253	264	96%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Williams Elementary School		K-5	1	1950	42K	1	0.22	0.11	0.23	1	0.15	0.32	0.00	0.38	0.12	0.15	0.19	Average	211	236	89%
• Zervas Elementary School		K-5	1	2017	79K	1	0.02	0.03	0.01	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	399	480	83%
• Bigelow Middle School		6-8	1	1967	93K	1	0.22	0.09	0.23	1	0.11	0.34	0.00	0.21	0.08	0.11	0.22	Under	434	728	60%
• Charles E Brown Middle		6-8	1	1956	153K	2	0.29	0.17	0.31	1	0.12	0.32	0.02	0.35	0.20	0.01	0.16	Under	713	1,155	62%
• F A Day Middle School		6-8	1	1971	153K	1	0.19	0.06	0.20	1	0.12	0.24	0.00	0.29	0.08	0.11	0.17	Under	860	1,255	69%
• Oak Hill Middle School		6-8	1	1997	101K	1	0.17	0.13	0.17	1	0.09	0.22	0.04	0.26	0.08	0.01	0.10	Under	649	1,046	62%
• Newton North High		9-12,Ch74	1	2010	410K	1	0.07	0.14	0.06	1	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.09	0.09	Under	2,065	2,632	78%
• Newton South High		9-12,Ch74	1	1998	383K	1	0.14	0.13	0.15	1	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.06	0.09	Average	1,893	2,248	84%
▶ NORFOLK (MuniElem Dist, Reg 5)	2014	P-2,3-6	2		180K	1	0.14	0.07	0.15	1	0.05	0.24	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.05	0.11	Average	1,056	1,240	85%
• Freeman-Kennedy School		3-6	1	2012	96K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.04	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	579	672	86%
• H Olive Day		P-2	1	1993	84K	1	0.13	0.10	0.14	1	0.07	0.34	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.10	0.11	Average	477	568	84%
▶ NORFOLK COUNTY AGRICULTURAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 4)		9-12,Ch74	11		181K	2	0.29	0.19	0.30	1	0.24	0.45	0.00	0.53	0.18	0.34	0.14	Under	597	1,395	43%
• Norfolk County Agricultural		9-12,Ch74	11	2014	181K	2	0.29	0.19	0.30	1	0.24	0.45	0.00	0.53	0.18	0.34	0.14	Under	597	1,395	43%
▶ NORTH ADAMS (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2021	P-2,3-6,7-12	3		319K	1	0.18	0.11	0.18	1	0.12	0.20	0.00	0.24	0.12	0.15	0.13	Under	1,143	1,529	75%
• Brayton		P-2	1	1993	97K	2	0.28	0.16	0.29	1	0.09	0.39	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.19	Average	342	360	95%
• Colegrove Park Elementary		3-6	1	2015	78K	1	0.04	0.02	0.04	1	0.11	0.15	0.00	0.23	0.02	0.20	0.11	Average	369	344	107%
• Drury High		7-12	1	2001	144K	1	0.18	0.12	0.19	1	0.14	0.10	0.00	0.22	0.25	0.22	0.11	Under	432	825	52%
▶ NORTH ANDOVER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2018	P-K,1-5,6-8,9-12	7		732K	1	0.16	0.19	0.15	1	0.09	0.23	0.01	0.23	0.06	0.06	0.11	Under	4,205	5,600	75%
• Anne Bradstreet Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	2010	40K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.06	0.18	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.10	0.09	Average	462	528	88%
• Annie L Sargent School		1-5	1	1995	71K	1	0.05	0.02	0.05	1	0.06	0.34	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	441	496	89%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Atkinson		1-5	1	1964	43K	2	0.32	0.28	0.33	1	0.22	0.35	0.05	0.34	0.02	0.40	0.27	Average	274	312	88%
• Franklin		1-5	1	1968	56K	1	0.19	0.08	0.20	1	0.12	0.36	0.07	0.32	0.05	0.00	0.19	Average	383	424	90%
• Kittredge *		1-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Thomson		1-5	1	1997	42K	1	0.08	0.00	0.09	1	0.06	0.32	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	294	300	98%
• North Andover Middle		6-8	1	1998	180K	2	0.31	0.33	0.31	1	0.12	0.26	0.00	0.29	0.13	0.12	0.11	Under	1,023	1,571	65%
• North Andover High		9-12	1	2000	300K	1	0.09	0.21	0.08	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.18	0.05	0.02	0.09	Under	1,328	1,969	67%
► NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		437K	2	0.29	0.14	0.30	1	0.11	0.20	0.02	0.21	0.16	0.04	0.23	Average	2,705	3,090	88%
• North Attleborough Early Learning Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Amvet Boulevard		K-5	1	1961	52K	2	0.35	0.28	0.35	1	0.15	0.37	0.02	0.33	0.00	0.15	0.28	Average	417	416	100%
• Community		K-5	1	1918	83K	2	0.36	0.12	0.38	1	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.15	0.09	0.00	0.22	Average	276	336	82%
• Falls		K-5	1	1949	28K	2	0.27	0.15	0.28	1	0.17	0.31	0.06	0.25	0.16	0.20	0.24	Average	243	292	83%
• Joseph W Martin Jr Elementary		K-5	1	1996	69K	2	0.33	0.10	0.34	1	0.08	0.32	0.00	0.13	0.08	0.00	0.28	Average	549	496	111%
• Roosevelt Avenue		K-5	1	1968	27K	2	0.28	0.15	0.29	1	0.16	0.36	0.18	0.20	0.24	0.00	0.24	Average	255	260	98%
• North Attleborough Middle		6-8	1	1998	177K	1	0.22	0.12	0.23	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.23	0.25	0.02	0.19	Under	965	1,290	75%
• North Attleboro High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► NORTH BROOKFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-5,6-12	2		188K	1	0.23	0.17	0.24	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	397	936	42%
• 2 SCHOOLS: North Brookfield Elementary / North Brookfield High		P-5,6-12	2	2004	188K	1	0.23	0.17	0.24	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	397	936	42%
► NORTH MIDDLESEX (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-4,K-4,5-8,9-12	7		737K	1	0.18	0.12	0.19	1	0.11	0.34	0.03	0.26	0.12	0.04	0.14	Under	2,903	4,730	61%
• Ashby Elementary		K-4	1	1990	58K	1	0.24	0.16	0.25	1	0.11	0.38	0.00	0.44	0.05	0.00	0.14	Average	152	140	109%
• Spaulding Memorial		K-4	1	1994	72K	2	0.34	0.40	0.33	1	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.40	0.04	0.00	0.09	Average	413	432	96%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Squannacook Early Childhood Center		P-4	1	1989	58K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	2	0.29	0.29	0.35	0.45	0.03	0.20	0.35	Average	89	104	86%
• Varnum Brook		K-4	1	1994	136K	1	0.10	0.15	0.10	1	0.07	0.40	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	606	700	87%
• Hawthorne Brook		5-8	1	1977	100K	2	0.38	0.16	0.39	1	0.12	0.40	0.00	0.33	0.05	0.01	0.24	Under	449	942	48%
• Nissitissit Middle School		5-8	1	2002	128K	2	0.25	0.03	0.27	1	0.11	0.36	0.00	0.28	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	494	1,240	40%
• North Middlesex Regional		9-12	1	2017	185K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.09	0.25	0.00	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.09	Under	700	1,172	60%
► NORTH READING (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	4		449K	1	0.07	0.07	0.07	1	0.04	0.14	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.09	Under	2,320	3,186	73%
• E Ethel Little School		P-5	1	1998	49K	1	0.10	0.15	0.09	1	0.06	0.31	0.02	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	303	360	84%
• J Turner Hood		P-5	1	1999	55K	1	0.14	0.10	0.14	1	0.07	0.31	0.06	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	416	540	77%
• L D Batchelder		K-5	1	2006	77K	1	0.08	0.00	0.09	1	0.07	0.27	0.00	0.16	0.02	0.10	0.09	Under	462	584	79%
• 2 SCHOOLS: North Reading High / North Reading Middle		6-8,9-12	1	2015	268K	1	0.05	0.06	0.05	1	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	1,139	1,702	67%
► NORTHAMPTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		613K	1	0.14	0.05	0.15	1	0.08	0.24	0.00	0.26	0.07	0.01	0.18	Average	2,490	3,055	82%
• Bridge Street		P-5	1	1976	61K	1	0.15	0.10	0.16	1	0.12	0.34	0.00	0.40	0.18	0.00	0.21	Average	281	312	90%
• Jackson Street		K-5	1	1976	74K	1	0.13	0.01	0.15	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.21	Average	279	280	100%
• Leeds		P-5	1	1953	72K	1	0.14	0.04	0.15	1	0.07	0.32	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.19	Under	253	320	79%
• R. K. Finn Ryan Road		K-5	1	1966	51K	1	0.22	0.06	0.24	1	0.10	0.31	0.00	0.37	0.02	0.00	0.24	Average	231	252	92%
• John F Kennedy Middle School		6-8	1	1994	142K	1	0.15	0.05	0.16	1	0.08	0.32	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.01	0.21	Under	577	817	71%
• Northampton High		9-12	1	2015	214K	1	0.12	0.05	0.12	1	0.07	0.09	0.00	0.17	0.14	0.03	0.11	Average	869	1,074	81%
► NORTHAMPTON-SMITH VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 1)		9-12,Ch74	9		189K	2	0.35	0.20	0.37	1	0.15	0.29	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.18	0.27	Under	587	828	71%
• Smith Vocational and Agricultural High		9-12,Ch74	9	2024	189K	2	0.35	0.20	0.37	1	0.15	0.29	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.18	0.27	Under	587	828	71%
► NORTHBORO-SOUTHBORO (RegIHS Dist, Reg 2)		9-12	1		350K	2	0.27	0.13	0.28	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	1,181	3,335	35%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Algonquin Regional High		9-12	1	2008	350K	2	0.27	0.13	0.28	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.01	0.10	Under	1,181	3,335	35%
▶ NORTHBOROUGH (MuniElem Dist, Reg 2)		K-5,6-8	4		306K	3	0.43	0.29	0.45	1	0.12	0.26	0.00	0.37	0.01	0.08	0.16	Under	1,300	1,971	66%
• Fannie E Proctor		K-5	1	1995	48K	2	0.36	0.81	0.32	1	0.14	0.20	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.20	0.12	Under	238	324	73%
• Lincoln Street		K-5	1	2016	58K	1	0.07	0.05	0.07	1	0.10	0.12	0.00	0.19	0.05	0.20	0.09	Under	268	364	74%
• Marguerite E Peaslee *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Marion E Zeh		K-5	1	1998	68K	2	0.36	0.21	0.37	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	249	328	76%
• Robert E. Melican Middle School		6-8	1	1971	132K	4	0.66	0.26	0.70	1	0.13	0.32	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.02	0.24	Under	545	955	57%
▶ NORTHBRIDGE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-5,6-8,9-12	3		522K	1	0.18	0.14	0.18	1	0.14	0.22	0.01	0.29	0.10	0.21	0.09	Under	1,834	3,462	53%
• Northbridge Elementary School		P-5	1	2021	167K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.15	0.21	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.40	0.10	Average	973	1,216	80%
• Northbridge Middle		6-8	1	1988	175K	2	0.35	0.30	0.36	1	0.21	0.35	0.04	0.48	0.30	0.22	0.09	Under	394	1,030	38%
• Northbridge High		9-12	1	2001	180K	1	0.17	0.11	0.17	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	467	1,216	38%
▶ NORTHEAST METROPOLITAN REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Re..		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Northeast Metro Regional Vocational *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ NORTHERN BERKSHIRE REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Re..		9-12,Ch74	1		110K	2	0.27	0.02	0.29	1	0.19	0.41	0.00	0.22	0.01	0.43	0.18	Under	514	785	65%
• Charles McCann Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	1960	110K	2	0.27	0.02	0.29	1	0.19	0.41	0.00	0.22	0.01	0.43	0.18	Under	514	785	65%
▶ NORTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-3,K-3,4-5,6-8,9-12	5		560K	1	0.12	0.09	0.12	1	0.06	0.33	0.00	0.10	0.08	0.03	0.10	Under	2,342	2,981	79%
• Henri A. Yelle		4-5	1	1948	83K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.04	0.30	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	371	360	103%
• J C Solmonese		P-3	1	1978	84K	1	0.12	0.07	0.12	1	0.07	0.28	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	491	532	92%
• L G Nourse Elementary		K-3	1	1960	44K	1	0.15	0.04	0.16	1	0.04	0.30	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	272	352	77%
• Norton Middle		6-8	1	1998	169K	1	0.15	0.17	0.15	1	0.03	0.26	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	527	851	62%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Norton High		9-12,Ch74	1	2014	180K	1	0.07	0.07	0.07	1	0.11	0.44	0.00	0.07	0.25	0.09	0.09	Under	681	886	77%
▶ NORWELL (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-5,6-8,9-12	3		234K	1	0.08	0.06	0.09	1	0.09	0.29	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.17	0.09	Average	1,593	1,734	92%
• Grace Farrar Cole		P-5	1	2003	70K	1	0.11	0.03	0.11	1	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	528	484	109%
• William G Vinal		P-5	1	2001	69K	1	0.08	0.00	0.09	1	0.10	0.28	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	556	480	116%
• Norwell Middle School		6-8	1	2004	95K	1	0.07	0.13	0.06	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.12	0.09	Under	509	770	66%
• Norwell High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ NORWOOD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-P,K-K,1-5,6-8,9-12	7		458K	1	0.23	0.22	0.23	1	0.09	0.10	0.02	0.15	0.03	0.14	0.12	Under	2,636	3,403	77%
• Little Mustangs Preschool Academy **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Balch		1-5	1	1913	34K	2	0.34	0.29	0.34	1	0.09	0.33	0.00	0.25	0.14	0.00	0.14	Average	303	312	97%
• Charles J Prescott		1-5	1	1958	36K	2	0.33	0.20	0.34	1	0.10	0.33	0.03	0.30	0.05	0.00	0.14	Average	267	256	104%
• Cornelius M Callahan		1-5	1	1930	34K	2	0.30	0.22	0.30	1	0.15	0.09	0.09	0.24	0.09	0.20	0.11	Average	247	300	82%
• F A Cleveland		1-5	1	1958	49K	2	0.31	0.28	0.31	1	0.08	0.09	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.10	0.25	Average	339	388	87%
• George F. Willett		K-K	1	1968	39K	2	0.28	0.17	0.29	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.29	0.05	0.10	0.09	Under	256	344	74%
• John P Oldham		1-5	1	1962	40K	2	0.28	0.18	0.28	1	0.11	0.05	0.08	0.34	0.05	0.00	0.14	Average	261	308	85%
• Dr. Philip O. Coakley Middle School *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Norwood High		9-12	1	2012	227K	1	0.16	0.22	0.15	1	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.22	0.09	Under	963	1,495	64%
▶ OAK BLUFFS (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-8	1		96K	1	0.14	0.07	0.14	1	0.06	0.30	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	402	589	68%
• Oak Bluffs Elementary		P-8	1	1993	96K	1	0.14	0.07	0.14	1	0.06	0.30	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	402	589	68%
▶ OLD COLONY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 6)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Old Colony Regional Vocational Technical *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► OLD ROCHESTER (RegIHS Dist, Reg 6)		7-8,9-12	2		298K	1	0.04	0.00	0.04	1	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.09	0.09	Under	1,043	1,786	58%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Old Rochester Regional High / Old Rochester Regional Jr High		7-8,9-12, Ch74	2	2001	298K	1	0.04	0.00	0.04	1	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.09	0.09	Under	1,043	1,786	58%
► ORANGE (MuniElem, Reg 1)		P-6			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Fisher Hill School *		P-6			Null														Null	Null	Null
► ORLEANS (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		K-5	1		53K	1	0.23	0.15	0.24	1	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	149	252	59%
• Orleans Elementary		K-5	1	1956	53K	1	0.23	0.15	0.24	1	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	149	252	59%
► OXFORD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		K-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	4		438K	2	0.26	0.01	0.29	1	0.09	0.29	0.00	0.30	0.07	0.02	0.09	Under	1,455	2,480	59%
• Alfred M Chaffee		K-2	1	2004	52K	1	0.24	0.00	0.26	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	313	476	66%
• Clara Barton		3-5	1	2004	50K	1	0.17	0.00	0.18	1	0.06	0.28	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	307	324	95%
• Oxford Middle		6-8	1	1959	126K	2	0.30	0.00	0.33	1	0.11	0.32	0.00	0.33	0.15	0.02	0.09	Under	385	645	60%
• Oxford High		9-12	1	2002	210K	2	0.27	0.02	0.30	1	0.09	0.28	0.00	0.32	0.05	0.02	0.09	Under	450	1,035	43%
► PALMER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-6,7-12	2		230K	1	0.19	0.13	0.19	1	0.15	0.32	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.23	0.15	Under	1,091	1,514	72%
• Old Mill Pond		P-6	1	1991	98K	1	0.18	0.15	0.18	1	0.16	0.36	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.25	0.13	Average	677	696	97%
• Palmer High		7-12	1	1991	132K	1	0.19	0.12	0.19	1	0.15	0.29	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.22	0.16	Under	414	818	51%
► PATHFINDER REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 1)		9-12,Ch74	1		168K	2	0.33	0.10	0.35	1	0.16	0.24	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.24	0.26	Under	660	883	75%
• Pathfinder Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	1	1973	168K	2	0.33	0.10	0.35	1	0.16	0.24	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.24	0.26	Under	660	883	75%
► PEABODY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	1998	P-P,P-5,K-5,4-12,6-..	8		660K	1	0.10	0.05	0.11	1	0.12	0.11	0.02	0.16	0.14	0.21	0.09	Average	4,221	4,624	91%
• Captain Samuel Brown		K-5	1	2003	73K	1	0.14	0.07	0.15	1	0.10	0.18	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	369	432	85%
• John E Burke		K-5	1	1997	53K	1	0.20	0.05	0.22	1	0.15	0.09	0.00	0.45	0.12	0.20	0.09	Average	294	328	90%
• John E. McCarthy		K-5	1	1969	57K	1	0.15	0.09	0.16	1	0.13	0.11	0.00	0.41	0.02	0.20	0.09	Average	385	360	107%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• South Memorial		P-5	1	1950	55K	2	0.29	0.12	0.30	2	0.26	0.12	0.23	0.39	0.30	0.30	0.09	Over	506	392	129%
• Thomas Carroll		P-5	1	2003	87K	1	0.09	0.02	0.10	1	0.12	0.19	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.30	0.09	Average	645	620	104%
• West Memorial		P-5	1	1963	49K	2	0.28	0.04	0.30	1	0.19	0.15	0.04	0.25	0.20	0.30	0.14	Average	294	244	120%
• William A Welch Sr		K-5	1	2024	59K	1	0.01	0.04	0.01	1	0.03	0.11	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	408	380	107%
• J Henry Higgins Middle		6-8	1	2016	227K	1	0.00	0.02	0.00	1	0.10	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.25	0.21	0.09	Under	1,320	1,868	71%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Peabody Veterans Memorial High / Peabody Personalized Remote Educati..		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► PELHAM (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		K-6	1		23K	1	0.21	0.04	0.23	1	0.18	0.36	0.00	0.47	0.23	0.20	0.09	Average	128	120	107%
• Pelham Elementary		K-6	1	2002	23K	1	0.21	0.04	0.23	1	0.18	0.36	0.00	0.47	0.23	0.20	0.09	Average	128	120	107%
► PEMBROKE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-6,K-6,7-8,9-12	5		599K	1	0.18	0.21	0.18	1	0.09	0.20	0.00	0.19	0.08	0.07	0.19	Under	2,360	3,265	72%
• Bryantville Elementary		K-6	1	1999	103K	1	0.21	0.26	0.20	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.19	Average	421	420	100%
• Hobomock Elementary		K-6	1	1999	82K	1	0.04	0.32	0.01	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.19	Average	385	460	84%
• North Pembroke Elementary		P-6	1	1968	122K	1	0.13	0.18	0.13	1	0.05	0.26	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.19	Average	518	520	100%
• Pembroke Community Middle School		7-8	1	2003	92K	1	0.09	0.16	0.08	1	0.05	0.20	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.19	Under	353	600	59%
• Pembroke High School		9-12	1	2004	200K	2	0.30	0.18	0.31	1	0.16	0.17	0.00	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.20	Under	683	1,265	54%
► PENTUCKET (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-2,P-6,3-6,7-8,9-12	5		526K	1	0.10	0.03	0.10	1	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.18	0.01	0.05	0.14	Under	1,660	3,111	53%
• Dr Frederick N Sweetsir		P-2	1	1966	55K	1	0.05	0.00	0.05	1	0.16	0.35	0.00	0.17	0.02	0.40	0.21	Under	217	408	53%
• Dr John C Page School		P-6	1	1974	99K	2	0.31	0.00	0.34	1	0.10	0.41	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.16	Under	327	676	48%
• Elmer S Bagnall		P-6	1	2013	77K	1	0.12	0.12	0.12	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.20	Under	519	736	71%
• Helen R Donaghue School		3-6	1	1950	55K	1	0.15	0.12	0.16	1	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.23	0.13	0.00	0.22	Under	262	376	70%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Pentucket Regional Middle / Pentucket Regional Sr High		7-8,9-12	1	2022	240K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	335	915	37%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ PETERSHAM (MuniElem Dist, Reg 2)		K-6	1		31K	1	0.10	0.26	0.09	1	0.18	0.40	0.00	0.42	0.23	0.20	0.09	Average	142	152	93%
• Petersham Center		K-6	1	2002	31K	1	0.10	0.26	0.09	1	0.18	0.40	0.00	0.42	0.23	0.20	0.09	Average	142	152	93%
▶ PIONEER VALLEY (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2023	P-6,7-12	2		150K	1	0.23	0.07	0.24	1	0.16	0.35	0.00	0.46	0.10	0.16	0.21	Under	437	801	55%
• Bernardston Elementary		P-6	1	1998	37K	1	0.18	0.13	0.19	1	0.10	0.33	0.00	0.38	0.02	0.00	0.21	Average	201	240	84%
• Northfield Elementary *		P-6			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Pioneer Valley Regional		7-12	1	2000	114K	1	0.24	0.05	0.25	1	0.18	0.36	0.00	0.49	0.13	0.22	0.21	Under	236	561	42%
▶ PITTSFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)	2024	P-5,1-5,6-8,6-12,9-..	13		1,067K	1	0.20	0.15	0.21	1	0.10	0.34	0.00	0.27	0.10	0.02	0.12	Under	4,585	6,833	67%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Crosby / Crosby Educational Academy *		1-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Allendale		P-5	1	1999	48K	1	0.20	0.10	0.21	1	0.07	0.36	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	236	324	73%
• Egremont		P-5	1	1999	64K	1	0.20	0.13	0.21	1	0.07	0.36	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	376	452	83%
• Morningside Community School		P-5	1	1975	70K	2	0.30	0.13	0.31	1	0.10	0.55	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	376	500	75%
• Robert T. Capeless Elementary School		P-5	1	2001	39K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	1	0.07	0.36	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	176	260	68%
• Silvio O Conte Community		P-5	1	1974	70K	2	0.34	0.12	0.35	1	0.10	0.54	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.20	Average	379	460	82%
• Stearns		P-5	1	2000	40K	1	0.19	0.12	0.19	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.10	0.09	Under	224	292	77%
• Williams		P-5	1	2001	48K	1	0.18	0.10	0.18	1	0.07	0.37	0.00	0.20	0.02	0.00	0.11	Under	264	380	69%
• John T Reid Middle		6-8	1	2000	115K	1	0.18	0.30	0.17	1	0.09	0.37	0.00	0.35	0.02	0.02	0.11	Under	407	790	52%
• Theodore Herberg Middle		6-8	1	2000	108K	1	0.19	0.11	0.20	1	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.35	0.02	0.02	0.09	Under	587	877	67%
• Eagle Education Academy		6-12	1	1930	10K	1	0.12	0.11	0.13	2	0.39	0.26	0.35	0.39	0.09	0.62	0.30	Under	24	133	18%
• Pittsfield High		9-12	1	1975	203K	2	0.35	0.21	0.36	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.35	0.48	0.02	0.14	Under	712	1,143	62%
• Taconic High		9-12,Ch74	2	2018	253K	1	0.05	0.11	0.04	1	0.05	0.16	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.03	0.09	Under	824	1,222	67%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► PLAINVILLE (MuniElem Dist, Reg 5)		P-2,3-6	2		145K	1	0.13	0.11	0.14	1	0.05	0.19	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	655	840	78%
• Anna Ware Jackson		P-2	1	2002	77K	1	0.10	0.10	0.10	1	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	324	540	60%
• Beatrice H Wood Elementary		3-6	1	2004	68K	1	0.17	0.11	0.18	1	0.05	0.31	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	331	300	110%
► PLYMOUTH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	11		1,346K	1	0.20	0.14	0.21	1	0.07	0.23	0.00	0.15	0.06	0.04	0.11	Under	6,746	8,837	76%
• Cold Spring		K-5	1	1951	41K	2	0.29	0.11	0.31	1	0.17	0.47	0.00	0.31	0.60	0.00	0.09	Average	214	200	107%
• Federal Furnace School		K-5	1	1977	58K	2	0.31	0.28	0.31	1	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.26	0.14	0.00	0.09	Under	359	476	75%
• Hedge *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Indian Brook		K-5	1	1978	68K	1	0.04	0.10	0.04	1	0.11	0.32	0.10	0.24	0.14	0.00	0.09	Average	520	536	97%
• Manomet Elementary		K-5	1	1952	43K	1	0.19	0.14	0.19	1	0.14	0.30	0.00	0.21	0.25	0.20	0.09	Average	263	292	90%
• Nathaniel Morton Elementary		K-5	1	1936	85K	2	0.36	0.05	0.39	1	0.14	0.48	0.00	0.27	0.29	0.00	0.18	Average	511	444	115%
• South Elementary		K-5	1	1975	82K	1	0.23	0.06	0.25	1	0.04	0.31	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	614	616	100%
• West Elementary		K-5	1	1975	58K	1	0.23	0.55	0.20	1	0.07	0.13	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	349	480	73%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Plymouth Commun Intermediate / Plymouth Early Childhood Cen..		P-P,6-8	1	1970	201K	1	0.23	0.14	0.24	1	0.10	0.15	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.10	0.14	Under	1,145	1,562	73%
• Plymouth South Middle		6-8	1	1999	180K	2	0.28	0.00	0.30	1	0.06	0.31	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	601	1,181	51%
• Plymouth North High		9-12,Ch74	1	2012	274K	1	0.12	0.26	0.11	1	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.09	Under	1,169	1,749	67%
• Plymouth South High		9-12,Ch74	1	2017	256K	1	0.16	0.08	0.17	1	0.05	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.11	Under	1,001	1,301	77%
► PLYMPTON (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		K-6	1		68K	1	0.16	0.18	0.16	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	249	332	75%
• Dennett Elementary		K-6	1	2004	68K	1	0.16	0.18	0.16	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	249	332	75%
► PROVINCETOWN (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-8	1		57K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.07	0.32	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	152	312	49%
• Provincetown Schools		P-8	1	1963	57K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.07	0.32	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	152	312	49%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ QUABBIN (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2014	P-P,P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		497K	1	0.21	0.20	0.20	1	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.11	0.19	Under	2,098	2,861	73%
• New Braintree Grade		P-P	1	1997	28K	1	0.17	0.22	0.16	1	0.20	0.29	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.40	0.13	Under	19	172	11%
• Hardwick Elementary		P-5	1	1992	40K	3	0.41	0.38	0.42	1	0.12	0.28	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	169	220	77%
• Hubbardston Center		P-5	1	1954	65K	2	0.30	0.31	0.29	1	0.10	0.28	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.15	Under	304	464	66%
• Oakham Center		K-5	1	1976	46K	2	0.28	0.21	0.28	1	0.19	0.28	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.30	0.14	Average	152	152	100%
• Ruggles Lane		P-5	1	1953	72K	1	0.23	0.19	0.23	1	0.10	0.46	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	402	416	97%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Quabbin Regional High School / Quabbin Regional Middle School		6-8,9-12	1	1997	246K	1	0.13	0.14	0.12	1	0.13	0.48	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.12	0.24	Under	1,052	1,437	73%
▶ QUABOAG REGIONAL (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-P,K-6,7-8,9-12	3		311K	1	0.20	0.15	0.20	1	0.07	0.38	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.01	0.13	Under	1,115	1,590	70%
• Warren Elementary		K-6	1	1991	91K	1	0.11	0.14	0.11	1	0.06	0.39	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	305	436	70%
• West Brookfield Elementary		K-6	1	1994	49K	2	0.26	0.13	0.27	1	0.06	0.38	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.21	Under	227	324	70%
• 3 SCHOOLS: Quaboag Regional High / Quaboag Regional Middle / Quaboag Preschool		P-P,7-8,9-12,Ch74	1	2001	171K	1	0.23	0.16	0.23	1	0.08	0.38	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	583	830	70%
▶ QUINCY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-5,P-7,K-4,K-5,5-8,..	18		1,758K	1	0.19	0.08	0.20	1	0.16	0.29	0.00	0.24	0.14	0.24	0.18	Under	9,392	11,874	79%
• Atherton Hough		K-5	1	1911	47K	1	0.20	0.04	0.21	2	0.28	0.41	0.00	0.40	0.58	0.40	0.24	Average	256	320	80%
• Beechwood Knoll Elementary		K-5	1	1952	35K	1	0.22	0.22	0.22	1	0.16	0.12	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.40	0.21	Average	343	400	86%
• Charles A Bernazzani Elementary		K-5	1	1955	35K	1	0.15	0.03	0.16	1	0.14	0.38	0.00	0.35	0.02	0.20	0.11	Average	339	360	94%
• Clifford H Marshall Elementary		K-4	1	1998	75K	1	0.11	0.02	0.11	1	0.08	0.20	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.21	Average	535	600	89%
• Francis W Parker		K-5	1	1916	46K	2	0.30	0.12	0.31	1	0.20	0.49	0.00	0.18	0.12	0.40	0.19	Average	323	380	85%
• Lincoln-Hancock Community School		K-4	1	1975	96K	2	0.26	0.22	0.26	1	0.15	0.46	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.10	0.28	Average	565	520	109%
• Merrymount		K-5	1	1928	29K	1	0.19	0.22	0.19	1	0.16	0.44	0.00	0.44	0.30	0.00	0.24	Average	291	340	86%
• Montclair		K-5	1	1912	40K	2	0.33	0.10	0.35	2	0.28	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.61	0.40	0.24	Under	365	480	76%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Snug Harbor Community School		P-5	1	1954	62K	1	0.23	0.08	0.24	1	0.13	0.39	0.00	0.40	0.15	0.00	0.24	Average	380	320	119%
• Squantum *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Wollaston School		K-5	1	1948	26K	2	0.32	0.13	0.33	2	0.26	0.36	0.00	0.27	0.54	0.40	0.24	Average	318	364	87%
• Dr. Rick DeCristofaro Learning Center		P-7	1	2024	21K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.14	0.21	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.42	0.09	Under	261	503	52%
• Atlantic Middle		6-8	1	1958	103K	2	0.39	0.07	0.42	1	0.20	0.32	0.00	0.24	0.21	0.32	0.28	Average	601	668	90%
• Broad Meadows Middle		6-8	1	1957	97K	2	0.26	0.09	0.27	1	0.10	0.39	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.02	0.14	Under	318	492	65%
• Central Middle		6-8	1	2013	133K	1	0.02	0.00	0.03	1	0.16	0.14	0.00	0.18	0.05	0.42	0.09	Average	671	815	82%
• Point Webster Middle		5-8	1	1928	66K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	1	0.15	0.19	0.00	0.34	0.42	0.07	0.11	Under	377	737	51%
• South West Middle School		5-8	1	2019	66K	1	0.00	0.02	0.00	1	0.09	0.15	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.12	0.09	Under	449	660	68%
• North Quincy High		9-12,Ch74	1	1926	384K	1	0.16	0.06	0.16	1	0.15	0.25	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.27	0.21	Under	1,486	2,093	71%
• Quincy High		9-12,Ch74	1	2010	397K	1	0.20	0.08	0.21	1	0.15	0.28	0.00	0.06	0.25	0.28	0.14	Average	1,514	1,822	83%
► RALPH C MAHAR (RegIHS Dist, Reg 1)		7-12	1		180K	1	0.15	0.07	0.16	1	0.08	0.31	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	509	1,104	46%
• Ralph C Mahar Regional		7-12	1	2004	180K	1	0.15	0.07	0.16	1	0.08	0.31	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	509	1,104	46%
► RANDOLPH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2012	P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		386K	2	0.26	0.14	0.27	1	0.17	0.30	0.00	0.37	0.05	0.29	0.22	Under	2,874	6,637	43%
• J F Kennedy Elementary		P-5	1	1963	51K	2	0.27	0.15	0.28	1	0.19	0.32	0.00	0.47	0.02	0.30	0.24	Under	453	820	55%
• Margaret L Donovan		K-5	1	1961	37K	1	0.20	0.19	0.20	1	0.23	0.35	0.00	0.41	0.07	0.50	0.19	Average	415	500	83%
• Martin E Young Elementary		K-5	1	1957	38K	2	0.33	0.19	0.34	1	0.22	0.34	0.00	0.37	0.17	0.40	0.24	Under	320	520	62%
• North Randolph Elementary School		K-5	1	2024	51K	1	0.01	0.02	0.01	1	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.14	Under	282	916	31%
• Randolph Community Middle		6-8	1	1997	132K	2	0.34	0.14	0.36	1	0.19	0.29	0.00	0.42	0.02	0.34	0.27	Under	640	1,059	60%
• Randolph High		9-12	1	1971	77K	2	0.27	0.16	0.28	1	0.16	0.33	0.00	0.39	0.02	0.24	0.18	Under	764	2,822	27%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► READING (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	7		800K	1	0.03	0.03	0.04	1	0.12	0.28	0.01	0.25	0.13	0.16	0.09	Under	3,426	4,394	78%
• Alice M Barrows		K-5	1	2005	64K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.11	0.11	0.04	0.44	0.13	0.00	0.09	Average	365	344	106%
• Birch Meadow		K-5	1	1957	59K	1	0.04	0.00	0.04	1	0.16	0.34	0.06	0.26	0.00	0.30	0.09	Average	359	404	89%
• J Warren Killam *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Joshua Eaton		K-5	1	1994	56K	1	0.23	0.20	0.23	1	0.09	0.36	0.05	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	388	348	111%
• Wood End Elementary School		K-5	1	2004	52K	1	0.21	0.20	0.22	1	0.11	0.26	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	236	276	86%
• Arthur W Coolidge Middle		6-8	1	2000	96K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.12	0.28	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.22	0.09	Under	405	813	50%
• Walter S Parker Middle		6-8	1	1998	98K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.06	0.23	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	467	717	65%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Reading Memorial High / RISE PreSchool		P-P,9-12	1	2006	375K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.14	0.30	0.00	0.21	0.25	0.22	0.09	Average	1,206	1,492	81%
► REVERE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2007	P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	7		928K	1	0.09	0.13	0.09	1	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.02	0.05	0.09	Average	5,146	5,899	87%
• Abraham Lincoln		P-5	1	1966	87K	1	0.17	0.12	0.17	1	0.08	0.12	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.09	Average	600	612	98%
• Paul Revere		K-5	1	2010	129K	1	0.01	0.03	0.00	2	0.28	0.04	0.65	0.06	0.00	0.25	0.09	Over	497	380	131%
• Staff Sargent James J. Hill Elementary School		K-5	1	2015	104K	1	0.01	0.11	0.00	1	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	615	776	79%
• 2 SCHOOLS: A. C. Whelan Elementary School / Susan B. Anthony Middle School		K-5,6-8	1	2005	193K	1	0.01	0.10	0.00	1	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.09	Average	1,203	1,240	97%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Garfield Elementary School / Garfield Middle School		P-5,6-8	1	1989	205K	2	0.27	0.34	0.26	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.06	0.09	Average	1,181	1,256	94%
• Rumney Marsh Academy		6-8	1	2006	93K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	587	807	73%
• Revere High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• 2 SCHOOLS: Beachmont Veterans Memorial School / CityLab Innovation High School		P-5,9-12	1	1976	118K	1	0.10	0.08	0.10	1	0.05	0.14	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	463	828	56%
► RICHMOND (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-8	1		171K	2	0.29	0.04	0.31	1	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.21	0.05	0.01	0.09	Under	157	245	64%
• Richmond Consolidated		P-8	1	1937	171K	2	0.29	0.04	0.31	1	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.21	0.05	0.01	0.09	Under	157	245	64%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► ROCHESTER (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-6	1		106K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	473	680	70%
• Rochester Memorial		P-6	1	2011	106K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	473	680	70%
► ROCKLAND (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-K,1-4,5-8,9-12	4		526K	1	0.03	0.01	0.04	1	0.04	0.13	0.00	0.16	0.01	0.01	0.10	Under	2,154	2,921	74%
• R Stewart Esten Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	1968	25K	2	0.29	0.13	0.30	1	0.08	0.33	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.21	Average	242	296	82%
• Phelps Elementary School		1-4	1	2023	120K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	639	676	95%
• John W Rogers Middle		5-8	1	2013	162K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	687	974	71%
• Rockland Senior High		9-12	1	2012	219K	1	0.02	0.00	0.02	1	0.05	0.19	0.00	0.16	0.02	0.02	0.09	Under	586	975	60%
► ROCKPORT (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-5,6-12	2		183K	1	0.24	0.16	0.24	1	0.09	0.19	0.00	0.23	0.03	0.07	0.13	Under	631	1,400	45%
• Rockport Elementary		P-5	1	1953	65K	1	0.23	0.20	0.23	1	0.08	0.19	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	264	564	47%
• Rockport Middle High		6-8,6-12	1	1992	117K	1	0.24	0.14	0.25	1	0.10	0.19	0.00	0.18	0.04	0.11	0.15	Under	367	836	44%
► ROWE (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		21K	1	0.10	0.00	0.11	1	0.15	0.19	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.30	0.09	Under	54	120	45%
• Rowe Elementary		P-6	1	2014	21K	1	0.10	0.00	0.11	1	0.15	0.19	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.30	0.09	Under	54	120	45%
► SALEM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2022	P-P,P-5,K-5,K-8,6-8,..	9		838K	2	0.26	0.16	0.26	1	0.13	0.15	0.01	0.41	0.16	0.07	0.17	Under	2,877	4,268	67%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Bentley Academy Innovation School / Salem Early Childhood		P-P,P-5	1	1962	90K	2	0.32	0.30	0.33	1	0.11	0.36	0.00	0.38	0.02	0.00	0.28	Under	392	508	77%
• Bates		P-5	1	1970	91K	2	0.27	0.12	0.28	1	0.11	0.09	0.00	0.48	0.13	0.00	0.16	Average	410	496	83%
• Carlton		K-5	1	2004	52K	1	0.23	0.09	0.24	1	0.09	0.14	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.13	Under	247	384	64%
• Horace Mann Laboratory		P-5	1	2001	100K	2	0.30	0.12	0.32	1	0.11	0.13	0.00	0.30	0.27	0.00	0.13	Average	293	352	83%
• Witchcraft Heights		K-5	1	2002	107K	1	0.23	0.09	0.24	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.25	0.02	0.00	0.11	Under	455	584	78%
• Saltonstall School		K-8	1	2013	84K	1	0.20	0.09	0.21	1	0.16	0.11	0.00	0.46	0.14	0.22	0.11	Average	412	495	83%
• Collins Middle		6-8	1	2014	265K	1	0.24	0.17	0.25	1	0.14	0.13	0.00	0.47	0.25	0.01	0.21	Under	611	1,272	48%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• New Liberty Innovation School		9-12	1	1972	25K	2	0.28	0.28	0.28	2	0.29	0.21	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.72	0.11	Under	34	117	29%
• Salem High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Salem Prep High School		9-12	1	1972	25K	2	0.28	0.28	0.28	3	0.51	0.24	0.35	0.69	0.53	0.72	0.32	Under	23	60	38%
► SANDWICH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-2,3-6,7-12	3		482K	1	0.22	0.25	0.23	1	0.09	0.32	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.12	0.15	Under	2,115	3,222	66%
• Forestdale School		P-2	1	1989	116K	1	0.10	0.16	0.09	1	0.13	0.34	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.14	Average	557	584	95%
• Oak Ridge		3-6	1	1989	123K	1	0.21	0.23	0.21	1	0.11	0.36	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.14	Average	673	676	100%
• Sandwich Middle High School		7-12	1	2001	243K	2	0.29	0.30	0.29	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.04	0.16	Under	885	1,962	45%
► SAUGUS (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-1,2-5,6-8,9-12	3		508K	1	0.17	0.08	0.18	1	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.11	Average	2,672	3,103	86%
• Belmonte STEAM Academy		2-5	1	2023	159K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	1	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	814	904	90%
• Veterans Early Learning Center		P-1	1	2000	80K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.14	0.05	0.34	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.11	Over	501	396	127%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Saugus High / Saugus Middle School		6-8,9-12	1	2020	269K	1	0.17	0.09	0.17	1	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	1,357	1,803	75%
► SAVOY (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	2		10K	1	0.18	0.09	0.19	2	0.32	0.34	0.00	0.47	0.17	0.80	0.11	Under	38	60	63%
• Emma L Miller Elementary School		P-6	2	1971	10K	1	0.18	0.09	0.19	2	0.32	0.34	0.00	0.47	0.17	0.80	0.11	Under	38	60	63%
► SCITUATE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		516K	1	0.08	0.01	0.09	1	0.08	0.21	0.00	0.22	0.01	0.10	0.10	Under	2,481	3,487	71%
• Cushing Elementary		K-5	1	1964	50K	1	0.20	0.00	0.21	1	0.15	0.29	0.04	0.30	0.08	0.20	0.19	Average	352	404	87%
• Hatherly Elementary *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Jenkins Elementary School		K-5	1	2002	76K	1	0.14	0.05	0.14	1	0.05	0.28	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	341	520	66%
• Wampatuck Elementary		P-5	1	1969	42K	1	0.22	0.00	0.24	1	0.13	0.28	0.00	0.26	0.09	0.20	0.09	Average	424	500	85%
• Gates Middle School		6-8	1	2017	139K	1	0.01	0.00	0.02	1	0.07	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.22	0.09	Under	639	883	72%
• Scituate High School		9-12	1	2016	209K	1	0.05	0.00	0.05	1	0.07	0.26	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	725	1,180	61%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
► SEEKONK (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2022	P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	4		372K	1	0.12	0.04	0.13	1	0.11	0.15	0.01	0.29	0.18	0.10	0.09	Under	2,026	2,573	79%
• George R Martin		K-5	1	2001	70K	1	0.05	0.14	0.04	1	0.13	0.20	0.04	0.29	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	443	432	103%
• Mildred Aitken School		P-5	1	1996	55K	1	0.06	0.00	0.07	1	0.06	0.07	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	578	536	108%
• Dr. Kevin M. Hurley Middle School		6-8	1	1955	98K	2	0.28	0.04	0.30	1	0.17	0.21	0.00	0.32	0.30	0.20	0.09	Under	500	689	73%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Seekonk High / Seekonk Transitions Academy		9-12	1	1966	149K	1	0.08	0.00	0.09	1	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.29	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	505	916	55%
► SHARON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2023	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		627K	1	0.10	0.11	0.10	1	0.05	0.25	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.10	Average	3,468	3,869	90%
• Cottage Street		K-5	1	2002	72K	1	0.19	0.22	0.19	1	0.06	0.32	0.00	0.15	0.02	0.00	0.11	Average	433	424	102%
• East Elementary		K-5	1	2004	71K	1	0.19	0.16	0.20	1	0.08	0.33	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	458	496	92%
• Heights Elementary		K-5	1	1994	73K	1	0.19	0.17	0.19	1	0.07	0.34	0.08	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	545	536	102%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Sharon Early Childhood Center / Sharon Middle		P-P,6-8	1	2012	172K	1	0.12	0.16	0.11	1	0.05	0.28	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.02	0.11	Average	871	991	88%
• Sharon High		9-12	1	2022	240K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.04	0.17	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.09	Average	1,161	1,422	82%
► SHAWSHEEN VALLEY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 4)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Shawsheen Valley Vocational Technical High School *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► SHERBORN (MuniElem Dist, Reg 4)		P-5	1		61K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.26	0.05	0.00	0.09	Average	397	436	91%
• Pine Hill		P-5	1	1971	61K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.26	0.05	0.00	0.09	Average	397	436	91%
► SHREWSBURY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2016	P-P,K-4,5-6,7-8,9-12	7		659K	1	0.18	0.19	0.18	1	0.10	0.28	0.01	0.31	0.01	0.06	0.10	Average	3,872	4,659	83%
• Parker Road Preschool **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Calvin Coolidge School		K-4	1	1986	50K	2	0.36	0.45	0.36	1	0.13	0.42	0.08	0.37	0.04	0.00	0.14	Under	287	384	75%
• Floral Street School		K-4	1	1997	94K	2	0.25	0.23	0.25	1	0.07	0.31	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	524	620	85%
• Major Howard W. Beal School		K-4	1	2021	142K	1	0.06	0.02	0.06	1	0.08	0.24	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	623	900	69%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Sherwood Middle School		5-6	1	2012	130K	1	0.12	0.10	0.12	1	0.05	0.19	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	951	900	106%
• Spring Street School		K-4	1	1965	39K	1	0.24	0.30	0.23	1	0.15	0.15	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.20	0.11	Under	304	452	67%
• Walter J. Paton School		K-4	1	1950	39K	2	0.34	0.25	0.35	2	0.25	0.15	0.06	0.42	0.10	0.50	0.11	Under	269	352	76%
• Oak Middle School		7-8	1	2004	166K	1	0.19	0.26	0.18	1	0.11	0.37	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.06	0.11	Average	914	1,051	87%
• Shrewsbury High School *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► SHUTESBURY (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		22K	1	0.17	0.15	0.17	1	0.11	0.42	0.00	0.13	0.02	0.20	0.13	Under	125	160	78%
• Shutesbury Elementary		P-6	1	1972	22K	1	0.17	0.15	0.17	1	0.11	0.42	0.00	0.13	0.02	0.20	0.13	Under	125	160	78%
► SILVER LAKE (RegIHS Dist, Reg 6)		P-P,7-8,9-12	2		362K	1	0.17	0.13	0.18	1	0.08	0.38	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.11	Under	1,567	1,993	79%
• Silver Lake Regional Middle School		7-8	1	2004	132K	1	0.16	0.08	0.17	1	0.07	0.35	0.00	0.10	0.14	0.02	0.11	Under	544	748	73%
• Silver Lake Regional High		9-12,Ch74	1	1954	230K	1	0.18	0.17	0.18	1	0.08	0.39	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.11	Average	1,023	1,245	82%
► SOMERSET (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)	2019	P-5,K-5,6-8	3		214K	2	0.25	0.12	0.27	1	0.09	0.37	0.00	0.30	0.03	0.00	0.11	Average	1,010	984	103%
• Chace Street		K-5	1	1967	54K	2	0.25	0.00	0.27	1	0.05	0.12	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	297	336	88%
• North Elementary		P-5	1	1973	130K	1	0.23	0.14	0.24	1	0.11	0.48	0.00	0.35	0.05	0.00	0.11	Average	471	396	119%
• South		K-5	1	1954	30K	2	0.36	0.30	0.37	1	0.09	0.33	0.00	0.32	0.02	0.00	0.11	Average	242	252	96%
• Somerset Middle School *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
► SOMERSET BERKLEY REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (RegIHS Dist, Reg 6)		9-12	1		225K	1	0.21	0.22	0.21	1	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.08	0.11	Under	882	1,389	63%
• Somerset Berkley Regional High School		9-12,Ch74	1	2015	225K	1	0.21	0.22	0.21	1	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.08	0.11	Under	882	1,389	63%
► SOMERVILLE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-K,P-8,K-5,7-8,9-12	8		1,046K	1	0.08	0.03	0.09	1	0.10	0.15	0.03	0.38	0.01	0.04	0.12	Average	4,552	5,561	82%
• Capuano Early Childhood Center		P-K	1	2003	85K	1	0.09	0.02	0.09	1	0.21	0.22	0.35	0.33	0.03	0.00	0.32	Under	250	368	68%
• Benjamin G Brown		K-5	1	1900	28K	1	0.21	0.02	0.22	2	0.26	0.45	0.00	0.44	0.39	0.40	0.14	Average	219	212	103%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Albert F. Argenziano School at Lincoln Park		P-8	1	2007	110K	1	0.07	0.02	0.07	1	0.10	0.13	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.12	0.11	Average	553	603	92%
• Arthur D Healey		P-8	1	1999	65K	1	0.11	0.09	0.12	1	0.10	0.16	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.12	0.11	Under	482	633	76%
• E Somerville Community		P-8	1	2013	153K	1	0.06	0.04	0.06	1	0.09	0.14	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.02	0.10	Average	747	798	94%
• John F Kennedy		P-8	1	1999	152K	1	0.13	0.06	0.13	1	0.08	0.13	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.02	0.14	Average	439	521	84%
• West Somerville Neighborhood		P-8	1	1995	56K	1	0.11	0.02	0.12	1	0.08	0.14	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.02	0.11	Average	397	411	97%
• Winter Hill Community *		P-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• 3 SCHOOLS: Somerville High / Next Wave / Full Circle		7-8,9-12, Ch74	1	2021	396K	1	0.06	0.02	0.06	1	0.08	0.14	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	1,465	2,015	73%
► SOUTH HADLEY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-1,2-4,5-8,9-12	4		390K	1	0.21	0.16	0.21	1	0.09	0.29	0.01	0.20	0.13	0.02	0.12	Under	1,616	2,382	68%
• Mosier Elementary School		2-4	1	1969	58K	2	0.38	0.17	0.40	1	0.13	0.38	0.08	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.30	Average	365	364	100%
• Plains Elementary		P-1	1	2015	65K	1	0.02	0.00	0.02	1	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	271	356	76%
• Michael E. Smith Middle School		5-8	1	2000	123K	1	0.12	0.13	0.12	1	0.07	0.34	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.02	0.09	Under	505	751	67%
• South Hadley High		9-12,Ch74	1	2001	144K	2	0.30	0.26	0.30	1	0.11	0.31	0.00	0.24	0.25	0.04	0.09	Under	475	911	52%
► SOUTH MIDDLESEX REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 4)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Joseph P Keefe Technical High School *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► SOUTH SHORE REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 6)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• South Shore Vocational Technical High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► SOUTHAMPTON (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		62K	2	0.35	0.10	0.37	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	475	524	91%
• William E Norris		P-6	1	1996	62K	2	0.35	0.10	0.37	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	475	524	91%
► SOUTHBOROUGH (MuniElem Dist, Reg 2)		P-1,2-3,4-5,6-8	3		274K	3	0.48	0.22	0.50	1	0.16	0.26	0.00	0.39	0.06	0.21	0.11	Under	1,035	2,006	52%
• Albert S. Woodward Memorial School		2-3	1	2004	68K	3	0.46	0.20	0.48	1	0.13	0.26	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.11	Under	286	404	71%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Margaret A Neary *		4-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Mary E Finn School		P-1	1	1998	76K	3	0.54	0.17	0.57	1	0.15	0.18	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.20	0.11	Under	345	492	70%
• P Brent Trottier		6-8	1	2002	130K	3	0.45	0.25	0.46	1	0.18	0.31	0.00	0.45	0.13	0.22	0.11	Under	404	1,110	36%
► SOUTHBRIDGE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2024	P-1,2-5,6-8,9-12	5		579K	3	0.43	0.24	0.45	1	0.16	0.31	0.06	0.41	0.10	0.05	0.25	Under	1,828	2,366	77%
• Charlton Street		2-5	1	1992	83K	3	0.53	0.34	0.55	1	0.14	0.48	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.28	Average	288	276	104%
• Eastford Road		P-1	1	1993	62K	3	0.53	0.29	0.56	1	0.12	0.32	0.01	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.30	Average	397	320	124%
• West Street		2-5	1	1993	126K	3	0.50	0.06	0.54	1	0.11	0.34	0.00	0.34	0.05	0.00	0.23	Average	323	404	80%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Southbridge High School / Southbridge Middle School		6-8,9-12	1	2012	202K	1	0.20	0.21	0.20	1	0.10	0.08	0.00	0.35	0.13	0.02	0.17	Under	799	1,254	64%
• Southbridge Academy		9-12	1	1920	106K	4	0.65	0.37	0.67	2	0.39	0.57	0.35	0.62	0.27	0.21	0.36	Under	21	112	19%
► SOUTHEASTERN REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 5)		9-12,Ch74	5		458K	1	0.15	0.11	0.16	1	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.15	0.26	Under	1,618	2,078	78%
• Southeastern Regional Vocational Technical		9-12,Ch74	5	2013	458K	1	0.15	0.11	0.16	1	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.15	0.26	Under	1,618	2,078	78%
► SOUTHERN BERKSHIRE (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-P,P-3,P-5,6-12	2		165K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.11	0.25	0.00	0.35	0.14	0.05	0.09	Under	596	1,160	51%
• South Egremont **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• New Marlborough Central		P-3	1	1932	25K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.22	0.41	0.00	0.40	0.63	0.20	0.09	Under	58	80	73%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Mt Everett Regional/Undermountain		P-5,6-12	1	1993	140K	1	0.16	0.06	0.17	1	0.08	0.22	0.00	0.34	0.05	0.02	0.09	Under	538	1,080	50%
► SOUTHERN WORCESTER COUNTY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (Vocational ..		9-12,Ch74	1		250K	1	0.03	0.04	0.03	1	0.11	0.23	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.29	0.09	Under	1,188	1,731	69%
• Bay Path Regional Vocational Technical High School		9-12,Ch74	1	2015	250K	1	0.03	0.04	0.03	1	0.11	0.23	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.29	0.09	Under	1,188	1,731	69%
► SOUTHWICK-TOLLAND-GRANVILLE REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-2,3-6,7-12	3		329K	1	0.12	0.14	0.12	1	0.13	0.34	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.14	0.20	Under	1,294	1,950	66%
• Powder Mill School		3-6	1	2015	97K	1	0.13	0.21	0.12	1	0.14	0.34	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.20	0.21	Under	383	508	75%
• Woodland School		P-2	1	2015	68K	1	0.15	0.12	0.15	1	0.14	0.34	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.20	0.21	Under	324	408	79%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Southwick Regional School		7-12,Ch74	1	2015	165K	1	0.10	0.11	0.10	1	0.11	0.34	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.08	0.19	Under	587	1,034	57%
▶ SPENCER-E BROOKFIELD (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2025	P-6,K-4,5-8,9-12	3		251K	1	0.08	0.03	0.08	1	0.08	0.40	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.07	0.09	Average	1,092	1,292	85%
• East Brookfield Elementary		P-6	1	2000	55K	1	0.08	0.02	0.09	1	0.09	0.48	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.10	0.09	Average	247	264	94%
• Wire Village School		K-4	1	2004	100K	1	0.01	0.04	0.00	1	0.05	0.30	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	430	484	89%
• Knox Trail Middle School		5-8	1	1994	96K	1	0.15	0.02	0.17	1	0.10	0.46	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.12	0.09	Under	415	544	76%
• David Prouty High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ SPRINGFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-P,P-5,P-8,1-5,3-5,..	46		4,282K	1	0.14	0.06	0.14	1	0.14	0.17	0.02	0.33	0.12	0.15	0.17	Average	21,842	25,466	86%
• Balliet Preschool **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Early Childhood Education Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Margaret C Ells **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• 2 SCHOOLS: Benjamin Swan Elementary / William N. DeBerry		P-5	1	2023	150K	1	0.00	0.02	0.00	1	0.10	0.08	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	969	912	106%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Brightwood / Lincoln		P-5	1	2021	151K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	887	952	93%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Rebecca M Johnson / Springfield International Academy at Johnson		P-5,3-5	1	1993	150K	1	0.15	0.07	0.15	1	0.07	0.08	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	627	680	92%
• Alice B Beal Elementary		P-5	1	1962	36K	1	0.18	0.12	0.18	1	0.21	0.16	0.19	0.44	0.00	0.20	0.21	Average	292	252	116%
• Arthur T Talmadge		P-5	1	1954	37K	1	0.14	0.05	0.15	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.20	0.24	Average	196	244	80%
• Daniel B Brunton		P-5	1	1959	49K	1	0.13	0.02	0.14	1	0.20	0.16	0.23	0.40	0.09	0.10	0.19	Over	314	248	127%
• Edward P. Boland School		P-5	1	2002	130K	1	0.15	0.02	0.16	1	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.21	Average	587	688	85%
• Elias Brookings		P-5	1	2018	64K	1	0.01	0.06	0.00	1	0.06	0.10	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	304	364	84%
• Frank H Freedman		P-5	1	1961	47K	1	0.16	0.03	0.17	1	0.20	0.36	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.40	0.21	Average	252	240	105%
• Frederick Harris		P-5	1	2001	90K	1	0.14	0.04	0.15	1	0.09	0.08	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.10	0.11	Average	570	620	92%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• German Gerena Community School *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Glenwood		P-5	1	1930	39K	1	0.22	0.07	0.24	2	0.35	0.43	0.00	0.43	0.48	0.70	0.21	Average	275	304	90%
• Glickman Elementary		P-5	1	1980	44K	1	0.12	0.02	0.13	1	0.24	0.34	0.30	0.44	0.00	0.20	0.24	Average	310	260	119%
• Hiram L Dorman		P-5	2	1954	37K	1	0.22	0.11	0.22	2	0.35	0.29	0.00	0.47	0.98	0.45	0.24	Average	279	284	98%
• Indian Orchard Elementary		P-5	1	1999	88K	1	0.20	0.11	0.20	1	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.38	0.13	0.05	0.21	Average	580	532	109%
• Kensington International School		P-5	1	1908	49K	1	0.21	0.07	0.22	1	0.20	0.39	0.12	0.38	0.40	0.05	0.21	Average	278	244	114%
• Liberty		P-5	1	1918	32K	2	0.25	0.09	0.27	2	0.35	0.36	0.00	0.41	0.27	0.80	0.30	Average	238	240	99%
• Mary A. Dryden Veterans Memorial School		P-5	1	2013	41K	1	0.18	0.04	0.19	1	0.13	0.08	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.25	0.11	Average	304	280	109%
• Mary M Lynch		P-5	1	1961	37K	1	0.13	0.02	0.14	1	0.15	0.34	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.20	0.24	Average	216	240	90%
• Mary M Walsh		P-5	1	1953	44K	1	0.11	0.04	0.12	1	0.22	0.14	0.00	0.44	0.17	0.40	0.24	Average	232	276	84%
• Mary O Pottenger		P-5	1	1953	43K	1	0.16	0.11	0.16	2	0.28	0.08	0.27	0.38	0.14	0.40	0.14	Average	365	308	119%
• Milton Bradley School		P-5	1	1995	113K	1	0.17	0.05	0.18	1	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.35	0.02	0.00	0.11	Average	385	404	95%
• Samuel Bowles		P-5	1	1926	50K	1	0.17	0.07	0.18	2	0.28	0.08	0.00	0.48	0.98	0.20	0.24	Average	325	288	113%
• Springfield Public Day Elementary School		1-5	1	1965	19K	1	0.10	0.09	0.10	2	0.34	0.18	0.35	0.38	0.04	0.50	0.32	Under	33	156	21%
• Sumner Avenue		P-5	2	1998	85K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.10	0.21	Average	490	456	107%
• Thomas M Balliet		P-5	1	1947	56K	1	0.11	0.14	0.11	1	0.12	0.15	0.00	0.47	0.18	0.00	0.21	Average	291	240	121%
• Warner		P-5	1	1958	56K	1	0.14	0.04	0.14	1	0.24	0.14	0.00	0.43	0.73	0.20	0.24	Average	234	200	117%
• Washington		P-5	1	1918	70K	1	0.20	0.07	0.21	3	0.48	0.36	0.65	0.34	0.73	0.40	0.21	Over	430	276	156%
• White Street *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Alfred G. Zanetti Montessori Magnet School		P-8	1	1964	51K	1	0.23	0.07	0.25	2	0.27	0.38	0.00	0.47	0.32	0.42	0.34	Average	432	398	109%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• 2 SCHOOLS: Forest Park Middle / Springfield Realization Academy		6-8	1	2013	151K	1	0.07	0.06	0.07	1	0.14	0.08	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.21	0.09	Under	494	740	67%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Kiley Academy / Kiley Prep		6-8	1	1963	170K	1	0.17	0.11	0.18	1	0.13	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.17	0.21	Under	566	890	64%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Springfield Middle School/Springfield Public Day Middle School		6-8	1	1910	24K	1	0.15	0.07	0.16	2	0.37	0.10	0.00	0.30	0.73	0.82	0.21	Under	60	265	23%
• 3 SCHOOLS: Chestnut Accelerated Middle / Springfield Legacy / Impact Prep		6-8	1	1998	196K	1	0.16	0.02	0.17	1	0.13	0.10	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.22	0.21	Under	842	1,294	65%
• John F Kennedy Middle		6-8	1	1966	114K	1	0.18	0.02	0.19	1	0.17	0.37	0.00	0.35	0.18	0.22	0.24	Under	366	485	75%
• South End Middle School		6-8	1	1946	41K	1	0.10	0.11	0.10	1	0.23	0.34	0.00	0.36	0.65	0.22	0.21	Under	163	394	41%
• STEM Middle Academy		6-8	1	1951	27K	1	0.15	0.09	0.15	2	0.25	0.10	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.72	0.21	Average	269	235	114%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Emergence Academy / High School Of Commerce		6-11,9-12	1	1915	267K	1	0.13	0.06	0.13	1	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.34	0.04	0.03	0.11	Average	1,348	1,660	81%
• 3 SCHOOLS: Van Sickle Acad / Rise Acad / Springfield Renaissance		6-8,6-12	1	2001	281K	1	0.19	0.04	0.20	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.01	0.21	Under	1,061	1,896	56%
• Conservatory of the Arts		6-12	1	1926	144K	1	0.07	0.09	0.07	1	0.18	0.17	0.00	0.39	0.34	0.21	0.09	Under	296	460	64%
• John J Duggan Academy		6-12	1	1958	154K	2	0.26	0.04	0.28	1	0.24	0.40	0.00	0.36	0.30	0.39	0.24	Average	823	846	97%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Liberty Preparatory Academy / Springfield High School		9-12	1	1924	32K	1	0.21	0.07	0.22	3	0.43	0.46	0.00	0.37	0.73	0.92	0.24	Average	243	196	124%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Roger L. Putnam Vocational Technical Academy / Springfield Transition A..		9-12,Ch74	1	2012	314K	1	0.04	0.04	0.04	1	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	1,382	1,572	88%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Springfield High School of Science and Technology / Springfield Internat..		9-12,Ch74	1	1996	181K	1	0.15	0.06	0.16	1	0.09	0.16	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.03	0.19	Under	1,186	1,567	76%
• Springfield Central High		9-12	1	1986	296K	1	0.18	0.13	0.18	1	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.07	0.14	Average	1,983	2,024	98%
• Springfield Public Day High School		9-12	1	1963	30K	1	0.09	0.07	0.09	1	0.19	0.17	0.00	0.25	0.48	0.22	0.19	Under	65	356	18%
► STONEHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,K-4,5-8,9-12	4		306K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.10	0.01	0.11	Under	1,645	2,282	72%
• Colonial Park		K-4	1	2003	48K	1	0.17	0.10	0.18	1	0.07	0.09	0.00	0.22	0.13	0.00	0.11	Under	229	292	78%
• Robin Hood		K-4	1	2003	66K	1	0.18	0.09	0.19	1	0.07	0.09	0.00	0.08	0.25	0.00	0.11	Average	380	440	86%
• South		K-4	1	2001	55K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.06	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.13	0.00	0.11	Average	347	408	85%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Stoneham Central Middle School		5-8	1	2014	136K	1	0.17	0.09	0.18	1	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	689	1,142	60%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Stoneham High / Stoneham Early Learning Center **		P-P,9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► STOUGHTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2023	P-P,P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		579K	1	0.20	0.08	0.22	1	0.08	0.21	0.02	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.12	Average	3,352	4,163	81%
• Edwin A Jones Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Helen Hansen Elementary		K-5	1	1962	37K	2	0.26	0.07	0.28	1	0.14	0.35	0.00	0.18	0.02	0.30	0.14	Average	285	308	93%
• Joseph H Gibbons		K-5	1	1971	68K	1	0.19	0.09	0.20	1	0.09	0.40	0.00	0.20	0.13	0.00	0.11	Average	389	420	93%
• Joseph R Dawe Jr Elementary		K-5	1	1969	68K	1	0.18	0.09	0.19	1	0.08	0.17	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.10	0.09	Average	403	488	83%
• Richard L. Wilkins Elementary School		P-5	1	1951	48K	2	0.25	0.07	0.27	1	0.10	0.32	0.00	0.13	0.27	0.00	0.18	Average	357	404	88%
• South Elementary *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• O'Donnell Middle School		6-8	1	1960	144K	2	0.26	0.09	0.27	1	0.11	0.30	0.07	0.12	0.27	0.01	0.14	Under	801	1,046	77%
• Stoughton High		9-12	1	2019	215K	1	0.16	0.07	0.17	1	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.07	0.11	Under	1,117	1,497	75%
► STURBRIDGE (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		133K	1	0.02	0.04	0.02	1	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.18	0.00	0.09	Average	842	980	86%
• Burgess Elementary		P-6	1	2012	133K	1	0.02	0.04	0.02	1	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.18	0.00	0.09	Average	842	980	86%
► SUDBURY (MuniElem Dist, Reg 4)	2024	P-5,K-5,6-8	5		412K	1	0.13	0.10	0.13	1	0.17	0.21	0.04	0.42	0.05	0.27	0.11	Average	2,529	3,014	84%
• General John Nixon Elementary		P-5	1	1994	58K	1	0.14	0.16	0.13	1	0.23	0.36	0.30	0.36	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	382	320	119%
• Israel Loring School		K-5	1	1999	71K	1	0.07	0.07	0.07	1	0.07	0.22	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	426	532	80%
• Josiah Haynes		K-5	1	1998	63K	1	0.10	0.07	0.10	1	0.17	0.17	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.40	0.12	Average	397	432	92%
• Peter Noyes		P-5	1	1950	65K	1	0.11	0.13	0.11	1	0.12	0.15	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	508	496	102%
• Ephraim Curtis Middle		6-8	1	2000	155K	1	0.18	0.10	0.19	1	0.21	0.19	0.00	0.50	0.13	0.40	0.09	Under	816	1,234	66%
► SUNDERLAND (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		44K	1	0.14	0.12	0.14	1	0.11	0.24	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.20	0.11	Under	174	292	60%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Sunderland Elementary		P-6	1	1988	44K	1	0.14	0.12	0.14	1	0.11	0.24	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.20	0.11	Under	174	292	60%
► SUTTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	3		307K	2	0.27	0.17	0.28	1	0.08	0.09	0.00	0.22	0.01	0.09	0.09	Under	1,291	2,206	59%
• Sutton Early Learning		P-2	1	1973	31K	2	0.34	0.08	0.36	1	0.09	0.19	0.00	0.34	0.05	0.00	0.12	Average	324	332	98%
• Sutton Elementary		3-5	1	2000	80K	2	0.36	0.29	0.37	1	0.14	0.12	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.30	0.09	Under	287	556	52%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Sutton High / Sutton Middle		6-8,9-12	1	2015	196K	1	0.23	0.14	0.24	1	0.05	0.06	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	680	1,318	52%
► SWAMPSCOTT (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		K-4,5-8,9-12	2		360K	4	0.61	0.46	0.62	1	0.13	0.28	0.00	0.26	0.10	0.07	0.19	Under	1,354	2,243	60%
• Swampscott Elementary School *		K-4			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Swampscott Middle		5-8	1	1958	180K	3	0.56	0.64	0.55	1	0.19	0.41	0.00	0.43	0.19	0.12	0.24	Under	654	1,153	57%
• Swampscott High		9-12	1	2006	180K	4	0.66	0.27	0.70	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.14	Under	700	1,090	64%
► SWANSEA (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2016	P-2,K-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	5		260K	1	0.14	0.16	0.14	1	0.16	0.24	0.06	0.32	0.16	0.15	0.11	Average	1,494	1,726	87%
• Elizabeth S Brown		3-5	1	1956	38K	1	0.10	0.08	0.10	1	0.16	0.11	0.00	0.20	0.05	0.40	0.09	Average	283	240	118%
• Gardner		K-2	1	1962	26K	2	0.34	0.23	0.35	2	0.39	0.29	0.65	0.40	0.00	0.40	0.14	Over	276	160	173%
• Joseph G Luther		3-5	1	1961	30K	2	0.25	0.38	0.23	1	0.23	0.32	0.00	0.38	0.24	0.40	0.18	Average	194	204	95%
• Mark G Hoyle Elementary		P-2	1	1992	37K	1	0.09	0.03	0.10	1	0.06	0.28	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	234	264	89%
• Joseph Case Jr High *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Joseph Case High		9-12	1	1975	130K	1	0.10	0.16	0.10	1	0.12	0.24	0.00	0.34	0.25	0.02	0.09	Under	507	858	59%
► TANTASQUA (RegIHS Dist, Reg 1)		7-8,9-12	2		431K	1	0.17	0.20	0.16	1	0.11	0.13	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.14	0.13	Under	1,743	3,075	57%
• Tantasqua Regional Jr High		7-8	1	1975	138K	1	0.24	0.17	0.25	1	0.18	0.26	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.42	0.20	Under	576	904	64%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Tantasqua Regional Sr High / Tantasqua Regional Vocational		9-12,Ch74	1	2002	293K	1	0.13	0.21	0.12	1	0.07	0.08	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	1,167	2,171	54%
► TAUNTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)	2025	P-P,P-4,K-4,5-7,8-1..	11		1,476K	1	0.15	0.05	0.15	1	0.10	0.26	0.03	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.09	Average	7,994	9,959	80%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Edward F. Leddy Preschool **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• East Taunton Elementary		P-4	1	1996	78K	1	0.06	0.05	0.06	1	0.21	0.26	0.51	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.11	Over	564	448	126%
• Edmund Hatch Bennett		K-4	1	1970	45K	1	0.06	0.02	0.07	1	0.11	0.24	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	313	280	112%
• Elizabeth Pole		P-4	1	2009	134K	1	0.07	0.09	0.07	1	0.04	0.28	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	635	604	105%
• H H Galligan		K-4	1	1984	27K	1	0.24	0.00	0.26	1	0.15	0.33	0.00	0.26	0.17	0.20	0.18	Average	286	292	98%
• James L. Mulcahey Elementary School		P-4	1	2021	132K	1	0.07	0.00	0.07	1	0.04	0.28	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	898	936	96%
• Joseph C Chamberlain		P-4	1	2000	99K	1	0.06	0.02	0.06	1	0.06	0.27	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	496	540	92%
• Benjamin Friedman Middle		5-7	1	1999	226K	1	0.20	0.04	0.21	1	0.09	0.28	0.00	0.19	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	701	1,374	51%
• Joseph H Martin		5-7	1	1972	118K	1	0.03	0.00	0.03	1	0.04	0.31	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.09	Average	647	641	101%
• 2 SCHOOLS: : Taunton Parker Middle School / Taunton High School		5-7,8-12, Ch74	2	2011	556K	1	0.22	0.09	0.23	1	0.13	0.22	0.00	0.08	0.25	0.22	0.09	Under	3,380	4,615	73%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Taunton Alternative High School / Taunton Public Virtual Academy		11-12	1	2004	63K	1	0.16	0.04	0.17	1	0.17	0.31	0.00	0.21	0.27	0.32	0.09	Under	74	229	32%
▶ TEWKSBURY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2006	P-1,2-4,5-6,7-8,9-12	6		767K	1	0.21	0.11	0.22	1	0.06	0.20	0.00	0.08	0.09	0.03	0.13	Under	3,215	4,566	70%
• Center Elementary School		2-4	1	2022	141K	1	0.01	0.07	0.00	1	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	812	924	88%
• Heath-Brook		P-1	1	1956	55K	2	0.31	0.20	0.31	1	0.09	0.26	0.00	0.15	0.14	0.00	0.28	Average	300	316	95%
• John F. Ryan		5-6	1	1999	106K	1	0.17	0.09	0.17	1	0.07	0.28	0.00	0.06	0.25	0.00	0.11	Under	500	736	68%
• L F Dewing		P-1	1	1968	78K	2	0.36	0.35	0.36	1	0.18	0.37	0.00	0.24	0.45	0.10	0.22	Average	372	400	93%
• John W. Wynn Middle		7-8	1	2003	168K	1	0.20	0.07	0.21	1	0.04	0.22	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.11	Under	539	869	62%
• Tewksbury Memorial High		9-12	1	2013	219K	2	0.29	0.07	0.31	1	0.05	0.17	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.07	0.11	Under	692	1,321	52%
▶ TISBURY (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-8	1		69K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	312	675	46%
• Tisbury Elementary		P-8	1	2024	69K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	312	675	46%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ TOPSFIELD (MuniElem Dist, Reg 3)		P-3,4-6	2		114K	1	0.16	0.24	0.15	1	0.11	0.33	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.25	0.11	Average	598	728	82%
• Proctor Elementary		4-6	1	1999	56K	1	0.15	0.22	0.15	1	0.08	0.36	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.11	Average	251	296	85%
• Steward Elementary		P-3	1	1999	58K	1	0.16	0.25	0.15	1	0.14	0.30	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.40	0.11	Average	347	432	80%
▶ TRI-COUNTY REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 5)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Tri-County Regional Vocational Technical *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ TRITON (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-6,7-8,9-12	3		316K	2	0.37	0.15	0.39	1	0.12	0.16	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.20	0.11	Under	1,239	1,784	69%
• Newbury Elementary		P-6	1	1996	121K	4	0.60	0.24	0.63	1	0.17	0.21	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.20	0.12	Under	424	580	73%
• Pine Grove		P-6	1	2019	90K	1	0.04	0.18	0.03	1	0.09	0.17	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	429	668	64%
• Salisbury Elementary		P-6	1	2000	105K	2	0.39	0.04	0.42	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.20	0.11	Under	386	536	72%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Triton Regional High School / Triton Regional Middle School *		7-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ TRURO (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-5	1		40K	2	0.29	0.02	0.31	1	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.10	0.40	Under	78	160	49%
• Truro Central		P-5	1	1990	40K	2	0.29	0.02	0.31	1	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.10	0.40	Under	78	160	49%
▶ TYNGSBOROUGH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2016	P-5,6-8,9-12	2		283K	1	0.20	0.26	0.20	1	0.14	0.20	0.00	0.31	0.15	0.20	0.09	Under	1,120	1,599	70%
• Tyngsborough Elementary		P-5	1	2002	148K	1	0.24	0.30	0.23	1	0.13	0.10	0.00	0.33	0.05	0.20	0.09	Average	715	892	80%
• Tyngsborough Middle *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Tyngsborough High School		9-12	1	1992	135K	1	0.16	0.22	0.15	1	0.16	0.32	0.00	0.30	0.25	0.21	0.09	Under	405	707	57%
▶ UP-ISLAND REGIONAL (RegIElem Dist, Reg 6)		P-8,K-5	2		72K	2	0.38	0.26	0.39	1	0.15	0.29	0.00	0.47	0.05	0.11	0.22	Under	390	550	71%
• Chilmark Elementary		K-5	1	2000	11K	1	0.15	0.19	0.15	2	0.27	0.09	0.00	0.38	0.32	0.60	0.19	Under	69	100	69%
• West Tisbury Elementary		P-8	1	1974	61K	3	0.42	0.27	0.43	1	0.12	0.32	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.02	0.23	Under	321	450	71%
▶ UPPER CAPE COD REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 6)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Upper Cape Cod Vocational Technical *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► UXBRIDGE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-3,4-7,8-12,9-12	3		231K	1	0.07	0.04	0.08	1	0.10	0.28	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.15	0.12	Under	1,615	2,061	78%
• Taft Early Learning Center		P-3	1	1954	48K	1	0.05	0.05	0.05	1	0.14	0.29	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.30	0.19	Average	526	644	82%
• Whitin Intermediate		4-7	1	1968	89K	1	0.10	0.07	0.11	1	0.09	0.22	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.12	0.11	Under	463	749	62%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Gateway to College / Uxbridge High		8-12,9-12	1	2012	95K	1	0.06	0.00	0.06	1	0.09	0.34	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.10	0.09	Average	626	668	94%
► WACHUSETT (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-P,K-2,K-4,K-5,K-8,..	12		1,358K	2	0.31	0.41	0.30	1	0.11	0.29	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.03	0.18	Under	6,411	9,704	66%
• Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Davis Hill Elementary		K-5	1	2000	80K	1	0.23	0.29	0.22	1	0.09	0.21	0.00	0.28	0.13	0.00	0.09	Under	431	596	72%
• Dawson		K-5	1	2000	60K	1	0.20	0.18	0.20	1	0.12	0.53	0.02	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	487	616	79%
• Glenwood Elementary School		3-5	1	2006	88K	1	0.23	0.25	0.22	1	0.12	0.44	0.00	0.39	0.13	0.00	0.11	Average	382	404	95%
• Houghton Elementary		K-4	1	1998	84K	2	0.33	0.33	0.33	1	0.09	0.44	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.17	Under	317	656	48%
• Leroy E.Mayo		K-5	1	1999	80K	1	0.17	0.39	0.15	1	0.16	0.23	0.00	0.41	0.13	0.20	0.09	Average	472	500	94%
• Naquag Elementary School		K-2	1	1964	70K	2	0.28	0.57	0.25	1	0.15	0.26	0.00	0.43	0.13	0.00	0.23	Average	353	304	116%
• Paxton Center		K-8	1	1957	91K	2	0.32	0.30	0.32	1	0.12	0.09	0.00	0.45	0.23	0.00	0.14	Under	448	581	77%
• Thomas Prince		K-8	1	1967	148K	3	0.53	0.23	0.56	1	0.13	0.31	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.01	0.32	Under	355	682	52%
• Central Tree Middle		6-8	1	1998	73K	2	0.33	0.23	0.34	1	0.12	0.20	0.00	0.57	0.00	0.03	0.11	Under	369	541	68%
• Chocksett Middle School		5-8	1	1998	68K	2	0.30	0.29	0.30	1	0.11	0.42	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.12	0.18	Under	264	630	42%
• Mountview Middle		6-8	1	2016	126K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.22	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.09	Average	773	943	82%
• Wachusett Regional High		9-12	1	2007	391K	3	0.41	0.77	0.38	1	0.12	0.27	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.02	0.25	Under	1,760	3,251	54%
► WAKEFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)		P-P,P-4,K-4,5-8,9-12	5		372K	1	0.13	0.08	0.13	1	0.10	0.15	0.00	0.16	0.06	0.16	0.10	Average	2,400	2,785	86%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Early Childhood Center at the Doyle School **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Dolbeare		K-4	1	1998	70K	1	0.16	0.12	0.16	1	0.11	0.09	0.00	0.23	0.02	0.20	0.11	Average	443	436	102%
• Greenwood		K-4	1	1891	49K	1	0.21	0.17	0.22	1	0.20	0.40	0.00	0.10	0.32	0.40	0.11	Average	227	212	107%
• Walton		K-4	1	1952	19K	1	0.12	0.10	0.12	1	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.13	0.04	0.00	0.09	Average	218	240	91%
• Woodville School		P-4	1	2003	66K	1	0.16	0.10	0.16	1	0.09	0.15	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	456	504	90%
• Galvin Middle School		5-8	1	2015	168K	1	0.08	0.02	0.08	1	0.07	0.12	0.00	0.18	0.03	0.07	0.09	Under	1,056	1,393	76%
• Wakefield Memorial High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
► WALES (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		20K	3	0.43	0.11	0.46	1	0.16	0.41	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.20	0.18	Under	93	140	66%
• Wales Elementary		P-6	1	1964	20K	3	0.43	0.11	0.46	1	0.16	0.41	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.20	0.18	Under	93	140	66%
► WALPOLE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2013	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		467K	1	0.20	0.11	0.21	1	0.11	0.29	0.01	0.37	0.14	0.01	0.18	Average	2,730	3,186	86%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Daniel Feeney Preschool Center / Elm Street School		P-P,K-5	1	1977	89K	1	0.19	0.07	0.20	1	0.13	0.15	0.00	0.38	0.25	0.00	0.23	Average	503	516	97%
• Boyden		K-5	1	2004	63K	1	0.23	0.09	0.24	1	0.09	0.34	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.16	Average	395	400	99%
• Fisher		K-5	1	1955	70K	1	0.24	0.13	0.25	1	0.16	0.33	0.00	0.45	0.39	0.00	0.23	Average	463	492	94%
• Old Post Road		K-5	1	1997	63K	1	0.23	0.12	0.24	1	0.15	0.34	0.05	0.36	0.27	0.00	0.26	Average	458	440	104%
• Walpole Middle School *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Walpole High		9-12	1	1984	182K	1	0.18	0.12	0.18	1	0.09	0.31	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	911	1,338	68%
► WALTHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	9		1,259K	1	0.20	0.15	0.21	1	0.13	0.21	0.00	0.33	0.07	0.17	0.13	Under	3,986	7,156	56%
• Douglas MacArthur Elementary School		K-5	1	2006	78K	1	0.07	0.04	0.07	1	0.17	0.11	0.00	0.22	0.13	0.40	0.09	Average	475	520	91%
• Henry Whittemore Elementary School		K-5	1	2008	87K	1	0.02	0.10	0.01	1	0.10	0.15	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.20	0.19	Under	372	1,004	37%
• James Fitzgerald Elementary School		K-5	1	2008	77K	1	0.14	0.15	0.14	1	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	350	728	48%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Northeast Elementary School		P-5	1	2004	92K	1	0.19	0.14	0.20	1	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.37	0.25	0.00	0.11	Under	527	780	68%
• Thomas R Plympton Elementary School		K-5	1	2005	79K	1	0.18	0.10	0.19	1	0.09	0.11	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	357	636	56%
• William F. Stanley Elementary School		P-5	1	2003	94K	1	0.18	0.37	0.16	1	0.16	0.13	0.00	0.18	0.30	0.20	0.24	Under	381	1,048	36%
• John F Kennedy Middle		6-8	1	2005	173K	2	0.27	0.14	0.28	1	0.09	0.12	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	635	898	71%
• John W. McDevitt Middle School		6-8	1	2003	129K	1	0.14	0.10	0.15	1	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.33	0.25	0.01	0.09	Under	618	1,179	52%
• Waltham Sr High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• 2 SCHOOLS: Dual Language School / Waltham Valor High School		K-5,9-12	1	1970	450K	2	0.28	0.16	0.29	1	0.17	0.37	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.32	0.14	Under	271	363	75%
► WARE (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-3,4-6,7-12	3		241K	1	0.23	0.00	0.25	1	0.10	0.27	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.15	0.16	Under	1,073	1,586	68%
• Stanley M Koziol Elementary School		P-3	1	1972	48K	2	0.30	0.00	0.33	1	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.20	0.17	Average	396	332	119%
• Ware Middle School		4-6	1	1990	70K	1	0.19	0.00	0.21	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.17	Average	261	276	95%
• Ware Junior/Senior High School		7-12	1	1998	123K	1	0.23	0.00	0.25	1	0.12	0.31	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.22	0.15	Under	416	978	43%
► WAREHAM (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-4,5-7,8-12,9-12	3		525K	1	0.11	0.05	0.11	1	0.08	0.21	0.02	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.09	Under	1,904	3,013	63%
• Wareham Elementary School		P-4	1	2021	142K	1	0.13	0.00	0.14	1	0.07	0.26	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	912	1,052	87%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Wareham Middle / Wareham Cooperative Alternative School		5-7,9-12	1	2021	160K	1	0.01	0.07	0.00	1	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	471	1,031	46%
• Wareham Senior High		8-12,Ch74	1	1990	223K	1	0.16	0.07	0.16	1	0.11	0.30	0.06	0.29	0.00	0.10	0.09	Under	521	930	56%
► WARWICK (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		24K	1	0.02	0.05	0.01	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	27	92	29%
• Warwick Community School		P-6	1	2000	24K	1	0.02	0.05	0.01	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	27	92	29%
► WATERTOWN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2017	P-5,6-8,9-12	4		452K	1	0.13	0.06	0.13	1	0.05	0.11	0.00	0.12	0.08	0.00	0.13	Under	2,083	2,968	70%
• Cunniff		P-5	1	1997	80K	1	0.09	0.04	0.09	1	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	320	424	75%
• Hosmer		P-5	1	2002	145K	1	0.11	0.04	0.11	1	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	758	916	83%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• James Russell Lowell		P-5	1	2023	94K	1	0.11	0.06	0.11	1	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.11	Under	422	536	79%
• Watertown Middle		6-8	1	1998	133K	1	0.18	0.11	0.19	1	0.12	0.24	0.00	0.25	0.27	0.01	0.20	Under	583	1,092	53%
• Watertown High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► WAYLAND (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	5		486K	1	0.11	0.11	0.11	1	0.11	0.17	0.01	0.25	0.00	0.17	0.13	Under	2,649	3,414	78%
• The Children's Way Preschool **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Claypit Hill School		K-5	1	1973	63K	1	0.15	0.20	0.15	1	0.16	0.29	0.08	0.22	0.00	0.25	0.21	Average	495	528	94%
• Happy Hollow School		K-5	1	1955	48K	1	0.17	0.12	0.17	1	0.15	0.28	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.25	0.21	Average	330	384	86%
• Loker School		K-5	1	1957	48K	1	0.16	0.12	0.16	1	0.13	0.13	0.03	0.32	0.00	0.20	0.19	Average	359	440	82%
• Wayland Middle School		6-8	1	1972	124K	1	0.16	0.08	0.16	1	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.01	0.11	Under	662	1,057	63%
• Wayland High School		9-12	1	2012	201K	1	0.03	0.10	0.03	1	0.10	0.14	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.22	0.09	Average	803	1,005	80%
► WEBSTER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-4,5-8,9-12	2		219K	1	0.06	0.08	0.05	1	0.09	0.23	0.00	0.27	0.12	0.06	0.09	Average	1,304	1,422	92%
• Park Avenue Elementary		P-4	1	2015	110K	1	0.08	0.07	0.08	1	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.10	Average	738	752	98%
• Webster Middle School		5-8	1	2005	109K	1	0.04	0.09	0.03	1	0.14	0.24	0.00	0.32	0.25	0.12	0.09	Average	566	670	84%
• Bartlett High School *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► WELLESLEY (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)	2012	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	7		821K	1	0.04	0.03	0.04	1	0.07	0.10	0.01	0.22	0.09	0.01	0.13	Under	3,613	5,800	62%
• Preschool at Wellesley Schools **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Hunnewell		K-5	1	2024	77K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.18	0.08	0.00	0.09	Under	294	508	58%
• John D Hardy *		K-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Joseph E Fiske		K-5	1	2015	71K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.24	0.13	0.00	0.09	Under	278	388	72%
• Katharine Lee Bates		K-5	1	2004	53K	1	0.01	0.09	0.00	1	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.15	Under	286	396	72%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Schofield		K-5	1	1964	44K	1	0.02	0.00	0.02	1	0.05	0.28	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.15	Under	291	468	62%
• Sprague Elementary School		K-5	1	2002	68K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.16	Under	289	396	73%
• Wellesley Middle		6-8	1	2006	229K	1	0.03	0.02	0.03	1	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.17	0.25	0.01	0.19	Under	919	1,633	56%
• Wellesley Sr High		9-12	1	2019	280K	1	0.09	0.05	0.09	1	0.07	0.09	0.00	0.34	0.02	0.02	0.09	Under	1,256	2,011	62%
▶ WELLFLEET (MuniElem Dist, Reg 6)		K-5	1		40K	2	0.35	0.08	0.38	1	0.07	0.33	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	78	204	38%
• Wellfleet Elementary		K-5	1	1992	40K	2	0.35	0.08	0.38	1	0.07	0.33	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.00	0.09	Under	78	204	38%
▶ WEST BOYLSTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2022	P-5,6-12	1		60K	1	0.13	0.24	0.12	1	0.07	0.28	0.00	0.12	0.08	0.05	0.14	Average	462	424	109%
• Major Edwards Elementary		P-5	1	1950	60K	1	0.13	0.24	0.12	1	0.07	0.28	0.00	0.12	0.08	0.05	0.14	Average	462	424	109%
• West Boylston Junior/Senior High *		6-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
▶ WEST BRIDGEWATER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-K,1-3,4-6,7-12	3		215K	1	0.09	0.03	0.09	1	0.19	0.18	0.14	0.23	0.18	0.22	0.20	Average	1,061	1,112	95%
• Spring Street School		P-K	1	1956	28K	2	0.26	0.05	0.28	1	0.23	0.16	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.60	0.27	Average	112	92	122%
• Howard School		4-6	1	1997	45K	1	0.13	0.02	0.14	3	0.41	0.12	0.65	0.22	0.02	0.60	0.20	Over	335	252	133%
• Rose L Macdonald *		1-3			Null														Null	Null	Null
• West Bridgewater Junior/Senior		7-12	1	2015	142K	1	0.04	0.03	0.04	1	0.11	0.21	0.00	0.22	0.27	0.02	0.18	Average	614	768	80%
▶ WEST SPRINGFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-K,P-5,K-5,1-5,2-5,..	7		681K	1	0.19	0.06	0.20	1	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.27	0.02	0.17	0.11	Average	3,840	4,572	84%
• John Ashley *		P-K			Null														Null	Null	Null
• John R Fausey		K-5	1	1959	54K	2	0.28	0.18	0.29	1	0.12	0.16	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.20	0.14	Average	435	424	103%
• Memorial		1-5	1	1951	27K	2	0.26	0.18	0.27	1	0.12	0.18	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.20	0.14	Average	189	232	81%
• Mittineague		2-5	1	1900	27K	2	0.31	0.08	0.33	1	0.23	0.24	0.00	0.44	0.60	0.20	0.20	Average	110	124	89%
• Philip G Coburn		P-5	1	2022	145K	1	0.01	0.07	0.00	1	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.05	0.09	Average	699	740	94%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Tatham		K-5	1	1955	26K	1	0.19	0.10	0.20	1	0.16	0.18	0.09	0.44	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	277	300	92%
• West Springfield Middle		6-8	1	1998	146K	2	0.26	0.04	0.28	1	0.09	0.11	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	909	1,210	75%
• West Springfield High		9-12,Ch74	1	2014	258K	1	0.21	0.03	0.23	1	0.13	0.06	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.30	0.11	Under	1,221	1,542	79%
► WESTBOROUGH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2023	P-3,K-3,4-6,7-8,9-12	6		764K	1	0.17	0.06	0.17	1	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.12	0.10	Under	3,822	5,232	73%
• Annie E Fales		K-3	1	2021	80K	1	0.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.31	0.02	0.20	0.09	Average	305	372	82%
• Elsie A Hastings Elementary		P-3	1	1970	80K	1	0.09	0.00	0.10	1	0.13	0.17	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.20	0.09	Over	478	380	126%
• J Harding Armstrong		K-3	1	1996	64K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.10	0.30	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.11	Average	391	444	88%
• Mill Pond School		4-6	1	2002	156K	1	0.09	0.09	0.09	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	834	856	97%
• Sarah W Gibbons Middle		7-8	1	2017	110K	1	0.05	0.02	0.06	1	0.12	0.29	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.20	0.09	Under	610	846	72%
• Westborough High		9-12	1	2002	274K	2	0.32	0.10	0.33	1	0.09	0.14	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	1,204	2,334	52%
► WESTFIELD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 1)		P-P,P-4,K-4,5-6,7-8,..	8		1,036K	1	0.21	0.09	0.22	1	0.14	0.18	0.00	0.34	0.01	0.23	0.15	Average	4,224	5,277	80%
• Fort Meadow Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Abner Gibbs *		K-4			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Franklin Ave *		K-4			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Highland		P-4	1	1955	53K	2	0.33	0.25	0.34	1	0.15	0.13	0.00	0.48	0.02	0.20	0.14	Average	305	340	90%
• Munger Hill		P-4	1	1991	68K	1	0.17	0.05	0.19	1	0.13	0.34	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	320	300	107%
• Paper Mill		K-4	1	1991	54K	1	0.17	0.08	0.18	1	0.14	0.34	0.00	0.34	0.13	0.20	0.11	Average	312	380	82%
• Southampton Road		P-4	1	1955	63K	1	0.22	0.09	0.23	1	0.13	0.12	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.20	0.11	Average	310	360	86%
• Westfield Intermediate School		5-6	1	1999	138K	1	0.12	0.04	0.13	1	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.25	0.11	Average	729	608	120%
• Westfield Middle School		7-8	1	2000	188K	1	0.23	0.08	0.24	1	0.14	0.08	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.21	0.11	Under	671	1,090	62%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Westfield High		9-12,Ch74	1	1973	248K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.15	0.29	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.27	0.28	Under	1,020	1,333	77%
• Westfield Technical Academy		9-12,Ch74	1	1994	225K	2	0.25	0.10	0.26	1	0.13	0.08	0.00	0.32	0.02	0.23	0.11	Under	557	866	64%
▶ WESTFORD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2023	P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	8		843K	1	0.19	0.20	0.19	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.13	0.07	0.03	0.15	Under	4,222	5,699	74%
• Abbot Elementary		3-5	1	1955	55K	2	0.27	0.23	0.27	1	0.11	0.32	0.00	0.39	0.02	0.00	0.28	Average	371	400	93%
• Col John Robinson *		P-2			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Day Elementary		3-5	1	1964	60K	2	0.35	0.23	0.37	1	0.08	0.34	0.00	0.21	0.02	0.00	0.24	Under	299	404	74%
• John A. Crisafulli Elementary School		3-5	1	2004	78K	1	0.09	0.04	0.09	1	0.04	0.29	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	342	376	91%
• Nabnasset		P-2	1	1997	52K	1	0.17	0.41	0.15	1	0.06	0.33	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.19	Under	345	552	63%
• Rita E. Miller Elementary School		P-2	1	2002	84K	1	0.16	0.04	0.17	1	0.05	0.29	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	281	364	77%
• Blanchard Middle		6-8	1	1992	120K	1	0.21	0.27	0.20	1	0.08	0.32	0.00	0.12	0.13	0.02	0.17	Under	541	842	64%
• Stony Brook School		6-8	1	2003	126K	1	0.07	0.09	0.07	1	0.04	0.24	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	546	1,085	50%
• Westford Academy		9-12	1	2001	268K	1	0.22	0.27	0.21	1	0.09	0.32	0.00	0.07	0.14	0.06	0.14	Average	1,497	1,676	89%
▶ WESTHAMPTON (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		21K	3	0.43	0.30	0.44	1	0.06	0.17	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	95	160	59%
• Westhampton Elementary School		P-6	1	1990	21K	3	0.43	0.30	0.44	1	0.06	0.17	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	95	160	59%
▶ WESTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-3,4-5,6-8,9-12	5		528K	1	0.11	0.14	0.10	1	0.10	0.27	0.00	0.31	0.05	0.07	0.14	Under	2,063	3,319	62%
• Country		P-3	1	2001	74K	1	0.10	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.26	0.00	0.26	0.25	0.00	0.19	Under	338	468	72%
• Field Elementary School		4-5	1	2014	62K	1	0.08	0.04	0.08	1	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.16	Average	317	372	85%
• Woodland		P-3	1	2002	73K	1	0.17	0.15	0.18	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.14	Under	299	472	63%
• Weston Middle		6-8	1	1998	143K	1	0.13	0.25	0.12	1	0.10	0.34	0.00	0.21	0.04	0.12	0.12	Under	451	927	49%
• Weston High		9-12	1	1961	175K	1	0.07	0.10	0.07	1	0.11	0.28	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.12	0.12	Under	658	1,080	61%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ WESTPORT (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-K,1-4,5-12	3		291K	2	0.27	0.05	0.28	1	0.08	0.15	0.00	0.07	0.14	0.08	0.14	Average	1,512	1,826	83%
• Alice A Macomber		P-K	1	1956	32K	2	0.31	0.00	0.33	1	0.20	0.22	0.00	0.17	0.18	0.40	0.30	Under	163	216	75%
• Westport Elementary		1-4	1	1978	72K	1	0.17	0.00	0.19	1	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.18	0.00	0.19	Average	463	512	90%
• Westport Middle-High School		5-12	1	2021	187K	2	0.30	0.08	0.32	1	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.04	0.13	0.06	0.09	Average	886	1,098	81%
▶ WESTWOOD (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-P,P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		591K	1	0.17	0.13	0.17	1	0.10	0.18	0.00	0.16	0.07	0.16	0.13	Average	2,842	3,272	87%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Paul Hanlon / Pine Hill Elementary School		P-5,K-5	1	2024	113K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.08	0.14	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	473	544	87%
• Downey		K-5	1	2001	51K	1	0.21	0.00	0.22	1	0.06	0.25	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	271	368	74%
• Martha Jones		K-5	1	2001	51K	1	0.19	0.11	0.19	1	0.07	0.24	0.00	0.18	0.02	0.00	0.22	Average	275	336	82%
• William E Sheehan		K-5	1	1968	50K	3	0.40	0.12	0.42	2	0.25	0.29	0.00	0.34	0.59	0.30	0.19	Under	278	372	75%
• E W Thurston Middle		6-8	1	1939	92K	2	0.30	0.50	0.28	1	0.17	0.24	0.00	0.28	0.14	0.30	0.18	Average	664	717	93%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Westwood High / Westwood Integrated Preschool		P-P,9-12	1	2005	234K	1	0.14	0.09	0.15	1	0.07	0.12	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.12	0.11	Average	881	935	94%
▶ WEYMOUTH (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)	2024	P-P,K-5,6-8,9-12	11		1,002K	1	0.11	0.03	0.12	1	0.07	0.19	0.01	0.13	0.02	0.11	0.09	Average	5,394	6,402	84%
• Weymouth Early Childhood Center **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Academy Avenue		K-5	1	2002	42K	1	0.02	0.02	0.02	1	0.14	0.08	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.30	0.09	Average	357	376	95%
• Frederick C Murphy		K-5	1	1953	37K	3	0.40	0.15	0.42	1	0.09	0.26	0.05	0.25	0.02	0.00	0.09	Average	274	296	93%
• Lawrence W Pingree		K-5	1	1957	34K	1	0.16	0.01	0.17	1	0.16	0.32	0.00	0.23	0.02	0.40	0.09	Average	266	292	91%
• Ralph Talbot		K-5	1	1955	35K	2	0.31	0.05	0.33	1	0.15	0.06	0.07	0.32	0.09	0.20	0.09	Average	300	276	109%
• Thomas V Nash		K-5	1	1958	29K	1	0.24	0.10	0.25	1	0.18	0.30	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.50	0.09	Average	263	232	113%
• Thomas W. Hamilton Primary School		K-5	1	1971	46K	1	0.12	0.06	0.13	1	0.17	0.30	0.00	0.21	0.09	0.40	0.09	Average	381	368	104%
• Wessagusset		K-5	1	1970	47K	1	0.10	0.02	0.10	1	0.11	0.28	0.00	0.20	0.05	0.20	0.09	Average	329	344	96%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• William Seach		K-5	1	1970	48K	1	0.21	0.09	0.22	1	0.19	0.30	0.00	0.31	0.13	0.40	0.09	Average	372	372	100%
• Maria Weston Chapman Middle School		6-8	1	2022	252K	1	0.06	0.00	0.07	1	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	1,187	1,743	68%
• Weymouth High School		9-12,Ch74	2	2004	432K	1	0.09	0.02	0.10	1	0.05	0.24	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.09	Under	1,665	2,103	79%
► WHATELY (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)	2025	P-6	1		35K	1	0.12	0.07	0.13	1	0.11	0.16	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.13	Average	133	160	83%
• Whately Elementary		P-6	1	1990	35K	1	0.12	0.07	0.13	1	0.11	0.16	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.20	0.13	Average	133	160	83%
► WHITMAN-HANSON (RegIK12 Dist, Reg 6)		P-P,K-4,K-5,5-8,6-8,..	5		560K	1	0.07	0.02	0.07	1	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.12	0.03	0.01	0.09	Average	2,949	3,326	89%
• Indian Head		K-4	1	1999	75K	1	0.07	0.00	0.08	1	0.08	0.05	0.14	0.13	0.02	0.00	0.09	Average	494	432	114%
• John H Duval		K-5	1	2000	78K	1	0.06	0.08	0.06	1	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.11	0.04	0.00	0.09	Average	430	508	85%
• Louise A Conley		K-5	1	2000	89K	1	0.06	0.00	0.06	1	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	488	524	93%
• Hanson Middle School		5-8	1	1998	83K	1	0.06	0.00	0.07	1	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	442	620	71%
• Whitman Middle *		6-8			Null														Null	Null	Null
• 2 SCHOOLS: The Pre-School Academy at Whitman Hanson / Whitman Hanson Regional		P-P,9-12	1	2005	235K	1	0.08	0.03	0.08	1	0.05	0.06	0.00	0.14	0.05	0.02	0.09	Average	1,095	1,242	88%
► WHITTIER REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL (Vocational Dist, Reg 3)		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Whittier Regional Vocational *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
► WILLIAMSBURG (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		37K	1	0.05	0.20	0.04	1	0.09	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.10	0.20	0.09	Under	111	212	52%
• Anne T. Dunphy School		P-6	1	2014	37K	1	0.05	0.20	0.04	1	0.09	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.10	0.20	0.09	Under	111	212	52%
► WILMINGTON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 3)	2018	P-K,P-5,1-3,4-5,6-8,..	7		598K	1	0.13	0.04	0.13	1	0.08	0.17	0.00	0.17	0.05	0.07	0.15	Under	2,756	4,220	65%
• Boutwell		P-K	1	1961	28K	2	0.25	0.13	0.26	1	0.18	0.10	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.40	0.24	Average	128	144	89%
• North Intermediate		4-5	1	1962	55K	2	0.30	0.06	0.32	1	0.14	0.25	0.00	0.29	0.34	0.00	0.28	Average	246	232	106%
• Shawsheen Elementary		1-3	1	1970	56K	1	0.21	0.06	0.22	1	0.08	0.19	0.00	0.22	0.04	0.00	0.24	Under	304	388	78%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• West Intermediate		P-5	1	1964	62K	1	0.22	0.08	0.24	1	0.13	0.27	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.20	0.17	Average	309	276	112%
• Woburn Street		1-3	1	1963	53K	2	0.34	0.11	0.36	1	0.16	0.27	0.00	0.31	0.19	0.20	0.21	Average	368	420	88%
• Wilmington Middle School		6-8	1	2000	150K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.04	0.21	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	782	1,452	54%
• Wilmington High		9-12	1	2015	194K	1	0.04	0.00	0.05	1	0.03	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.09	Under	619	1,308	47%
► WINCHENDON (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)		P-P,K-2,3-5,6-8,9-1..	3		865K	1	0.21	0.08	0.23	1	0.12	0.35	0.03	0.36	0.04	0.06	0.14	Under	1,185	1,780	67%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Memorial / Winchendon PreSchool Program		P-P,K-2	1	1975	408K	1	0.23	0.07	0.25	1	0.12	0.32	0.06	0.36	0.02	0.00	0.14	Over	358	280	128%
• Toy Town Elementary		3-5	1	1961	117K	1	0.24	0.17	0.24	1	0.16	0.38	0.00	0.43	0.23	0.10	0.24	Under	292	420	70%
• 3 SCHOOLS: Murdock High School / Murdock Middle School / Murdock Academy for Success		6-8,9-12, 10-10	1	1994	341K	1	0.18	0.05	0.20	1	0.11	0.36	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.12	0.11	Under	535	1,080	50%
► WINCHESTER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	6		712K	1	0.17	0.13	0.17	1	0.07	0.17	0.00	0.23	0.01	0.05	0.12	Average	3,961	4,645	85%
• Ambrose Elementary		K-5	1	2005	63K	1	0.21	0.15	0.22	1	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.23	0.05	0.00	0.15	Average	398	452	88%
• Lincoln Elementary		K-5	1	2002	75K	1	0.13	0.20	0.12	1	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	334	360	93%
• Lynch Elementary *		P-5			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Muraco Elementary		K-5	1	1967	54K	2	0.38	0.18	0.40	1	0.17	0.30	0.06	0.38	0.02	0.20	0.17	Average	352	328	107%
• Vinson-Owen Elementary		P-5	1	2013	77K	1	0.18	0.07	0.19	1	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.13	Average	441	472	93%
• McCall Middle		6-8	1	2006	153K	1	0.24	0.23	0.24	1	0.08	0.29	0.00	0.11	0.02	0.12	0.11	Average	1,036	1,221	85%
• Winchester High School		9-12	1	2017	290K	1	0.09	0.05	0.09	1	0.05	0.08	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.02	0.11	Under	1,400	1,812	77%
► WINTHROP (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 5)		P-2,3-5,6-8,9-12	3		366K	1	0.19	0.19	0.19	1	0.10	0.15	0.00	0.19	0.03	0.15	0.09	Average	1,959	2,177	90%
• Arthur T. Cummings Elementary School		3-5	1	2004	97K	2	0.25	0.31	0.25	1	0.12	0.14	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	467	456	102%
• William P. Gorman/Fort Banks Elementary		P-2	1	2001	80K	2	0.26	0.30	0.26	1	0.12	0.16	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.20	0.09	Average	487	412	118%
• 2 SCHOOLS: : Winthrop Middle School / Winthrop High School		6-8,9-12	1	2016	189K	1	0.13	0.07	0.14	1	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.11	0.09	Under	1,005	1,309	77%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ WOBURN (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 4)		P-5,K-5,6-8,9-12	10		1,093K	2	0.26	0.22	0.27	1	0.06	0.15	0.01	0.19	0.01	0.00	0.09	Under	4,511	6,630	68%
• Clyde Reeves		P-5	1	2000	69K	2	0.27	0.25	0.27	1	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	451	396	114%
• Goodyear Elementary School		K-5	1	2011	60K	1	0.09	0.06	0.09	1	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.18	0.02	0.00	0.09	Average	352	408	86%
• Hurld-Wyman Elementary School		K-5	1	2018	71K	1	0.12	0.18	0.11	1	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	418	424	99%
• Linscott-Rumford		K-5	1	1991	50K	3	0.43	0.35	0.43	1	0.09	0.38	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	209	256	82%
• Malcolm White		K-5	1	2006	68K	1	0.22	0.16	0.22	1	0.05	0.15	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.11	Under	323	444	73%
• Mary D Altavesta		K-5	1	1969	50K	3	0.46	0.18	0.48	1	0.11	0.12	0.00	0.28	0.27	0.00	0.11	Under	248	340	73%
• Shamrock		P-5	1	2002	60K	2	0.26	0.28	0.25	1	0.06	0.14	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	284	424	67%
• Daniel L Joyce Middle School		6-8	1	1968	188K	2	0.25	0.19	0.26	1	0.06	0.29	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.09	Under	455	904	50%
• John F Kennedy Middle School		6-8	1	1963	104K	2	0.38	0.24	0.40	1	0.07	0.10	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	521	776	67%
• Woburn High		9-12	1	2006	374K	2	0.25	0.24	0.25	1	0.05	0.11	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.09	Under	1,250	2,258	55%
▶ WORCESTER (MuniK12 Dist, Reg 2)	2017	P-P,P-6,K-6,1-6,6-8,..	44		3,766K	2	0.30	0.31	0.30	1	0.18	0.23	0.12	0.33	0.12	0.21	0.12	Average	21,639	24,505	88%
• Head Start **		P-P			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Belmont Street Community		P-6	1	1970	93K	1	0.24	0.27	0.23	2	0.28	0.35	0.27	0.51	0.23	0.25	0.17	Average	615	520	118%
• Burncoat Street		K-6	1	1916	33K	3	0.48	0.12	0.51	3	0.40	0.37	0.47	0.33	0.08	0.60	0.11	Over	223	144	155%
• Canterbury		P-6	1	1987	52K	3	0.57	0.40	0.59	1	0.14	0.36	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.10	0.11	Under	329	452	73%
• Chandler Elementary Community		K-6	1	1977	39K	2	0.34	0.14	0.36	2	0.26	0.33	0.05	0.61	0.12	0.40	0.20	Average	402	388	104%
• City View		P-6	1	1992	70K	1	0.24	0.15	0.25	1	0.08	0.26	0.00	0.25	0.04	0.00	0.09	Average	464	496	94%
• Clark St Community		P-6	1	1953	38K	1	0.24	0.21	0.25	1	0.15	0.32	0.00	0.42	0.02	0.20	0.09	Average	278	304	91%
• Columbus Park		P-6	1	1950	57K	2	0.38	0.50	0.36	1	0.15	0.35	0.24	0.24	0.04	0.00	0.09	Over	381	304	125%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Elm Park Community		P-6	1	1971	67K	2	0.28	0.20	0.29	1	0.14	0.49	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.10	0.11	Average	478	448	107%
• Flagg Street		K-6	2	1967	44K	3	0.45	0.49	0.44	1	0.10	0.41	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.16	Average	412	380	108%
• Francis J McGrath Elementary		P-6	1	1976	36K	1	0.12	0.16	0.11	1	0.10	0.41	0.00	0.33	0.15	0.00	0.10	Average	200	232	86%
• Gates Lane		P-6	1	1995	96K	3	0.54	0.55	0.54	1	0.08	0.16	0.00	0.18	0.05	0.00	0.18	Average	547	584	94%
• Goddard School/Science Technical		P-6	1	1900	120K	3	0.48	0.33	0.49	2	0.37	0.43	0.65	0.39	0.49	0.00	0.20	Over	359	272	132%
• Grafton Street		K-6	2	1899	48K	2	0.38	0.57	0.37	2	0.33	0.43	0.00	0.55	0.26	0.70	0.11	Average	386	420	92%
• Heard Street		K-6	1	1932	24K	3	0.50	0.41	0.51	2	0.35	0.44	0.06	0.49	0.50	0.60	0.11	Average	245	220	111%
• Jacob Hiatt Magnet		P-6	1	1987	52K	1	0.21	0.27	0.21	1	0.23	0.12	0.00	0.45	0.05	0.55	0.09	Average	367	364	101%
• Lake View		K-6	1	1922	26K	3	0.45	0.68	0.42	2	0.27	0.42	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.60	0.18	Average	284	272	104%
• Lincoln Street		K-6	1	1929	26K	3	0.42	0.32	0.43	2	0.35	0.41	0.09	0.67	0.24	0.60	0.20	Under	177	300	59%
• May Street		K-6	1	1927	36K	1	0.22	0.39	0.20	1	0.08	0.34	0.02	0.23	0.07	0.00	0.09	Average	310	280	111%
• Midland Street		K-6	1	1920	22K	2	0.36	0.46	0.35	2	0.33	0.44	0.00	0.39	0.42	0.70	0.11	Under	249	320	78%
• Nelson Place		P-6	1	2017	112K	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	0.28	0.04	0.65	0.18	0.00	0.20	0.09	Over	621	312	199%
• Norrback Avenue		P-6	1	1999	114K	1	0.12	0.08	0.12	1	0.07	0.10	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.09	Average	504	476	106%
• Quinsigamond		P-6	1	1997	142K	1	0.09	0.09	0.09	1	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.39	0.09	0.05	0.09	Average	738	700	105%
• Rice Square		K-6	1	1914	36K	2	0.39	0.55	0.38	2	0.39	0.53	0.03	0.45	0.86	0.60	0.09	Average	502	476	105%
• Roosevelt		P-6	1	1999	121K	2	0.30	0.65	0.27	1	0.09	0.11	0.00	0.32	0.13	0.00	0.11	Average	604	540	112%
• Tatnuck		P-6	1	1954	42K	2	0.33	0.27	0.33	2	0.39	0.42	0.60	0.27	0.29	0.35	0.11	Over	376	252	149%
• Thorndyke Road		K-6	1	1960	43K	1	0.24	0.12	0.26	2	0.25	0.34	0.18	0.46	0.14	0.30	0.14	Average	319	276	116%
• Union Hill School		K-6	2	1959	46K	2	0.37	0.70	0.34	2	0.26	0.34	0.00	0.47	0.06	0.60	0.12	Average	377	380	99%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
• Vernon Hill School		P-6	1	1931	97K	2	0.39	0.15	0.41	3	0.40	0.34	0.53	0.73	0.40	0.20	0.14	Over	525	416	126%
• Wawecus Road School		K-6	1	1961	25K	2	0.29	0.10	0.30	2	0.27	0.32	0.00	0.57	0.04	0.60	0.11	Under	155	336	46%
• West Tatnuck		P-6	1	1960	39K	2	0.26	0.30	0.25	1	0.16	0.35	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.30	0.11	Average	343	404	85%
• Worcester Academic Center for Transition **		1-6			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Worcester Arts Magnet School		P-6	1	1965	55K	2	0.26	0.11	0.28	1	0.19	0.34	0.40	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.14	Average	372	304	122%
• Worcester Dual Language Magnet School		P-6	1	1953	102K	2	0.34	0.36	0.34	1	0.15	0.33	0.00	0.41	0.38	0.00	0.09	Average	519	520	100%
• Burncoat Middle School		7-8	1	1952	147K	2	0.32	0.24	0.33	1	0.15	0.35	0.00	0.41	0.17	0.12	0.14	Average	720	865	83%
• Forest Grove Middle		7-8	1	2001	199K	1	0.21	0.21	0.21	2	0.36	0.07	0.65	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.19	Over	839	512	164%
• Sullivan Middle		6-8	1	1992	167K	2	0.36	0.36	0.36	1	0.07	0.30	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.04	0.11	Under	940	1,754	54%
• Worcester East Middle		7-8	1	1923	155K	4	0.68	0.60	0.69	1	0.24	0.43	0.00	0.52	0.49	0.17	0.12	Under	731	1,259	58%
• University Pk Campus School		7-12	1	1885	25K	3	0.50	0.73	0.48	2	0.35	0.43	0.00	0.31	0.75	0.61	0.14	Average	233	293	80%
• Burncoat Senior High *		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Doherty Memorial High *		9-12,Ch74			Null														Null	Null	Null
• North High		9-12,Ch74	1	2011	225K	2	0.28	0.60	0.26	1	0.08	0.10	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.15	0.09	Under	1,362	1,933	70%
• South High Community		9-12,Ch74	1	2021	360K	2	0.26	0.37	0.26	1	0.09	0.06	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.19	0.09	Average	1,779	2,113	84%
• Worcester Alternative High School **		9-12			Null														Null	Null	Null
• Worcester Technical High		9-12,Ch74	1	2006	400K	1	0.22	0.13	0.23	1	0.14	0.16	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.32	0.09	Under	1,419	2,571	55%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Claremont Academy / Woodland Academy		K-6,7-12	1	1999	136K	1	0.19	0.22	0.18	1	0.23	0.05	0.00	0.43	0.18	0.52	0.11	Average	955	1,113	86%
▶ WORTHINGTON (MuniElem Dist, Reg 1)		P-6	1		19K	2	0.25	0.18	0.26	1	0.20	0.36	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.40	0.21	Average	76	80	95%
• R. H. Conwell		P-6	1	2003	19K	2	0.25	0.18	0.26	1	0.20	0.36	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.40	0.21	Average	76	80	95%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*

MSBA 2025 School Survey Findings Reference

District totals/averages exclude schools not assessed

District / Campus Name	Master Plan Year	Grade Configs	Bldg Count	Year Built/ Renov	Total Bldg Area GSF	Building Condition Rating	Building Condition Score	Site Condition	Building Condition	Gen Environment Rating	GE Score	Learning Environment	Capacity	Building Safety	Universal Accessibility	Program Sufficiency	Instructional Technology	Capacity Rating	2024-25 PK-12 Enrollment	MSBA Capacity	Capacity Util
▶ WRENTHAM (MuniElem Dist, Reg 5)	2020	P-3,4-6	3		167K	3	0.48	0.56	0.47	3	0.41	0.37	0.65	0.49	0.18	0.25	0.24	Over	958	648	148%
• 2 SCHOOLS: Charles E Roderick / Delaney		P-3,4-6	3	2003	167K	3	0.48	0.56	0.47	3	0.41	0.37	0.65	0.49	0.18	0.25	0.24	Over	958	648	148%

** Not Assessed in 2025 – MSBA Pipeline Projects Pending Major Renovation or Replacement*
*** Not Assessed in 2025 – School is Pre-K Only, Virtual Only, in a Leased Building, or Other*



Massachusetts School Building Authority

www.massschoolbuildings.org